



# COMBATING BIOFILMS

**Naha antibiotik Anjeun ANO anti jamur f ALL**


Solusi pikeun Panyakit Lyme, Sinusitis Kronis,  
Pneumonia, Inféksi Ragi, Tatu, Ceuli  
Inféksi, Kasakit Gusi, Panyakit Usus,  
Napas Bad, Cystic Fibrosis sareng Implants

*Sapotong leungit utama dina teka-teki kasakit kronis*

**JAMES SCHALLER, M.D., M.A.R.**  
**KIMBERLY MOUNTJOY, M.S.**

## Naon ari Biofilm?

Saderhana, definisi biofilm ilmiah: grup naon waé mikroorganisme dimana sél-sélna saling nempel dina permukaan. Aranjeunna biasana aya di jero lapisan anu aranjeunna ciptakeun anu disebut "slime".



Bandiingkeun biofilm jeung endog goreng. Konéng konéng di tengah endog goreng nyaéta inféksi baktéri atawa jamur.

Bagian bodas gedé anu ngurilingan konéng bisa disebut "bio-film". Éta ngajaga inféksi batin, atanapi konéng, tina antibiotik sareng sistem imun manusa.

Ujung luar endog nembongkeun sababaraha edges goreng pisan leutik. Éta gampang kantun kusabab ukuran endog. Urang bakal pura-pura aranjeunna antibiotik, atanapi bahan kimia anu ngabunuh inféksi. Aranjeunna teu aya gunana alatan kanyataan yén maranéhna pernah meunang kaliwat ujung bodas luar endog. Bodas endog téh kawas témbok ka aranjeunna.

## Saha anu Inféksi Biofilm?

Nalika anjeun diajar ngeunaan karagaman ageung lokasi sareng kaayaan dimana biofilm umum sareng nganggap yén éta sering mangrupikeun kaayaan rutin baktéri sareng organisme jamur, anjeun mimiti sadar yén saha waé tiasa gaduh inféksi atanapi inféksi biofilm.

## Naon Anu Urang Pilari Dina Buku Ieu?

Bahan di handap ieu bakal nunjukkeun seueur cara pikeun ngarobih "bodas endog," atanapi biofilm. Sakali éta kajadian, biasana leuwih gampang pikeun ngancurkeun inféksi digambarkeun ku konéng endog atawa puseur konéng.

## Biofilm Nyaéta Panyebab Utama Kasangsaraan sareng Pupusna

### Lokasi jeung Situasi Awak Biofilm

- Hiji inféksi langgeng leuwih 2 minggu
- Anu ngabalukarkeun utama maot di barudak di handapeun 6 taun umur
- Plak dental — sungut manusa ngandung kira-kira 25.000 spésiés baktéri, kira-kira 1.000 diantarana aya dina biofilm plak dental.
- inféksi ragi
- inféksi Postsurgical
- Kanker
- napas goréng
- Kasakit gusi atawa periodontitis\*
- Buruk huntu
- Inféksi paru-paru
- Inféksi sistem kemih
- Baktéri lisan-bisa ngabahayakeun arteri jantung sarta ngabalukarkeun maot sarta dina-crease kanker peujit
- inféksi ceuli kronis
- Inféksi sinus\*\*
- tonsillitis kronis
- Tatu
- Sikat huntu - kaasup gaya sonic pindah sirah

- Kateter pikeun ngidinan ngaleupaskeun cikiih
- tuur jieunan, hips, sarta ngagantian lianna
- Inféksi klep jantung
- Lesions atanapi sores
- kasakit Lyme
- catheters IV tina sagala jenis
- Kateter kemih
- Lénsa kontak
- Alat-alat anu diimplankeun-sakur alat anu dipasang atanapi diselapkeun tiasa ngirim baktéri ka otak, ati atanapi ginjal.
- inféksi prostat kronis
- Panyakit Legionnaire sareng seueur baktéri biotoxin sanés anu ngabeledug dina cai jero ruangan
- Panyakit kapang—anu tiasa timbul tina kapang numpuk dina cai jero ruangan mana waé, nyaéta banjir, hateup, basement atanapi bocor jandela, humidifiers, Waterpik™ anu henteu kapake atanapi alat beberesih huntun anu sanés,

kondensasi dina saluran AC, jsb. fibrosis—kelebihan produksi mukus dina saluran pernapasan ngamungkinkeun baktéri sapertos *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ngéléhkeun pembunuh baktéri di tukangeun jaket biofilm.

- Leungit bagian awak
- Inféksi kulit, bulu atawa kuku
- rematik
- Éndokarditis
- Inféksi tulang
- Jerawat

**Loba hal sejenna bisa ditambahkeun kana daptar, kaasup isu profoundly serius kontaminasi biofilm dina cai sarta puluhan prakték nu patali kaséhatan jeung manufaktur lianna.**

\*Dokter David Kennedy, pensiunan dokter gigi, ngadu'akeun yén kalolobaan urang Amérika sawawa ngagaduhan panyakit gusi—kaayaan biofilm baktéri sanés anu ngalibatkeun inféksi kronis. Janten kumaha nyebarna wabah kasehatan siluman ieu?

\*\* Di Ondine Biopharma, wawancara [kalawan Richard Longland] ngungkabkeun yén 38,000,000 jalma di nagara ieu ngagaduhan (atanapi ngagaduhan) masalah sinus kronis.

\*\*\*Ricardo Murga; Terri S. Forster. Peran biofilm dina kasalmetan *Legionella pneumophila* dina modél sistem cai potable. *Mikrobiologi* (2001), 147, 3121-3126.



# **COMBATING BIOFILMS**

**Naha Antibiotik sareng Antifungal anjeun Gagal**

**Solusi pikeun Panyakit Lyme, Sinusitis Kronis,  
Pneumonia, Inféksi Ragi, Tatu, Ceuli  
Inféksi, Kasakit Gusi, Panyakit Usus,  
Napas Bad, Cystic Fibrosis sareng Implants**

***Sapotong Leungit Utama dina Puzzle Panyakit Kronis***

**James Schaller, MD, MAR**

jeung

**Kimberly Mountjoy, MS**

**Pencét Kasakit Inféksi Internasional  
Bank Towers • Newgate Center (Suite 305)  
5150 Tamiami Trail Kalér [Jalan Raya 41]  
Naples, Florida 34103**

## Nyiptakeun Jawaban Nyalametkeun Kahirupan Biofilm Ayeuna Jelas sareng Rock Solid

Ayeuna anjeun tiasa maca dua taun pilihan anu ngéléhkeun biofilm dina makalah, blog sareng buku. Ieu bakal nyandak anjeun 1,000-1,500 jam. Sareng anjeun bakal gaduh sababaraha pilihan pikeun ngajukeun. Ieu sababaraha conto pilihan anu anjeun bakal mendakan dina makalah, blog sareng buku éta:

Hindarkeun magnésium	EDTA	Royal Jelly
Hindarkeun gula sareng séréal	DMSO	thyme
NAC	Vankomisin	Lemon-jukut
Norspermidine	Gentamicin	Serrapeptidase
Cis 2- Asam Decenoic	Banderol	2-Aminobenzimidazole
Lumbrokinase	Nyingkahan lemak	Echinocandins

## Kumaha Anjeun Milarian Pemasaran Munasabah sareng Kapercayaan dina Agen Biofilm salaku Solusi?

Tom sareng Lisa blog yén produk "x" sareng resép "d" mangrupikeun pangobatan anu luar biasa pikeun ngarusak inféksi biofilm dina Kacapean Kronis (CFS) sareng Fibromyalgia (FM). Jalma-jalma bungah sabab dokter biasana henteu ngagaduhan solusi utama sareng henteu resep kana inféksi biofilm.

Masalahna nyaéta "x" atanapi "d" tiasa dianggo pikeun ngarusak biofilm atanapi ngabantosan ngatasi panyakit. Tapi ati-ati nyieun tautan gancang. Pangobatan "a" ngan ukur tiasa dianggo dina biofilm tina sapuluh inféksi, sareng kami ngan ukur gaduh bukti tiasa dianggo dina tilu inféksi.

Tujuan kami nyaéta pikeun nunjukkeun ka anjeun naon anu ditingalikeun ku panilitian anu saé supados anjeun sareng dokter anjeun tiasa ngamimitian ku fakta sareng tiasa ngartos alesan anu aya dina percobaan biofilm anu mungkin.

Contona, inféksi Anjeun bisa jadi kawas Lyme dina pamakéan na beusi. Saito sareng seueur anu sanésna ngalaporkeun yén teu sapertos sadaya organisme anu dipikanyaho, *Borrelia*, anu nyababkeun panyakit Lyme, tiasa aya tanpa beusi, logam anu dipikabutuh pikeun kahirupan anu sanés. Gantina, *Borrelia* ngagunakeun mangan.

Kumaha upami panyakit dumasar-biofilm anjeun kahareupna kapanggih gaduh kamampuan anu sami pikeun hirup saé tanpa beusi? Éta hartosna yén agén biofilm anu ngarusak biofilm panyakit Lyme tiasa dianggo pikeun anjeun. Biofilm inféksi baktéri sareng jamur condong ngabagi kerentanan anu sami sareng gangguan biofilm. Nyaho kumaha jalanna inféksi anjeun tiasa ngabantosan pikeun nangtukeun agén biofilm naon anu bakal dianggo.

<http://phys.org/news/2013-03-scientists-reveal-quirky-feature-lyme.html#jCp>.  
Diaksés 26 Maret 2014.

# eusi

<b>Révolusi Médis .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Lima Tahap Biofilm .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Gambar Pendahuluan Biofilm .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Baktéri nu ilahar kapanggih dina sakabéh kulit manusa .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Inféksi "Staph" Geus Jadi Résistansi Kalolobaan Antibiotik .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Biofilm teu dipalire ku Komunitas Médis .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Nyiptakeun "Biofilm" Jelas.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Nyegah Kasangsaraan, Turunkeun Fungsi, Cacad sareng Pupusna tina Biofilm .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Ngaleungitkeun Biofilm Mimiti Ngajantenkeun Antibiotik Éféktif .....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Sampel pisan Singkat Jalma jeung Biofilm .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Leyuran .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Biofilm pisan rupa-rupa .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Conto Karagaman Biofilm Profound .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>The Double Punch: Baktéri jeung Biofilm jeung Résistansi Narkoba .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Antibiotik-Tahan 'Superbugs' nyaéta Ancaman Grab 'Di Masa Depan anu Deukeut pisan' .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>A Kecap Singket ngeunaan Biofilms di Lyme .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Panyakit Lyme (Borrelia) sareng Biofilm .....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>Dua Baktéri Béda dina Hiji Biofilm Mantra Musibah: Umum Kabersihan Gigi .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Sampel Perawatan Biofilm .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Bartonella sareng Babesia Biofilms? .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Kacindekan Biofilm Tick and Flea-Borne .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Biofilm sareng Inféksi Paru-paru atanapi Sinus: Anatomi Bencana Kedokteran Mill .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>Olahraga Tim: Nalika Sababaraha Inféksi Sadayana Ngadamel Biofilm .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Perhatosan: Biofilm sareng Sesi Médis Singkat, Buru-buru .....</b>	<b>47</b>

<b>Minyak atsiri .....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Dasar Eugenol .....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Eugenol jeung Biofilm .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>Linalool .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>Reserpin .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>"Tumpukkeun" Pembunuh Biofilm .....</b>	<b>60</b>
<b>Terpénoid .....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Allicin jeung Bawang bodas .....</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>Sérrapeptidase .....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>Lumbrokinase .....</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>Nattokinase .....</b>	<b>73</b>
<b>Terminalia chebula Retz .....</b>	<b>74</b>
<b>Kanker .....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>Laktoferin .....</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>Mésér Lactoferrin.....</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>Perlakuan Kombinasi Lactoferrin Xylitol .....</b>	<b>86</b>
<b>Ngawatesan Gula Tradisional: Muterkeun Peradangan sareng Biofilm? .....</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>Gula Xylitol .....</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Érythritol .....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>Organoselenium .....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>Naha Deprivation Magnésium Ngahalangan Biofilm? .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>Ngawatesan Lemak pikeun Ngubarana Biofilm .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Houttuynia cordata Thunb (HCT) .....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Sampel Biofilm Pemicu Kimia Dibalikkeun Ngalawan Baktéri ..</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>Perlakuan Ganda .....</b>	<b>105</b>
<b>Sumber Tutuwuhan Jarang Ngahasilkeun Ngan Hiji Bahan Kimia Mangpaat .....</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>Nitroksolin .....</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>Lisosom .....</b>	<b>109</b>

<b>Aspirin sareng NSAID .....</b>	<b>110</b>
<b>Azitromisin (Zitromaks) .....</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>pérak .....</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>Gingerol .....</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Stevia .....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Dasar Cumunda .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>Cumanda jeung Biofilm .....</b>	<b>125</b>
<b>Éritromisin .....</b>	<b>126</b>
<b>Madu .....</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>Nafas Buruk.....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>Asam Amino Dibalikkeun Ngarusak Biofilm .....</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>Cathelicidin LL-37 .....</b>	<b>139</b>
<b>RNAIII Inhibiting Enzyme (RIP) .....</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>Tinidazole (Tindamax) jeung Metronidazole (Flagyl) .....</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>Tuberkulosis (TBC).....</b>	<b>143</b>
<b>Alat Anyar pikeun Ngabantosan Maéhan TB?.....</b>	<b>144</b>
<b>Bubuk Dahareun, Daya Antibaktéri .....</b>	<b>145</b>
<b>Kacindekan .....</b>	<b>146</b>
<b>Lampiran .....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>Publikasi Klinis Dr. Schaller .....</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Buku-buku séjénna karangan Dr. Schaller .....</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Bantahan .....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>Ngahubungan Dr. Schaller .....</b>	<b>170</b>

## A Revolusi Médis

Téori inféksi biofilm mangrupikeun révolusi anu jero dina ngulik inféksi anu tiasa nyeri, nganonaktipkeun sareng kanyataanna, mangrupikeun pembunuh anu paling luhur gumantung kana umur hiji.


Inféksi mimiti mulangkeun urang ka dinten-dinten nalika jalma-jalma maot tina inféksi sederhana. Dunya inféksi biofilm anyar tiasa maéhan langkung seueur jalma tibatan WWI sareng WWII digabungkeun upami hal-hal henteu gancang robih di nagara maju sareng teu maju. Kusabab pamahaman anu laun ngeunaan pentingna biofilm sareng ku kituna, nyoko anu laun ku dokter ngeunaan solusi biofilm énggal, bahkan dokter anu mutakhir tiasa waé nyandak bio-film sacara serius nalika kabuktian yén langkung seueur jalma anu cacad sareng maot kusabab aranjeunna. . Ayeuna, lolobana sono biofilms salaku ngabalukarkeun sangsara jeung maot. Janten, biofilm tanpa solusi sami serius sapertos polio dina abad ka-19 tanpa vaksin, sareng tina segi jumlah korban, aranjeunna langkung dahsyat tibatan HIV/AIDS.

Kalolobaan baktéri hirup di komunitas nu ilaharna mibanda biofilm pelindung unik. 1% baktéri anu nginféksi manusa atanapi mangaruhan kahirupan manusa ngambang nyalira sareng nalika aranjeunna kapendak dina getih, aranjeunna moal kapendak sareng lendir biofilm.

National Institutes of Health ngira-ngira yén leuwih ti 80% inféksi mikroba dina awak manusa disababkeun ku biofilm, loba di antarana nyieun masalah kronis sarta reoccurring. Atanapi, naha Glowacki leres sareng 99% baktéri hirup dina biofilm? Naha anjeun nganggo NIH's 80% atanapi Glowacki's 99% salaku perkiraan, biofilm mangrupikeun pertimbangan anu serius dina inféksi.

Gyowacki R, Strek P, Zagórska-Swiezy K, Skýadzieý J, Oleý K, Hydzik-Sobociýska K, Miodoýski A. [Biofilm ti penderita rhinosinusitis kronis. Studi SEM Morfologis].[Artikel dina basa Polandia]. *Otolaryngol Pol.* 2008;62(3):305-10.

## Gambar Biofilm bubuka



Parasit sél tunggal anu ngahasilkeun biofilm unik anu sacara genetik unik anu dingaranan FL1953 atanapi *Protomyxzoa rheumatica*. (Hapusan khusus ieu mangrupikeun cara anu pangsaéna pikeun ngadeteksi parasit sél tunggal ieu dina awak manusa, sabab tés DNA atanapi PCR henteu salawasna positif).

Saratus oval poék dina luar gambar ieu ditémbongkeun di luhur nyaéta 8 micron ukuran sél getih beureum (RBCs). Massa puseur nyaéta bal biofilm kalawan loba sél getih beureum dina massa biofilm nu.


Biofilm ieu anu dipidangkeun di luhur biasana kapanggih dina jalma anu inféksi ku keletik sapertos *Bartonella* anu umum pisan, baktéri *Bor-relia* panyakit Lyme, sareng *Babesia* anu maot. Sanaos sababaraha panyakit anu ditanggung ku keletik tiasa langkung parah tibatan anu sanés atanapi langkung umum tibatan anu sanés, sadayana berpotensi maot upami henteu dibasmi. Parasit anu dipidangkeun di luhur mangrupikeun inféksi sél tunggal anu aya hubunganana sareng *Babesia* sareng malaria, sareng nalika dicabut tina biofilmna, éta sigana sapertos malaria anu teu dewasa. Numutkeun kana Pusat Pengendalian Panyakit, ieu mangrupikeun protozoa anu unik. Éta sanés *Babesia* atanapi malaria. Inféksi ieu disebut FL1953 atanapi *Protomyxzoa rheumat-ica*. Éta nyieun jumlah badag biofilm jeung massa puseur badag dina gambar ieu ngandung ratusan sél getih beureum.





Nalika urang ningali organ sareng panyabab biofilm anu béda-béda, urang henteu kedah ngantepkeun véktor inféksi biofilm anu dibawa ku langkung ti 200 mahluk hirup di sahenteuna tilu benua - keletik Ixodes. Éta mawa sahenteuna dua produsén biofilm anu serius: FL1953 sareng baktéri Lyme anu canggih sacara genetik anu kompleks pisan. Kami masih diajar ngeunaan sagala kamungkinan inféksi anu dibawa.

Punten dicatet yén rambut sapertos jukut ageung, janten keletik ieu mangrupikeun fraksi ukuran ieu. Nalika anjeun ngagabungkeun halimunan sareng kacamatan anu gaduh nyeri kill-er, anti histamine, anti koagulan sareng agén anti radang, anjeun gaduh pamawa inféksi siluman. Hiji bahan kimia ciduh keletik, Sialostatin L, nyaéta énzim suppressing imun alus nu bisa ngahambat asma-ma (Horka 2012).



**Anjing tiasa janten réréncangan manusa, tapi sanés upami anjeun nyabak ciduhna sanés upami aranjeunna mawa kutu atanapi kutu ka bumi atanapi mobil anjeun.**

**Anggap unggal anjing jeung ucing nu hirup di luar kota meureun geus ngalaman keletik atawa bites kutu**



## Nyieun "Biofilms" Jelas

Biofilm sapertos récéh di tengah kolam renang minyak zaitun, sareng di sisi luar minyak aya lada anu ngalambangkeun sél anu ngabunuh inféksi. Aranjeunna teu tiasa ngalih ka ngancurkeun récéh. Komunitas baktéri biofilm mangrupikeun kaayaan umum tina kalolobaan inféksi manusa. Kami parantos diajarkeun yén inféksi nyaéta baktéri terasing ngambang di sabudeureun sareng ieu mangrupikeun kasalahan anu serius. Ieu nembongkeun sabaraha jauh urang kudu indit dina elmu lamun bentuk utama baktéri—komunitas baktéri biofilm—mangrupikeun konsép anyar, tapi krusial. Nalika kuring ngadamel daptar dina 2004 tina dua puluh lima pilihan pikeun maéhan biofilm, teu aya anu dipikaresep.

Kiwari, henteu mampuh ngancurkeun biofilm kalayan rupa-rupa pilihan sacara harfiah mangrupikeun musibah kaséhatan.

Tujuanana dina nulis sareng nyebarkeun buku ieu nyaéta pikeun ngadamel pilihan dumasar-pamilarian deui anu mampuh sareng pilihan-pilihan anu sanés, pikeun nampilkeun buku solusi anu murni anu nawiskeun solusi anu paling anyar sareng anu paling anyar pikeun ratusan panyakit anu aya hubunganana kalawan biofilms. Halangan tina pilem biologis tiasa pisan teu mungkin dihapus atanapi pen-etrasi kalayan pilihan rutin anu dianggo ku dokter, spesialis inféksi, naturopaths, sakola ubar alternatif, praktisi minyak atsiri, akupunktur, praktisi perawat atanapi herbalists.

Kalayan buku ieu kami ngarepkeun ngalayanan anjeun sareng dokter / dukun anjeun ku eksplorasi pilihan anu sayogi ayeuna. Kami milarian publikasi lima taun katukang dina PubMed-database masif pikeun élmu médis—pikeun "perlakuan biofilm". Kisaran pilihan anu pikaresepeun sareng henteu salawasna hal anu anjeun ngarepkeun. Buku ieu dimaksudkeun pikeun masihan anjeun pilihan anu lega pikeun nyegah sangsara anjeun, cacad komo maot.

Saatos sababaraha taun panalungtikan sareng diajar, kuring sadar yén "ahli" panyakit inféksi dina biofilm sigana parantos lami kaleungitan perang, sareng kanyataanna, seueur anu henteu kantos sadar kana sagala perangna. Pa-

## **Sampel pisan pondok tina Jalma jeung Biofilms**

Dina 2004, Richard Longland cageur pisan kirang ti misteri dis-betah sanggeus bedah tulang tonggong. Dina sasah saterasna, anjeunna ngalaman seueur masalah - nyeri sirah, nyeri sendi, teras masalah jantung sareng otak, kacapean anu parah sareng masalah pamikiran.

Sistem médis nentang anjeunna, tapi tungtungna, dina 2007, anjeunna dirawat pikeun mycoplasma anu asalna tina prosés bedah anu mungkin, dimana waé tempat di rumah sakit atanapi di lokasi umum atanapi keletik.

Kaseueuran pasien kuring parantos ningali 3 dugi ka 200 dokter sateuacan sumping ka kuring. Kuring ngarti pangalamanana. Pak Longland kedah ningali langkung ti dua puluh dokter pikeun diagnosis. Salila période susah ieu, anjeunna nyiptakeun pilem unggulan anu disebut "Naha Abdi Sakitu?" Anjeunna mangrupikeun juara sabar ngagunakeun agén farmasi sareng naturopatik pikeun ngaleungitkeun awakna tina biofilm baktéri sistemik.

\*\*\*

Edward yuswa 78 taun sareng anjeunna gaduh tilu putri sareng dalapan murangkalih. Anjeunna dirawat di rumah sakit kusabab sesak napas. Anjeunna ngagaduhan pneumonia anu goréng atanapi inféksi dina paru-paruna. Anjeunna beuki parah. Individu parantos pulih nganggo agén anu ngelehkeun seueur pneumonia anu dita

\*\*\*

Linda geus sababaraha taun capé sarta boga masalah jeung sakola. Kuring nembe mendakan anjeunna ngagaduhan sababaraha inféksi keletik anu nyababkeun langkung ti lima belas hasil lab janten abnormal. Kamari manéhna nelepon, sarta alatan nyeri tukangeun tuur, abdi ngawartoskeun anjeunna ka UGD. Dina waktu kurang ti sapoé, manéhna kapanggih boga 23 gumpalan dina bayah jeung suku nya. Anjeunna curiga éta Babesia, radang sareng FL1953. Kami ngagaduhan agén anu maéhan agén ieu, kalebet FL1953, dina 2006.

\*\*\*

It would be an error to say that nattokinase, lumbrokinase, serrapeptidase, EDTA, gentamicin, vancomycin, Samento, Banderol, olive products, poorly known herbs with fair lab testing in humans, clove bud oil, diet, chelation, three to four part amino acid mixes, NAC, Rife, diet changes or a vast range of other options not listed, will **work for all biofilms**. For example, an elderly patient dying of a lung infection or another person with painful and treatment-resistant sinus infection *will not* have the same biofilm.

As a trend, trying different options to destroy a biofilm is less dangerous than allowing it to spread.

## A Brief Word on Biofilms in Lyme

At times, individuals who have tick- and flea-borne infections, like Bartonella, Babesia and Borrelia (Lyme disease), can feel their treatment is minimal or incomplete. Debates rage over the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme and tick-borne diseases; whether the pain is from residual dead infection incorporated into tissue or one of the many infections carried by the I. scapularis tick, we still have patients' misery.

After writing **twelve books** which include many pages on non-Borrelia infections, “Lyme testing” seems like alphabet testing in which ***one only looks for the vowel “a.”*** Due to the lack of acceptance of the number and complexity of tick-borne infections, there is a lack of up to date education, leaving quality medical doctors to evaluate tick and flea infections in the ***abstract***, by which I mean that they very falsely and sadly do not realize the full magnitude of ***“the alphabet.”***

Specifically, they “diagnose” by ignoring inflammation alterations, nutrient changes, hormone deficits, immunity changes caused by tick-borne infections, and chemicals made or suppressed by direct tick and flea infectious agents. I discuss these in my three most recent tick and flea infection books. All are available in English. All can be found free through inter-library loan, for less than \$20 USD, or at [www.personal-consult.com](http://www.personal-consult.com) under the “free books” button. No one can expect to become an expert in this massive area after reading any guide or merely going to ten conferences, because these cluster infections impact twenty areas of medical and scientific knowledge.

In the last four years, researchers like **Dr. Eva Sapi have shown Lyme is like some other spirochetes—it has biofilms. These are very tough biofilms to defeat unless caught in the “acute stage.”** A tough, “mature biofilm” allows organisms to **“laugh at” many antibiotics.**

Some medical professionals interested in Lyme often ignore the immune suppressing Bartonella bacterium, which is more common than Lyme. Ignoring coinfections may increase the risk of fatality with Babesia and possibly **FL1953**. These healers also may not realize that the highly

genetically complex Lyme spirochete appears to have a troublesome biofilm. Performing a simple direct test at laboratory companies whose testing kits have reduced sensitivity will probably result in more negatives for tick-borne diseases. The ultimate result is anti-science and anti-truth. Searching for tick infections with one test is like writing in “Lincoln” at the next presidential election.

## Lyme Disease (*Borrelia*) and Biofilms

Several researchers believe *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the active agent of Lyme disease, has biofilms. Lyme organism biofilms have been found in culture and in the tick gut. Lyme cysts and biofilms have also been noted in patient skin biopsies using focus floating microscopy according to Dr. Eisendle publishing in the *American Journal of Pathology*.

Further, we see in Lyme that biofilm formation is dependent on cyclic di-GMP expression and we see that in Lyme (Stricker and Johnson).

Brihuega B, Samartino L, Auteri C, Venzano A, Caimi K. In vivo cell aggregations of a recent swine biofilm-forming isolate of *Leptospira interrogans* strain from Argentina. *Rev Argent Microbiol*. 2012 Jul-Sep;44(3):138-43. PMID:23102459

Cogoni V, Morgan-Smith A, Fenno JC, Jenkinson HF, Dymock D. *Treponema denticola* chymotrypsin-like proteinase (CTLP) integrates spirochaetes within oral microbial communities. *Microbiology*. 2012 Mar;158(Pt 3):759-70. Epub 2012 Feb 7. PMID:22313692

Sapi E, Kaur N, Anyanwu S, Luecke DF, Datar A, Patel S, Rossi M, Stricker RB. Evaluation of in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility of different morphological forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2011;4:97-113. Epub 2011 May 3. PMID:21753890

Stricker RB, Johnson L. Lyme disease: the next decade. *Infect Drug resist*. 2011; 4: 1-9. PMID: 21694904

Sapi E, Bastian SL, Mpoy CM, Scott S, Rattelle A, Pabbati N, Poruri A, Burugu D, Theophilus PA, Pham TV, Datar A, Dhaliwal NK, MacDonald A, Rossi MJ, Sinha SK, Luecke DF. Characterization of biofilm formation by *Borrelia burgdorferi* in vitro. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):e48277. Epub 2012 Oct 24. PMID:23110225



lease of bacteria in the human body will be like a dangerous tornado in a field. It is a wise concern.

For these two problems regarding biofilm-held infections suddenly being released, here are useful solutions:

1. You need many infection killing options for use since more is better to prevent “seeding” of dispersed infection.
2. You want the biofilm killing options to destroy biofilms by different mechanisms. This makes the dispersed seeded infections naked to the immune system.
3. Biofilm tools are given initially at low doses and then increased gradually to large doses since often in the beginning patients have massive inflammation and a drastic increase in killing of biofilm organisms in a short time could cause trouble with bone marrow, liver, heart, eye, or kidney issues, or merely create more dead infectious debris resulting in patient misery.
4. You may need to pulse (use every other day) or fully stop this treatment because once a wave of biofilm eroding agents strips off or severely damages a biofilm of an infection, the same antibiotics that were useless in the past can become very effective.
5. There is no single master biofilm destroyer, yet some are broader than others.

## Bartonella and Babesia Biofilms?

Most people have heard of the profoundly common tick infection Lyme disease, but they may not know Bartonella is more common than Lyme and is carried by far more vectors (Breitschwerdt). Babesia decimated the cattle population in the southern United States many decades ago and is more dangerous in humans than Lyme.

Currently, we have no solid data showing Bartonella and Babesia have biofilms.


## Tick and Flea-Borne Biofilms Conclusion

Below you will see that mouth spirochetes routinely have biofilms. Another spirochete is Leptospira which is able to make biofilms in many environments and may contribute to lost pregnancy in mammals (Brihuega).

In terms of tick and flea infection biofilms, I would focus on **FL1953** (Protomyxzoa) and Lyme, since both have been known and treated by us since 2006, though the former was killed without knowing its genetic uniqueness. We are learning what decreases their biofilm pathology and have agents that should work if one is open to look at diverse approaches. A synthetic “antibiotic only approach” to biofilms, including antibiotics targeted to hit biofilms, might be similar to typing with one finger.

There are herbalists, such as Stephen Buhner, who propose selected herbs to treat some tick infections. And, in terms of **primary treating herbs to kill organisms**, there are also credible options that are not always herbal in use for a tick or flea infection. We will continue to use **advanced lab testing**, typically only allowed under physician supervision, to determine by serious extensive **indirect blood exam** biochemistry tests to see which infection is actually destroyed in people experiencing benefit from herbal therapy. In any event, I enjoyed this line from Buhner: *“I can’t really say what will clear all biofilms.”*

## Hiji conto Biofilm Gambar



Darah beureum poék "Us (-sabeulah ka luhur" gagak), "lembar" diat dimimitian ti katuhu handap« '11«, mowig ka arah oomer luhur kénca, gering biofill, rnlffial IOW\ll'mow ia poi111i11g ka bam:rium leutik.(Piy Labaratoria)

## Dasar Eugenol

Eugenol kapanggih dina loba minyak atsiri jeung bumbu. Contona, eta kapanggih dina potency tinggi dina minyak atsiri cengkéh kuncup tapi ogé dina dosis handap dina daun kayu manis jeung minyak atsiri na. Éta ogé kapanggih dina pimento, bay, sassafras, minyak kulit massoy, minyak kapur barus jeung tutuwuhan chamchwi nurutkeun PubChem. Poténsi sareng konséntrasi béda-béda gumantung kana sumber sareng metode ékstraksi. Salajengna, ieu sanés ngan ukur agén biofilm anu kuat; mibanda sipat endah lianna kayaning aksi anti virus jeung épék anti kanker



Salaku conto, Tragoolpua sareng Jatisatiendr nunjukkeun yén eugenol mangaruhan Herpes lisan sareng séks gumantung kana spésiés, galur sareng faktor sanésna. Aranjeunna ngajelaskeun yén minyak atsiri tiasa langkung kuat tibatan ekstrak saderhana. Mémang, Herpes lisan jeung séks, HSV-1 jeung HSV-2, masing-masing, teu bisa baranahan ku ayana euge-nol. Al-Sharif parantos nunjukkeun épék kanker anu signifikan. Konséntrasi anu rendah pisan ( $2 \mu\text{M}$ ) gaduh karacunan khusus ngalawan sél kanker payudara anu béda. Pangaruh pembunuhan ieu dimédiyasi ku cara ngainduksi jalur maot sél kanker sareng ngirangan tingkat E2F 1 sareng survivin--dua mol-cules anu penting pikeun survival s

**genes.** Importantly, these anti-proliferative and pro-cancer cell death effects were also observed inside body grafts placed in non-human animals.

<http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/summary/summary.cgi?cid=3314>

Tragoalpua Y, Jatisatiennr A. Anti-herpes simplex virus activities of *Eugenia caryophyllus* (Spreng.) Bullock & S. G. Harrison and essential oil, eugenol. *Phytother Res.* 2007; 21(12):1153-8.

Al-Sharif I, Remmal A, Aboussekhra A. Eugenol triggers apoptosis in breast cancer cells through E2F1/survivin down-regulation. *BMC Cancer.* 2013 Dec 13;13(1):600. [Epub ahead of print]

## Eugenol and Biofilms

Recently, Dr. Zhou has reminded us of a special process that is involved in the formation of dangerous biofilms. Basically, many bacteria have a “chatty” way of talking to other cells such as other bacteria. So, bacteria use chemicals or cause other bacteria to make chemicals to help them survive and often act to harm you or a loved one.

Eugenol is so effective that at very low amounts, it still disrupted bacteria chemical communication. This is very important in a biofilm destroying agent. If cells cannot communicate, it is doubtful they can form communities. Biofilms are community creations. **Further, eugenol at very low doses, called “sub-inhibitory concentrations” inhibited biofilm formation.**

One type of biofilm research being conducted compares biofilm killers head to head. The results are not always the same, perhaps in part because the infections are not always the same. Note that in an Epub abstract before publication, Malic explains that the best essential oil for urinary catheters, with or without biofilms, against fourteen different bacteria was eugenol. This is why I believe this substance is a “double killer.” It can defeat many biofilms, and then kill the organism making the biofilm. Finally, in this study, eugenol did better than tea tree oil.

## Linalool

According to the Merriam-Webster dictionary, the word linalool is derived from a Medieval Latin phrase meaning “wood of the aloe.” Linalool has a nice smelling alcohol and essential oils. It is used in perfumes, soaps, and flavoring materials.

In terms of biofilms, it seems to be most effective when **the essential oil part** is used, which has **the most evidence of killing Candida albicans**. (*Candida albicans* is the cause of yeast infections.) Yet, again, it is the essential oil fraction that not only **inhibits the growth** of *Candida albicans* but also of the bacteria *Lactobacillus casei*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Streptococcus mutans* cell suspensions, all of them associated with oral cavity disease, according to Alviano and Mendonça-Filho. Yet, Budzyńska reported this essential oil did not fully remove biofilms formed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 8196) on the surface of routine medical materials such as urinary catheters, infusion tubes and surgical mesh.

Hsu found that linalool could be effective against *Candida albicans* due to its many genetic blocking effects. For example, using a scanning electron microscope and other technology, many signs of the effect of linalool to destroy *Candida* or inhibit its growth could be noted. Hsu found blocking actions against genes involving adhesion production and the formation of “branches” or the mold’s hyphae were both decreased by linalool.

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/linalool>

Budzyńska A, Wieckowska-Szakiel M, Sadowska B, Kalemba D, Różalska B. Antibiofilm activity of selected plant essential oils and their major components. *Pol J Microbiol*. 2011;60(1):35-41. PMID:21630572

Alviano WS, Mendonça-Filho RR, Alviano DS, Bizzo HR, Souto-Pradón T, Rodrigues ML, Bolognese AM, Alviano CS, Souza MM. Antimicrobial activity of *Croton cajucara* Benth linalool-rich essential oil on artificial biofilms and planktonic microorganisms. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. 2005 Apr;20(2):101-5.

## Reserpine

Reserpine is a substance found in the roots of some types of Rauwolfia that has been made into a traditional medicine. It is used to lower high blood pressure and help with psychotic symptoms, but side effects have limited its use.

While it may not be comfortable to use at modest or high dosing, very low dosing, according to Magesh, showed it to be profoundly powerful against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. In one report, he used reserpine and was able to stop biofilms in this pneumoniae infection at a fraction of the dose thought to inhibit growth.

Specifically, a tiny fraction of this drug, a mere 0.0156 mg/ml, stopped biofilm production in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. So, it may be possible that we have another example of a medical truth I use every day:

**“Change the dose and you change the drug or herb.”**

In this case, perhaps it is possible that 1/10th of the lowest size tablet, 0.1 mg, could harm *Klebsiella* and other infections and be safe for the patient. However, the raw materials for making it may be hard to find some months according to ASHP who tracks pharmacy shortages.

Magesh H, Kumar A, Alam A, Priyam, Sekar U, Sumantran VN, Vaidyanathan R. Identification of natural compounds which inhibit biofilm formation in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Indian J Exp Biol*. 2013 Sep;51(9):764-72.

<http://www.ashp.org/DrugShortages/Current/Bulletin.aspx?id=975>



## “Stacking” Biofilm Killers

While physicians may ponder the problems caused by biofilms in practice, I rarely encounter the doctor who understands that it is usually better to have more than one treatment. In the article below, **oral bio-film infections were controlled best by three agents, not merely one.** For example, Alves explains that when you are going to irrigate or clean a root canal area, that two mouth bacteria infections protected by their biofilms have these same film barriers decreased significantly by treatment with farnesol, xylitol and lactoferrin together.

The same results were found in wounds. One of the best treatments for wounds is the use of a silver-based wound dressing or bandage, together with a gel containing xylitol and lactoferrin (Ammons).

Alves FR, Silva MG, Rôças IN, Siqueira JF Jr. Biofilm biomass disruption by natural substances with potential for endodontic use. *Braz Oral Res.* 2013 Jan-Feb;27(1):20-5. PMID:23306623

Ammons MC, Ward LS, James GA. Anti-biofilm efficacy of a lactoferrin/xylitol wound hydrogel used in combination with silver wound dressings. *Int Wound J.* 2011 Jun;8(3):268-73. Epub 2011 Apr 1. PMID:21457463

## Terpenoids

I would like to mention a class of options that come from a familiar substance, chemicals from tea tree oil. We have already mentioned linalool which is part of this class individually, since it comes up as a leading biofilm killer. According to Raut, as many as 14 terpenoids derived from tea tree oil inhibit biofilms, and  $\alpha$ -terpineol, nerol, isopulegol, carvone, linalool,  $\alpha$ -thujone and farnesol are worthy of special note. Eight terpenoids have effects on **mature** yeast biofilms (*Candida albicans*).

A study by Ramage shows tea tree oil (TTO), terpinen-4-ol (T-4-ol), and  $\alpha$ -terpineol displaying potent activity against 69 biofilm-forming *Candida* strains, of which T-4-ol and  $\alpha$ -terpineol displayed rapid kill action.

Of these three, T-4-ol displayed no significant toxicity to cells. These data provide further laboratory evidence that TTO and its derivative components, specifically T-4-ol, exhibit strong antimicrobial properties against fungal biofilms. Further, T-4-ol appears to possess safety advantages over the complete essential oil (TTO) and may be suitable for prevention and treatment of established oral and upper throat cavity candidosis. Certain terpenoids are components of spices or food ingredients generally regarded as safe (GRAS) (Pauli 2006).

In another study, several chemicals from plants were tried against two very common bacteria (Budzyńska), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 8196), both with biofilms on the surface of **routine** medical products, i.e., urinary catheter, infusion tube and surgical mesh. All three are present in most advanced hospitals and other settings. Surgical mesh was the surface most prone to persistent colonization since the biofilms that formed on it, both by *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, were difficult to destroy.

*Melaleuca alternifolia* is the source of Tea Tree Oil (TTO). *Lavandula angustifolia* yields Lavender, English Lavender and True Lavender (LEO). *Melissa officinalis* is Lemon balm (MEO). Tea Tree oil, Lemon balm,  $\alpha$ -terpineol and terpinen-4-ol showed stronger anti-biofilm

## Allicin and Garlic

Garlic has been used as a medicine throughout human history. Allicin is considered one of the medically useful components of garlic. Other useful components are discussed in Chinese language pharmacology texts.

As early as 2003, the use of allicin against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* had reported effects on biofilm formation at low dosing. Pérez-Giraldo reported that lab testing showed that allicin diminished biofilm formations.

Lihua reported ten years later that allicin impacts *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. This is hardly casual information, since *P. aeruginosa* is likely resistant to multiple antibiotics, and this resistance may be due to biofilms. Organosulfur allicin has been shown to inhibit surface-adherence of bacteria and Lihua demonstrated that allicin could inhibit early bacterial adhesion which is a first step to bacterial community formation, usually just before biofilm production.

Other researchers isolated various components of garlic and tested the most active components. The following three components were examined:

1. garlic extract
2. allicin
3. diallyl sulfide (DAS)

They were tested against the serious mouth and dental infection *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, the primary cause of severe aggressive periodontitis and other non-oral infections.

## Lumbrokinase

We appreciate that some people interested in progressive medicine feel this enzyme, Lumbrokinase, is a useful substance. Some have suggested it is useful in the removal of biofilms. If that is true, we had trouble finding the evidence for that position. However, it does seem that some researchers see a potential for this enzyme to “digest” pathological clots. This possibility seems to have some support, and at this time we will only wait for further research. Since we are only proposing biofilm options that are supported by research and since human use is just starting in research settings, we do not promote this agent at this time.

Ryu GH, Park S, Han DK, Kim YH, Min B. Antithrombotic activity of a lumbrokinase immobilized polyurethane surface. *ASAIO J.* 1993 Jul-Sep;39(3):M314-8. PMID:8268550

Kim JS, Kang JK, Chang HC, Lee M, Kim GS, Lee DK, Kim ST, Kim M, Park S. The thrombolytic effect of lumbrokinase is not as potent as urokinase in a rabbit cerebral embolism model. *J Korean Med Sci.* 1993 Apr;8(2):117-20. PMID: 8397927

Mihara H, Sumi H, Yoneta T, Mizumoto H, Ikeda R, Seiki M, Maruyama M. A novel fibrinolytic enzyme extracted from the earthworm, *Lumbricus rubellus*. *Jpn J Physiol.* 1991;41(3):461-72. PMID:1960890

Wang KY, Tull L, Cooper E, Wang N, Liu D. Recombinant Protein Production of Earthworm Lumbrokinase for Potential Antithrombotic Application. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:783971. Epub 2013 Dec 12. Review. PMID:24416067

Cao YJ, Zhang X, Wang WH, Zhai WQ, Qian JF, Wang JS, Chen J, You NX, Zhao Z, Wu QY, Xu Y, Yuan L, Li RX, Liu CF. Oral fibrinogen-depleting agent lumbrokinase for secondary ischemic stroke prevention: results from a multicenter, randomized, parallel-group and controlled clinical trial. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2013 Nov;126(21):4060-5. PMID:24229674

Huang CY, Kuo WW, Liao HE, Lin YM, Kuo CH, Tsai FJ, Tsai CH, Chen JL, Lin JY. Correction to Lumbrokinase Attenuates Side-Stream-Smoke-Induced Apoptosis and Autophagy in Young Hamster Hippocampus: Correlated with eNOS Induction and NFκB/iNOS/COX-2 Signaling Suppression. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2013 Jul 15;26(7):1126. Epub 2013 Jun 7. PMID:23746067

tract also made the pneumonia far more susceptible to the antibiotic tobramycin. Further, genes involved with resistance to antibiotics were down-regulated.

- Bag published that highly resistant urine organ infections were more vulnerable to treatment with *T. chebula* but proposed this is due to its ability to collect iron, since adding iron reduced its effect. However, Bag only tested one of many chemicals from this fruit, and I would suggest other components may have antibacterial action and work by other means.
- Four carefully chosen antibacterial plants (*P. guajava*, *T. chebula*, *A. aspera*, and *M. elengi*) are combined with four solvent extracts (hexane, ethyl acetate, ethanol, and methanol) by Kamal Rai Aneja, who initially evaluated their anti-cavity activity against *S. mutans*. All four of the plants showed activity against *S. mutans*. Ethyl acetate extracts of the four plants showed high antibacterial activity against *S. mutans*, superior to the other solvent extracts. Further, *T. chebula* ethyl acetate extract acts as an effective anti-cavity agent by inhibiting *S. mutans* and *C. albicans*. However, we were unable to find evidence if the benefit of these chemicals involved biofilm removal.

In conclusion, we appreciate that this medicine is proposed to both dissolve Lyme biofilms and also destroy the underlying Lyme bacteria. We offer no opinion on this belief. We do not want to oppose or support its use in terms of biofilm ability. It appears this fruit does act on the bacteria biofilm of *P. aeruginosa*, but Lyme bacteria are not the same as *P. aeruginosa* bacteria. Lyme is also profoundly more genetically complex than a “relative” spirochete bacterium, syphilis.

Therefore, while we do note that this medicine has antibacterial and cell protection actions, and **we accept some patients feel better**, we presently cannot say it is due to biofilm removal in those with tick-borne infections.

## Cancer

Cancer has many causes. Some things increase your risk and other things can decrease your risk. It is rarely pure genetics, even in those with genetic vulnerability. We know some types of plastics increase rates of breast cancer. We know the 200 poisons in cigarettes cause lung cancer. We know various chemicals made by various companies can increase cancer, despite the reality that most US and international chemicals have limited or no top research on their safety.

I like my dental hygienist. And, I like making sure my gums and teeth are “safe.” Why? At first it was because I want to have teeth in twenty years. But, she correctly reminds me that heart attacks are increased by gum disease which is routine in many countries.

Yet, even this passionate healer was not aware of the role of biofilms in cancer. Yes, I said cancer. We are only beginning to understand the role of infections in triggering cancer diseases.

Many years ago, I was working with a physician who asked me to help research possible cures for his cancer. Eventually, that cure was found and written up, taking over 200 hours and many months to complete, with the help of a top medical editor in North America—the former editor of the *Journal of the American Medical Society* and forty other journals, specifically, George Lundberg, who worked feverishly to get this death disorder cure in print ASAP (Schaller).

Years later, he asked me to write a follow up, and we had found that over eight top infection specialists in the United States had missed Babesia, a common parasite that is harder to kill than malaria and which can occasionally increase eosinophils (Schaller). The patient’s trouble included the fact that he had so many eosinophils, his blood could clot quickly. The point? Eosinophils are a type of white blood cell designed to kill parasites. The man’s disorder (HES) Idiopathic Hypereosinophilic Syndrome, which is often fatal and means that eosinophils reproduce out of control, was primed by a Babesia infection. Not all patients with HES also have a Babesia infection, but after writing six books which

## Lactoferrin Xylitol Combination Treatment

In a fascinating look at this proposed double treatment, Mary Ammons shares that treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm with both lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of bacteria to respond to damage resulting from lactoferrin iron chelation.

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* has been identified as the most common biofilm-forming infection in chronic wounds. The immune stimulating molecule lactoferrin and the rare sugar alcohol xylitol, together, were effective in the lab against *P. aeruginosa* biofilms.

How? Lactoferrin iron chelation was identified as the primary means by which lactoferrin undermines the bacterial membrane. Amazingly, this combination showed huge alterations in the expression of the bacteria's genes, but these changes are too complex for a summary. The findings mean that critical chemicals made by *P. aeruginosa* had changed.

Siderophore detection verified that xylitol is the component of this unique double treatment that inhibits the ability of the bacteria to produce siderophores under conditions of iron restriction. Siderophores sound complicated—here is the simple meaning: they are some of the strongest iron binders in the world and they are made by bacteria, viruses and fungi.

The study concludes with two points:

1. Lactoferrin treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms results in destabilization of the bacterial cell membrane through iron chelation.
2. Combining lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms to respond to environmental iron restriction.

Access to iron is profoundly hard for bacteria when this combination is used.



## Erythritol

Erythritol is an amazing sugar. For example, when it was given to children head-to-head with xylitol or sorbitol it was clearly superior. Here is a summary of the research:

Runnel writes: “Three-year consumption of erythritol-containing candies by initially 7- to 8-year old children was associated with reduced plaque growth, lower levels of plaque acetic acid and propionic acid, and reduced oral counts of mutans streptococci compared with the consumption of xylitol or sorbitol candies.”

In a similar way, Japanese researchers show highly advanced reasons for erythritol superiority over xylitol and sorbitol (Hashino). While this study is very dense, let me at least try to list the stunning findings:

1. By advanced confocal microscopic observations, the most effective sugar used to reduce *P. gingivalis* accumulation onto an *S. gordonii* substratum was erythritol, as compared with xylitol and sorbitol.
2. In addition, erythritol moderately suppressed *S. gordonii* monotypic biofilm formation.
3. To examine the inhibitory effects of erythritol, they analyzed the metabolomic profiles of erythritol-treated *P. gingivalis* and *S. gordonii* cells. Metabolome analyses showed that a number of critical bacteria chemicals were decreased by erythritol.
4. Next, metabolites of erythritol- and sorbitol-treated cells were examined. Erythritol significantly decreased the levels of *P. gingivalis* dipeptides. They tended to be increased by sorbitol.

Amazingly, it appears erythritol has inhibitory effects on two diverse species with biofilms, and it acts by at least five very distinct mechanisms.

Dowd reported that biofilm formation was completely inhibited in a standard wound approach by 10% erythritol in either of the two San-

## Does Magnesium Deprivation Hinder Biofilms?

Before we decide to remove an element that is used in vast numbers of important enzymes, we have to have a foundation. First, in some basic physiology texts, calcium displaces magnesium inside human cells. My impression of this research is that suboptimal magnesium increases systemic inflammation, vascular death such as heart attacks, and cancer. Dibaba shows that the higher the magnesium in diet the lower C-reactive protein. This protein is associated with inflammation. If you lower inflammation you decrease deaths.

Qu pooled studies of approximately a half a million people to examine the results. The greatest risk reduction occurred when magnesium intake increased from 150 to 400 mg/day. A significant inverse association was found between dietary magnesium intake and total cardiovascular events. Serum magnesium concentrations are linearly and inversely associated with the risk of cardiovascular troubles such as heart attacks and brain strokes. Since magnesium is poorly absorbed even when chelated to an amino acid, it is perhaps useful to note the useful dose was 400 mg, when compared to minimal benefit from 150 mg orally.

Del Gobbo also examined vast studies and wrote: “Clinical hypomagnesemia and experimental restriction of dietary magnesium increase cardiac arrhythmias.” Deadly ischemic heart disease, in which a person dies due to poorly oxygenated blood reaching the entire heart, was more common in those with no magnesium supplementation or very low oral magnesium dosing. Simply, “circulating and dietary magnesium are inversely associated with [cardiovascular disease].” Further, Qu shows, in another study, a significant drop in intestinal cancers with a reasonable magnesium intake. While we may not know the mechanism for these useful findings, they are not felt to be due to chance.

Song and Leff clearly show why a small number of scientists and physicians have pondered lowering human magnesium  $Mg^{2+}$  levels. They remind us that  $Mg^{2+}$  can influence bacterial adhesion, which is part of biofilm process. In their study, the bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* was used to investigate the influence of  $Mg^{2+}$  on biofilm growth.

## Nitroxoline

We are not going to spend significant time on this fifty year-old antibiotic because it is not used in many countries, and it is a quinolone, and quinolones all seem to have serious risk of tendon damage. For example, it is possible nitroxoline has the same risks as other quinolones ([www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01422](http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01422)).

Quinolones easily enter cells and are often used to treat intracellular pathogens such as *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*.

The FDA has increased warnings regarding side effects since the drugs were first approved. I just want to focus on three side effects that might not be routine but are possible risks with many quinolones:

- **Damage to nerves outside the brain:** This could present as sensory nerve or muscle nerve injury causing paresthesias, hypoaesthesias, dysesthesias, and weakness. New pain, burning, tingling, numbness and/or weakness, or new decreased abilities to detect light touch, pain, temperature, position sense, vibratory sensation, and/or motor strength are basic nerve functions and show damage; these are reasons to stop taking the drug.
- **Tendon damage:** While some focus on the Achilles tendon, actual tears of tendons have occurred in the hand, the shoulder, the thigh, or other locations. Some are helped with surgery. Other patients feel the surgical or other treatment still leaves them with damage. It is believed by some that the use of prednisone and other cortical steroids meant to drop inflammation increases the risk of tendon damage. Perhaps this is especially true in older seniors. Surprisingly, tendons can rupture after the medication is stopped. Some have suggested that IV, transdermal or sublingual magnesium might decrease the risk, but I am not aware this hypothesis has been proven (Schaller).

## Aspirin and NSAIDS

We have previously said it is best to see biofilms like a key, and using AIDS as an example, it was only after AZT in 1996 with **the arrival of protease inhibitors that those quickly dying, experienced a “Lazarus effect,” in which AIDS patients who looked to be ready to die recovered markedly in 30 days.** Medications used in AIDS are tough medications, even if they are miracles. Some may question offering a section on the tough medications aspirin and NSAIDS.

While we appreciate that aspirin and various other over the counter NSAIDS may not be optimal, perhaps due to concerns of liver, kidney or ulcer issues, we are discussing infections that invade and cannot be stopped by your body. You might need all the help you can get. So we offer some synthetic options here that may offer help against a top killing and disabling problem—**biofilm-protected** infections.

For example, fluconazole-resistant *Candida* is increasing worldwide. Fluconazole is also called Diflucan. Biofilms are one reason for a decreased effect in treatment. Aspirin, diclofenac, ketoprofen, tenoxicam, and ketorolac all undermined biofilms or their processes. They all reduced fungal adhesion, and increased biofilm detachment with low concentrations of anti-inflammatory agents. Microscopic examination confirmed the tested drugs had a significant effect on reduction of *Candida* adhesion and biofilm development. The drugs also made fluconazole work more effectively against fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* (Abdelmegeed).

Another useful way to involve aspirin is by teaming it up with the chelation chemical EDTA. Both aspirin and EDTA possess broad antimicrobial activity for biofilm cultures. Aspirin used for 24 hours was successful in eradicating *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *C. albicans* biofilms. Moreover, exposure to the Aspirin-EDTA combination completely destroyed bacterial biofilms after only four hours in simulation lab testing (Al-Bakri).

## Azithromycin (Zithromax)

This medication is almost a household name and is known as the “Z-Pak” which contains brand name Zithromax pills that are still in use today. Despite being in use many years and used very routinely, this medication still has a strong use in addressing biofilms.

For example, Maezono showed that azithromycin was markedly superior compared to other routine antibiotics in killing gum infection bacteria. Specifically, azithromycin at **very low dosing** undermined four strains of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. This determination involved the use of two fascinating techniques.

Azithromycin dropped the bacteria “gasoline” or ATP in the bacteria, which means the bacteria had decreased function or were dead. Cyanide kills humans in part due to dropping ATP levels—it is not a trivial substance. Further, the power of azithromycin was seen clearly with a confocal laser scanning microscope, which has the ability that the long name suggests—seeing the decreased amount of bacteria.

One of the most common hospital infection risks is MRSA; it causes a number of potentially deadly diseases. This “MRSA” simply means routine staph aureus is no longer able to be killed or it is resistant to methicillin, so it reproduces unchecked. Azithromycin is proposed as one solution to MRSA based partly on its biofilm defeating abilities at very low dosing.

Gui shows that azithromycin was active against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains. It reduced the production of  $\alpha$ -hemolysin and biofilm formation at very low “sub-inhibitory” concentrations. So, azithromycin may be useful in the treatment of  $\alpha$ -hemolysin-producing and biofilm-forming MRSA infections.

Maezono H, Noiri Y, Asahi Y, Yamaguchi M, Yamamoto R, Izutani N, Azakami H, Ebisu S. Antibiofilm effects of azithromycin and erythromycin on *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011 Dec;55(12):5887-92. Epub 2011 Sep 12. PMID:21911560

## pérak


Perlakuan pérak dipaké ngalawan biofilms dina tatu geus jelas geus éféktif. Mémang, krim pérak 1% parantos suksés dianggo pikeun ngubar anu sareng nyegah inféksi dina pasien bum di sakumna dunya.

Tinjauan ku International Wound Infection Institute nunjukkeun data masih nunjukkeun pérak salaku pangobatan anu paling luhur.

Contona, Monteiro nguji pérak koloid ngalawan biofilm jamur.

Kacindekan tina karya éta pisan teguh: henteu paduli konsentrasi

anu dianggo dina pangajaran, pérak mangaruhan komposisi matriks sareng struktur b



3-diménsi rendered nutup up *Candida albicans*.

## Cumanda and Biofilms

Dr. Eva Sapi and her colleagues found in their superior laboratory that cumanda had some mild killing effects on the Lyme bacteria, but more importantly for this book, Lyme **biofilm** communities grown in her lab were reduced 43% by this herb at low dosing. The dosing for a dynamic human or animal body was not explored or proposed by this researcher or any other researcher as of February 2014. Searching by its Latin and popular name did not yield any articles relevant for use on infections.

Finally, while Lyme disease is a common and disabling infection, it is hardly the only infectious agent in the many infections carried by Ixodes ticks. While this preliminary research is very useful, it is possible cumanda may have impact inside a body for Lyme and Bartonella treatment. More study is needed. I regret that we only examined cumanda for Bartonella and not Lyme.

Our conclusion was that cumunda hindered Bartonella more than Levofloxacin (levofloxacin), Zithromax (azithromycin), Rifabutin (mycobutin) and other proposed options. To determine treatment effect one needs to know **the indirect actions of Bartonella, Babesia, FL1953, Lyme, inflammation systems, etc. by lab analysis using different companies.**

Theophilus PA, Burugu D, Poururi A, Luecke DF, Sapi E. Effect of Medicinal Agents on the Different Forms of Borrelia burgdorferi Lyme disease or Lyme borreliosis is a tick-borne multisystemic disease caused by different species of Borrelia. <http://healthyats-nl.blogspot.com/2013/07/effect-of-medicinal-agents-stevia-and.html>



## Erythromycin

Gomes found that erythromycin at low doses actually enhanced the growth of biofilms in *C. diphtheriae*. Penicillin acted the same way. Of further concern is that not only did these antibiotics increase biofilm formation but in this case they enhanced infections by strains of *C. diphtheriae*. Diphtheriae is a very dangerous infection without access to effective antibiotics. It is dangerous enough with good ones.

Returning to biofilm-promoted gum disease such as gingivitis, in the United States, over 50% of adults had gingivitis on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Adult periodontitis, measured by the presence of periodontal pockets  $\geq 4$  mm, was found in about 30% of the population on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Lost gum attachment to teeth of at least 3 mm was found in 40% of the population (Oliver).

The density of adherent *P. gingivalis* cells were significantly decreased by using erythromycin at very low dosing called “sub-MIC levels.” One strain was not affected by erythromycin. Finally, erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.

### Erythromycin Key Findings

- Low doses actually grew some biofilms
- Penicillin also grew some biofilms
- It enhanced strains of dangerous *C. diphtheriae*
- Gum disease from *P. gingivalis* cells was much less sticky at very low dosing.
- Erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.



### **Contacting Dr. Schaller**

Should you wish to talk to Dr. Schaller he offers individualized education consults, which can be arranged by calling 239-263-0133. Please leave all your phone numbers, a working email and a fax number. These consults are typically in 15 minute units and can last as long as you wish. All that is required is the completion of a short informed consent form.

If you would like a full diagnostic consult or to see Dr. Schaller as a patient, know he treats patients from all over the USA and from outside the country. He meets with you first and then does follow-up care with you by phone.

If you would like to fly in to see Dr. Schaller, his staff are very familiar with all the closest airports, and we have special hotel discounts.