




COMBATIR LAS BIOPELÍCULAS

POR QUÉ SUS ANTIBIÓTICOS Y ANTIHONGOS FAIL

Soluciones para la enfermedad de Lyme, sinusitis crónica,
Neumonía, infecciones por hongos, heridas, oído
Infecciones, Enfermedad de las encías, Enfermedad intestinal,
Mal aliento, fibrosis quística e implantes


UNA GRAN PIEZA QUE FALTA EN EL ROMPECABEZAS DE LAS ENFERMEDADES CRÓNICAS



JAMES SCHALLER, M.D., M.A.R.
KIMBERLY MOUNTJOY, M.S.

¿Qué es una biopelícula?

La definición científica simple de biopelícula: cualquier grupo de microorganismos en el que las células se adhieren entre sí en una superficie. Por lo general, se encuentran dentro de una capa que crean llamada "baba".



Compare una biopelícula con un huevo frito. La yema amarilla en el centro del huevo frito es la infección bacteriana o fúngica.

La parte blanca más grande que rodea la yema se puede llamar "biopelícula". Protege la infección interna, o yema, tanto de los antibióticos como del sistema inmunológico humano.

El borde exterior del huevo muestra unos bordes fritos muy pequeños. Es fácil pasarlos por alto debido al tamaño del huevo. Vamos a fingir que son antibióticos o sustancias químicas que matan infecciones. Son inútiles porque nunca pasan el borde blanco exterior del huevo. La clara de huevo es como una pared para ellos.

¿Quién tiene infecciones por biopelículas?

Cuando uno aprende acerca de la enorme diversidad de lugares y situaciones en las que las biopelículas son comunes y considera que ese es a menudo el estado rutinario de las bacterias y los organismos fúngicos, comienza a darse cuenta de que cualquiera puede tener una infección o infecciones por biopelículas.

¿Qué buscamos en este libro?

El siguiente material mostrará muchas maneras de romper la “clara de huevo” o biopelícula. Una vez que eso sucede, suele ser mucho más fácil destruir la infección representada por la yema de huevo o el centro amarillo.

Las biopelículas son una de las principales causas de sufrimiento y muerte

Ubicaciones y situaciones del cuerpo de biopelículas

- Una infección que dura más de 2 semanas
- La principal causa de muerte en niños menores de 6 años.
- Placa dental: la boca humana alberga alrededor de 25.000 especies de bacterias, de las cuales alrededor de 1.000 residen en la biopelícula de la placa dental.

- Las infecciones por hongos
- Infecciones posquirúrgicas
- Cáncer
- Mal aliento
- Enfermedad de las encías o periodontitis*
- Caries • Infecciones pulmonares
- Infecciones del sistema urinario
- Bacterias orales: pueden dañar las arterias del corazón y causar la muerte y aumentar los cánceres intestinales.
- Infecciones crónicas del oído
- Infecciones de los senos**
- Amigdalitis crónica
- Heridas

- Cabezales de cepillos de dientes, incluidos estilos de cabezales móviles sónicos

- Catéteres para permitir la eliminación de orina.
- Rodillas, caderas y otros reemplazos artificiales
- Infecciones de las válvulas cardíacas
- Lesiones o llagas
- Enfermedad de Lyme
- Catéteres intravenosos de cualquier tipo
- Catéteres urinarios
- Lentes de contacto
- Dispositivos implantados: cualquier dispositivo implantado o insertado puede enviar bacterias al cerebro, el hígado o los riñones.
- Infecciones crónicas de próstata
- La enfermedad del legionario y muchas otras bacterias biotoxinas que explotan en cualquier agua interior.
- Enfermedades por moho: que pueden surgir de la acumulación de moho en cualquier agua estancada en el interior, es decir, inundaciones, goteras en techos, sótanos o ventanas, humidificadores, Waterpik™ u otros dispositivos de limpieza dental sin usar, condensación en conductos de aire acondicionado, etc. fibrosis: el exceso de producción de moco en las vías respiratorias permite que bacterias como Pseudomonas aeruginosa venzan a los asesinos de bacterias detrás de una capa de biopelícula.
- Partes del cuerpo perdidas
- Infecciones de piel, cabello o uñas
- Artritis
- Endocarditis
- Infecciones óseas
- Acné

Se podrían agregar muchas otras cosas a la lista, incluidos problemas profundamente graves de contaminación por biopelículas en el agua y docenas de otras prácticas de fabricación y relacionadas con la salud.

*El doctor David Kennedy, un dentista jubilado, lamentó que la mayoría de los adultos estadounidenses padezcan enfermedades de las encías, otra afección de la biopelícula bacteriana que implica una infección crónica. Entonces, ¿qué tan extendida está esta sigilosa epidemia de atención sanitaria?

**En Ondine Biopharma, una entrevista [con Richard Longland] reveló que 38.000.000 de personas en este país tienen (o tuvieron) un problema crónico de los senos nasales.

***Ricardo Murga; Terri S. Forster. Papel de las biopelículas en la supervivencia de Legionella pneumophila en un sistema modelo de agua potable. Microbiología (2001), 147, 3121–3126.

COMBATIR LAS BIOPELÍCULAS

Por qué fallan sus antibióticos y antifúngicos

Soluciones para la enfermedad de Lyme, sinusitis crónica,
Neumonía, infecciones por hongos, heridas, oído
Infecciones, Enfermedad de las encías, Enfermedad intestinal,
Mal aliento, fibrosis quística e implantes

Una pieza importante que falta en el rompecabezas de las enfermedades crónicas

James Schaller, MD, MAR

y

Kimberly Mountjoy, MS

Prensa internacional sobre enfermedades infecciosas
Torres bancarias • Newgate Center (Suite 305)
5150 Tamiami Trail Norte [Carretera 41]
Nápoles, Florida 34103

Cómo encontrar respuestas actuales que salven vidas con biopelículas Claro y sólido como una roca.

En este momento se pueden leer dos años de opciones para combatir las biopelículas en artículos, blogs y libros. Esto le llevaría entre 1.000 y 1.500 horas. Y tendrías varias opciones para proponer. A continuación se muestran algunos ejemplos de opciones que encontrará en esos artículos, blogs y libros:

Evite el magnesio	EDTA	Jalea real
Evite los azúcares y los cereales.	DMSO	Tomillo
NAC	vancomicina	La hierba de limón
Norspermidina	gentamicina	serrapeptidasa
Ácido cis 2-decenoico	Banderola	2-aminobencimidazol
lumbroquinasa	Evite las grasas	Equinocandinas

¿Cómo se puede encontrar un marketing razonable y confianza en un agente de biopelícula como solución?

Tom y Lisa escriben en su blog que el producto "x" y la prescripción "d" son tratamientos excepcionales para socavar las infecciones por biopelículas en la fatiga crónica (SFC) y la fibromialgia (FM).

La gente está entusiasmada porque su médico de cabecera no tiene ninguna solución importante ni interés en las infecciones por biopelículas.

El problema es que "x" o "d" podrían ser útiles para socavar una biopelícula o ayudar a superar una enfermedad. Pero tenga cuidado con los enlaces rápidos. El tratamiento "a" puede que sólo funcione en la biopelícula de diez infecciones, y sólo tenemos pruebas de que funciona en tres infecciones.

Nuestro objetivo es mostrarle lo que muestran las buenas investigaciones para que usted y su médico puedan comenzar con hechos y puedan comprender el motivo detrás de cualquier posible ensayo con biopelículas.

Por ejemplo, su infección podría parecerse a Lyme en el uso de hierro. Saito y muchos otros informan que, a diferencia de todos los demás organismos conocidos, Borrelia, la causa de la enfermedad de Lyme, puede existir sin hierro, un metal que toda la vida necesita. En cambio, Borrelia utiliza manganeso.

¿Qué pasa si en el futuro se descubre que su enfermedad basada en biopelículas tiene la misma capacidad de vivir bien sin hierro? Podría significar que un agente de biopelícula que debilita la biopelícula de la enfermedad de Lyme podría funcionar para el suyo. Las biopelículas de infecciones bacterianas y fúngicas tienden a compartir una vulnerabilidad similar a un disruptor de biopelículas. Saber cómo funciona su infección puede ayudar a determinar qué agente de biopelícula funcionará.

<http://phys.org/news/2013-03-scientists-reveal-quirky-feature-lyme.html#jCp>. Consultado el 26 de marzo de 2014.

Contenido

Una revolución médica	1
Las cinco etapas de las biopelículas	2
Imágenes introductorias de biopelículas	3
Una bacteria común que se encuentra en toda la piel humana	4
Las infecciones por "estafilococos" se han vuelto resistentes a la mayoría de los antibióticos	5
La comunidad médica ignora las biopelículas	20
Aclarando las "biopelículas"	21
Prevenir el sufrimiento, el menor funcionamiento, la discapacidad y la muerte a causa de las biopelículas	24
Eliminar primero la biopelícula hace que los antibióticos sean efectivos	26
Muestras muy breves de personas y biopelículas	27
Soluciones	30
Las biopelículas están muy diversificadas	32
Ejemplos de profunda diversidad de biopelículas	32
El doble golpe: bacterias con biopelícula y resistencia a los medicamentos	34
Las 'superbacterias' resistentes a los antibióticos son una grave amenaza 'en un futuro muy cercano'	36
Unas breves palabras sobre las biopelículas en Lyme	37
Enfermedad de Lyme (Borrelia) y biopelículas	38
Dos bacterias diferentes en una biopelícula significan un desastre: común Higiene dental	39
Muestra de cuidado de biopelículas	40
¿Biopelículas de Bartonella y Babesia?	43
Conclusión sobre las biopelículas transmitidas por pulgas y garrapatas	43
Biopelículas e infecciones pulmonares o de los senos nasales: anatomía de un desastre de medicina industrial	45
Deportes de equipo: cuando múltiples infecciones generan biopelículas	46
Advertencia: biopelículas y sesiones médicas cortas y apresuradas	47

Aceites esenciales	48
Conceptos básicos del eugenol	52
Eugenol y biopelículas	53
Linalol	57
Reserpina	59
“Acumulación” de asesinos de biopelículas	60
Terpenoides	61
Alicina y Ajo	64
Serrapeptidasa	67
Lumbroquinasa.....	72
Nattoquinasa	73
Terminalia chebula Retz.....	74
Cáncer	77
Lactoferrina	82
Compra de lactoferrina	84
Tratamiento combinado con lactoferrina y xilitol	86
Limitar los azúcares tradicionales: ¿reducir la inflamación y las biopelículas?	87
El Xilitol del Azúcar	88
Eritritol	90
Organoselenio	93
¿La privación de magnesio obstaculiza las biopelículas?	94
Restringir la grasa para tratar las biopelículas	97
Houttuynia cordata Thunb (HCT)	101
Muestra de sustancias químicas desencadenantes de biopelículas que se vuelven contra las bacterias.	104
Tratamientos dobles	105
Las fuentes vegetales rara vez producen sólo una sustancia química útil	106
Nitroxolina	107
Lisozima.....	109

Aspirina y AINE	110
Azitromicina (Zithromax)	113
Plata	115
Gingerol.....	119
Estevia	121
Conceptos básicos de Cumunda	123
Cumandá y Biopelículas	125
Eritromicina	126
Miel	128
Mal aliento	134
Los aminoácidos invertidos socavan las biopelículas	136
Catelicidina LL-37	139
Enzima inhibidora de ARNIII (RIP)	141
Tinidazol (Tindamax) y Metronidazol (Flagyl)	142
Tuberculosis (TB)	143
¿Una nueva herramienta para ayudar a matar la tuberculosis?	144
Alimentos en polvo, poder antibacteriano	145
Conclusión	146
Apéndice	147
Publicaciones clínicas del Dr. Schaller	153
Otros libros del Dr. Schaller	155
Descargo de responsabilidad	169
Cómo ponerse en contacto con el Dr. Schaller	170

Una revolución médica

La teoría de la infección por biopelículas es una profunda revolución en el estudio de infecciones que pueden ser dolorosas, incapacitantes y, de hecho, una de las principales causas de muerte según la edad.


Las infecciones están empezando a devolvernos a los días en que la gente moría por infecciones simples. El nuevo mundo de infección por biopelículas podría matar a más personas que la Primera y la Segunda Guerra Mundial juntas si las cosas no cambian rápidamente tanto en las naciones desarrolladas como en las no desarrolladas. Debido a una lenta comprensión de la importancia de las biopelículas y, por lo tanto, a una lenta adopción por parte de los médicos de nuevas soluciones de biopelículas, incluso los médicos más avanzados podrían tomar en serio las biopelículas solo cuando se haya demostrado que cada vez más personas quedan discapacitadas y mueren a causa de ellas. . Actualmente, la mayoría pasa por alto las biopelículas como causa de sufrimiento y muerte. Así pues, las biopelículas sin solución son tan graves como la polio en el siglo XIX sin vacuna y, en términos de número de víctimas, son mucho más devastadoras que el VIH/SIDA.

La mayoría de las bacterias viven en comunidades que suelen tener biopelículas protectoras únicas. El 1% de las bacterias que infectan a los humanos o afectan la vida humana flotan solas y, cuando se encuentran en la sangre, no se encuentran junto con ninguna biopelícula viscosa.

Los Institutos Nacionales de Salud estiman que más del 80% de las infecciones microbianas en el cuerpo humano son causadas por biopelículas, y muchas de ellas crean problemas crónicos y recurrentes. ¿O tiene razón Glowacki y dice que el 99% de las bacterias viven en una biopelícula? Ya sea que se utilice el 80% de los NIH o el 99% de Glowacki como estimación, las biopelículas son una consideración seria en las infecciones.

Głowacki R, Strek P, Zagórska-Swiezy K, Składzień J, Oleś K, Hydzik-Sobocińska K, Miodoński A. [Biopelícula de pacientes con rinosinusitis crónica. Estudios morfológicos SEM]. [Artículo en polaco]. *Otorrinolaringol Pol.* 2008;62(3):305-10.

Imágenes introductorias de biopelículas



Un nuevo parásito unicelular productor de biopelículas genéticamente único llamado FL1953 o *Protomyxzoa rheumatica*. (Este frotis especial es la mejor manera de detectar estos parásitos unicelulares en el cuerpo humano, ya que las pruebas de ADN o PCR no siempre son positivas).


Los cien óvalos oscuros en el exterior de esta imagen que se muestra arriba son glóbulos rojos (RBC) de tamaño de 8 micrones. La masa central es una bola de biopelícula con muchos glóbulos rojos en la masa de la biopelícula.

Esta biopelícula que se muestra arriba se encuentra comúnmente en personas con infecciones transmitidas por garrapatas, como la muy común *Bartonella*, la bacteria *Borrelia* de la enfermedad de Lyme y la mortal *Babesia*. Si bien algunas enfermedades transmitidas por garrapatas pueden ser peores que otras o más comunes que otras, todas son potencialmente mortales a menos que se erradiquen. Este parásito que se muestra arriba es una infección unicelular relacionada con *Babesia* y la malaria, y cuando se le quita su biopelícula, parece malaria inmadura. Según los Centros para el Control de Enfermedades, este es un protozoo único. No es ni *Babesia* ni malaria. Esta infección se llama FL1953 o *Protomyxzoa rheumatica*. Produce enormes cantidades de biopelícula y la enorme masa central de esta imagen contiene cientos de glóbulos rojos.



Mientras analizamos diferentes órganos y causas de las biopelículas, no debemos dejar de lado un vector de infecciones por biopelículas transmitido por más de 200 seres vivos en al menos tres continentes: la garrapata Ixodes. Lleva al menos dos importantes productores de biopelículas: FL1953 y la bacteria Lyme, genéticamente avanzada y altamente compleja. Todavía estamos aprendiendo sobre todas las posibles infecciones que conlleva.

Tenga en cuenta que el pelo parece hierba grande, por lo que esta garrapata es una fracción de este tamaño. Cuando combinas la invisibilidad con una picadura que contiene un analgésico, un antihistamínico, un anticoagulante y un agente antiinflamatorio, tienes un portador de infección sigiloso. Una sustancia química de la saliva de las garrapatas, la sialostatina L, es una enzima inmunosupresora tan buena que puede inhibir el asma (Horka 2012).



Los perros pueden ser los mejores amigos del hombre, pero no si tocas su saliva ni si traen garrapatas o pulgas a tu casa o a tu coche. Supongamos que todo perro y gato que vive fuera de una ciudad probablemente haya sufrido picaduras de garrapatas o pulgas.



Aclarando las “biopelículas”

Una biopelícula es como una moneda de diez centavos en el centro de un charco de aceite de oliva, y en el borde exterior del aceite hay pimienta que representa las células que matan infecciones. No pueden entrar para destruir la moneda de diez centavos. Las comunidades de bacterias de biopelículas son el estado habitual de la mayoría de las infecciones humanas. Nos han enseñado que las infecciones son bacterias aisladas que flotan y esto es un grave error. Muestra hasta dónde debemos llegar en ciencia si la principal forma de bacteria... comunidades de bacterias de biopelículas, es un concepto nuevo, pero crucial. Cuando en 2004 hice una lista de veinticinco opciones para matar biopelículas, no hubo mucho interés.

Hoy en día, la incapacidad de destruir biopelículas con diversas opciones es literalmente un desastre para la salud.

El objetivo al escribir y publicar este libro es crear un conjunto de opciones asequibles basadas en investigaciones junto con otras opciones posibles, para presentar un libro puro de soluciones que ofrezcan las soluciones más nuevas, actuales y actualizadas para los cientos de enfermedades asociadas con biopelículas. La barrera de una película biológica puede ser completamente imposible de eliminar o penetrar con las opciones de rutina utilizadas por médicos, especialistas en infecciones, naturópatas, escuelas de medicina alternativa, practicantes de aceites esenciales, acupunturistas, enfermeras practicantes o herbolarios.

Con este libro esperamos servirle a usted y a su médico/curandero explorando las opciones disponibles ahora. Buscamos en los últimos cinco años publicaciones en PubMed, la enorme base de datos de ciencia médica para “tratamiento de biopelículas”. La variedad de opciones es impresionante y no siempre es lo que cabría esperar. Este libro está destinado a brindarle amplias opciones para prevenir su sufrimiento, discapacidad e incluso la muerte.

Después de años de investigación y estudio, me he dado cuenta de que es posible que los “expertos” en enfermedades infecciosas en biopelículas hayan perdido la guerra hace mucho tiempo y, de hecho, es posible que muchos nunca hayan sido conscientes de todas las batallas. Pensilvania-

Muestras muy breves de personas y biopelículas

En 2004, Richard Longland se recuperó muy mal de una misteriosa enfermedad después de una cirugía de columna. En los meses siguientes, sufrió muchos problemas: dolores de cabeza, dolores en las articulaciones y, más tarde, problemas cardíacos y cerebrales, fatiga brutal y problemas para pensar.

El sistema médico se opuso, pero finalmente, en 2007, fue tratado por un micoplasma que provenía de un posible proceso quirúrgico, en cualquier lugar del hospital o en un lugar público o una garrapata.

La mayoría de mis pacientes han visto entre 3 y 200 médicos antes de acudir a mí. Entiendo su experiencia. El Sr. Longland tuvo que ver a más de veinte médicos para obtener un diagnóstico. Durante este período difícil, creó una excelente película llamada "¿Por qué estoy tan enfermo?" Es un paciente defensor del uso de agentes farmacéuticos y naturopáticos para eliminar de su cuerpo las biopelículas bacterianas sistémicas.

Edward tiene 78 años, tres hijas y ocho nietos. Fue hospitalizado por dificultad para respirar. Tiene una neumonía grave o una infección en el pulmón. Está empeorando. Los individuos se han recuperado utilizando agentes que vencen muchas neumonías protegidas por biopelículas.

Linda ha estado cansada durante algunos años y tiene problemas con la escuela. Recientemente descubrí que tiene varias infecciones por garrapatas que han provocado que más de quince resultados de laboratorio sean anormales. Ayer me llamó y por un dolor detrás de la rodilla le dije que fuera a urgencias. En menos de un día, se descubrió que tenía 23 coágulos en los pulmones y las piernas. Sospecha que es Babesia, inflamación y FL1953. Tuvimos agentes que mataron a estos agentes, incluido FL1953, en 2006.

It would be an error to say that nattokinase, lumbrokinase, serrapeptidase, EDTA, gentamicin, vancomycin, Samento, Banderol, olive products, poorly known herbs with fair lab testing in humans, clove bud oil, diet, chelation, three to four part amino acid mixes, NAC, Rife, diet changes or a vast range of other options not listed, will **work for all biofilms**. For example, an elderly patient dying of a lung infection or another person with painful and treatment-resistant sinus infection *will not* have the same biofilm.

As a trend, trying different options to destroy a biofilm is less dangerous than allowing it to spread.

A Brief Word on Biofilms in Lyme

At times, individuals who have tick- and flea-borne infections, like Bartonella, Babesia and Borrelia (Lyme disease), can feel their treatment is minimal or incomplete. Debates rage over the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme and tick-borne diseases; whether the pain is from residual dead infection incorporated into tissue or one of the many infections carried by the I. scapularis tick, we still have patients' misery.

After writing **twelve books** which include many pages on non-Borrelia infections, “Lyme testing” seems like alphabet testing in which ***one only looks for the vowel “a.”*** Due to the lack of acceptance of the number and complexity of tick-borne infections, there is a lack of up to date education, leaving quality medical doctors to evaluate tick and flea infections in the ***abstract***, by which I mean that they very falsely and sadly do not realize the full magnitude of ***“the alphabet.”***

Specifically, they “diagnose” by ignoring inflammation alterations, nutrient changes, hormone deficits, immunity changes caused by tick-borne infections, and chemicals made or suppressed by direct tick and flea infectious agents. I discuss these in my three most recent tick and flea infection books. All are available in English. All can be found free through inter-library loan, for less than \$20 USD, or at www.personal-consult.com under the “free books” button. No one can expect to become an expert in this massive area after reading any guide or merely going to ten conferences, because these cluster infections impact twenty areas of medical and scientific knowledge.

In the last four years, researchers like **Dr. Eva Sapi have shown Lyme is like some other spirochetes—it has biofilms. These are very tough biofilms to defeat unless caught in the “acute stage.”** A tough, “mature biofilm” allows organisms to **“laugh at” many antibiotics.**

Some medical professionals interested in Lyme often ignore the immune suppressing Bartonella bacterium, which is more common than Lyme. Ignoring coinfections may increase the risk of fatality with Babesia and possibly **FL1953**. These healers also may not realize that the highly

genetically complex Lyme spirochete appears to have a troublesome biofilm. Performing a simple direct test at laboratory companies whose testing kits have reduced sensitivity will probably result in more negatives for tick-borne diseases. The ultimate result is anti-science and anti-truth. Searching for tick infections with one test is like writing in “Lincoln” at the next presidential election.

Lyme Disease (*Borrelia*) and Biofilms

Several researchers believe *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the active agent of Lyme disease, has biofilms. Lyme organism biofilms have been found in culture and in the tick gut. Lyme cysts and biofilms have also been noted in patient skin biopsies using focus floating microscopy according to Dr. Eisendle publishing in the *American Journal of Pathology*.

Further, we see in Lyme that biofilm formation is dependent on cyclic di-GMP expression and we see that in Lyme (Stricker and Johnson).

Brihuega B, Samartino L, Auteri C, Venzano A, Caimi K. In vivo cell aggregations of a recent swine biofilm-forming isolate of *Leptospira interrogans* strain from Argentina. *Rev Argent Microbiol*. 2012 Jul-Sep;44(3):138-43. PMID:23102459

Cogoni V, Morgan-Smith A, Fenno JC, Jenkinson HF, Dymock D. *Treponema denticola* chymotrypsin-like proteinase (CTLP) integrates spirochaetes within oral microbial communities. *Microbiology*. 2012 Mar;158(Pt 3):759-70. Epub 2012 Feb 7. PMID:22313692

Sapi E, Kaur N, Anyanwu S, Luecke DF, Datar A, Patel S, Rossi M, Stricker RB. Evaluation of in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility of different morphological forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2011;4:97-113. Epub 2011 May 3. PMID:21753890

Stricker RB, Johnson L. Lyme disease: the next decade. *Infect Drug resist*. 2011; 4: 1-9. PMID: 21694904

Sapi E, Bastian SL, Mpoy CM, Scott S, Rattelle A, Pabbati N, Poruri A, Burugu D, Theophilus PA, Pham TV, Datar A, Dhaliwal NK, MacDonald A, Rossi MJ, Sinha SK, Luecke DF. Characterization of biofilm formation by *Borrelia burgdorferi* in vitro. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):e48277. Epub 2012 Oct 24. PMID:23110225

lease of bacteria in the human body will be like a dangerous tornado in a field. It is a wise concern.

For these two problems regarding biofilm-held infections suddenly being released, here are useful solutions:

1. You need many infection killing options for use since more is better to prevent “seeding” of dispersed infection.
2. You want the biofilm killing options to destroy biofilms by different mechanisms. This makes the dispersed seeded infections naked to the immune system.
3. Biofilm tools are given initially at low doses and then increased gradually to large doses since often in the beginning patients have massive inflammation and a drastic increase in killing of biofilm organisms in a short time could cause trouble with bone marrow, liver, heart, eye, or kidney issues, or merely create more dead infectious debris resulting in patient misery.
4. You may need to pulse (use every other day) or fully stop this treatment because once a wave of biofilm eroding agents strips off or severely damages a biofilm of an infection, the same antibiotics that were useless in the past can become very effective.
5. There is no single master biofilm destroyer, yet some are broader than others.

Bartonella and Babesia Biofilms?

Most people have heard of the profoundly common tick infection Lyme disease, but they may not know Bartonella is more common than Lyme and is carried by far more vectors (Breitschwerdt). Babesia decimated the cattle population in the southern United States many decades ago and is more dangerous in humans than Lyme.

Currently, we have no solid data showing Bartonella and Babesia have biofilms.


Tick and Flea-Borne Biofilms Conclusion

Below you will see that mouth spirochetes routinely have biofilms. Another spirochete is Leptospira which is able to make biofilms in many environments and may contribute to lost pregnancy in mammals (Brihuega).

In terms of tick and flea infection biofilms, I would focus on **FL1953** (Protomyxzoa) and Lyme, since both have been known and treated by us since 2006, though the former was killed without knowing its genetic uniqueness. We are learning what decreases their biofilm pathology and have agents that should work if one is open to look at diverse approaches. A synthetic “antibiotic only approach” to biofilms, including antibiotics targeted to hit biofilms, might be similar to typing with one finger.

There are herbalists, such as Stephen Buhner, who propose selected herbs to treat some tick infections. And, in terms of **primary treating herbs to kill organisms**, there are also credible options that are not always herbal in use for a tick or flea infection. We will continue to use **advanced lab testing**, typically only allowed under physician supervision, to determine by serious extensive **indirect blood exam** biochemistry tests to see which infection is actually destroyed in people experiencing benefit from herbal therapy. In any event, I enjoyed this line from Buhner: *“I can’t really say what will clear all biofilms.”*

Otra imagen de muestra de biopelícula



Los oVlls oscuros son sangre roja "°Us (-hoja arriba« cuervo), La "hoja" que comienza desde llo derecha abajo« '°'11«, corta hacia el oomer superior izquierdo, en un bioretteno,\`rnlffial La OIA cortará un pozo hasta un pequeño invernadero (Piy Labaratoriea)

Conceptos básicos del eugenol

El eugenol se encuentra en muchos aceites y hierbas esenciales. Por ejemplo, se encuentra en alta potencia en el aceite esencial de clavo de olor, pero también en dosis más bajas en la hoja de canela y su aceite esencial. También se encuentra en los aceites de pimienta, laurel, sasafrás, corteza de Massey, aceite de alcanfor y plantas de chamchwi según PubChem. La potencia y la concentración varían ampliamente según la fuente y el método de extracción. Además, éste no es simplemente un poderoso agente de biopelícula; tiene otras propiedades sorprendentes, como acciones antivirales y efectos anticancerígenos.



Por ejemplo, Tragoolpua y Jatisatienr demostraron que el eugenol afecta al herpes oral y genital según la especie, la cepa y otros factores. Dejaron en claro que el aceite esencial puede ser más poderoso que un simple extracto. De hecho, los herpes orales y genitales, HSV-1 y HSV-2, respectivamente, no pudieron reproducirse en presencia de eugenol. Al-Sharif ha demostrado importantes efectos cancerígenos. Una concentración muy baja ($2 \mu\text{M}$) tiene toxicidad específica contra diferentes células de cáncer de mama. Este efecto letal fue mediado mediante la inducción de una vía de muerte de células cancerosas y la disminución de los niveles de E2F 1 y survivina, dos moléculas que son esenciales para la supervivencia celular. También frenó el cáncer de mama

genes. Importantly, these anti-proliferative and pro-cancer cell death effects were also observed inside body grafts placed in non-human animals.

<http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/summary/summary.cgi?cid=3314>

Tragoalpua Y, Jatisatiennr A. Anti-herpes simplex virus activities of *Eugenia caryophyllus* (Spreng.) Bullock & S. G. Harrison and essential oil, eugenol. *Phytother Res.* 2007; 21(12):1153-8.

Al-Sharif I, Remmal A, Aboussekhra A. Eugenol triggers apoptosis in breast cancer cells through E2F1/survivin down-regulation. *BMC Cancer.* 2013 Dec 13;13(1):600. [Epub ahead of print]

Eugenol and Biofilms

Recently, Dr. Zhou has reminded us of a special process that is involved in the formation of dangerous biofilms. Basically, many bacteria have a “chatty” way of talking to other cells such as other bacteria. So, bacteria use chemicals or cause other bacteria to make chemicals to help them survive and often act to harm you or a loved one.

Eugenol is so effective that at very low amounts, it still disrupted bacteria chemical communication. This is very important in a biofilm destroying agent. If cells cannot communicate, it is doubtful they can form communities. Biofilms are community creations. **Further, eugenol at very low doses, called “sub-inhibitory concentrations” inhibited biofilm formation.**

One type of biofilm research being conducted compares biofilm killers head to head. The results are not always the same, perhaps in part because the infections are not always the same. Note that in an Epub abstract before publication, Malic explains that the best essential oil for urinary catheters, with or without biofilms, against fourteen different bacteria was eugenol. This is why I believe this substance is a “double killer.” It can defeat many biofilms, and then kill the organism making the biofilm. Finally, in this study, eugenol did better than tea tree oil.

Linalool

According to the Merriam-Webster dictionary, the word linalool is derived from a Medieval Latin phrase meaning “wood of the aloe.” Linalool has a nice smelling alcohol and essential oils. It is used in perfumes, soaps, and flavoring materials.

In terms of biofilms, it seems to be most effective when **the essential oil part** is used, which has **the most evidence of killing Candida albicans**. (Candida albicans is the cause of yeast infections.) Yet, again, it is the essential oil fraction that not only **inhibits the growth** of Candida albicans but also of the bacteria Lactobacillus casei, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus sobrinus, Porphyromonas gingivalis and Streptococcus mutans cell suspensions, all of them associated with oral cavity disease, according to Alviano and Mendonça-Filho. Yet, Budzyńska reported this essential oil did not fully remove biofilms formed by Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 29213) and Escherichia coli (NCTC 8196) on the surface of routine medical materials such as urinary catheters, infusion tubes and surgical mesh.

Hsu found that linalool could be effective against Candida albicans due to its many genetic blocking effects. For example, using a scanning electron microscope and other technology, many signs of the effect of linalool to destroy Candida or inhibit its growth could be noted. Hsu found blocking actions against genes involving adhesion production and the formation of “branches” or the mold’s hyphae were both decreased by linalool.

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/linalool>

Budzyńska A, Wieckowska-Szakiel M, Sadowska B, Kalemba D, Różalska B. Antibiofilm activity of selected plant essential oils and their major components. Pol J Microbiol. 2011;60(1):35-41. PMID:21630572

Alviano WS, Mendonça-Filho RR, Alviano DS, Bizzo HR, Souto-Pradón T, Rodrigues ML, Bolognese AM, Alviano CS, Souza MM. Antimicrobial activity of Croton cajucara Benth linalool-rich essential oil on artificial biofilms and planktonic microorganisms. Oral Microbiol Immunol. 2005 Apr;20(2):101-5.

Reserpine

Reserpine is a substance found in the roots of some types of Rauwolfia that has been made into a traditional medicine. It is used to lower high blood pressure and help with psychotic symptoms, but side effects have limited its use.

While it may not be comfortable to use at modest or high dosing, very low dosing, according to Magesh, showed it to be profoundly powerful against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. In one report, he used reserpine and was able to stop biofilms in this pneumoniae infection at a fraction of the dose thought to inhibit growth.

Specifically, a tiny fraction of this drug, a mere 0.0156 mg/ml, stopped biofilm production in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. So, it may be possible that we have another example of a medical truth I use every day:

“Change the dose and you change the drug or herb.”

In this case, perhaps it is possible that 1/10th of the lowest size tablet, 0.1 mg, could harm *Klebsiella* and other infections and be safe for the patient. However, the raw materials for making it may be hard to find some months according to ASHP who tracks pharmacy shortages.

Magesh H, Kumar A, Alam A, Priyam, Sekar U, Sumantran VN, Vaidyanathan R. Identification of natural compounds which inhibit biofilm formation in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Indian J Exp Biol*. 2013 Sep;51(9):764-72.

<http://www.ashp.org/DrugShortages/Current/Bulletin.aspx?id=975>

“Stacking” Biofilm Killers

While physicians may ponder the problems caused by biofilms in practice, I rarely encounter the doctor who understands that it is usually better to have more than one treatment. In the article below, **oral bio-film infections were controlled best by three agents, not merely one.** For example, Alves explains that when you are going to irrigate or clean a root canal area, that two mouth bacteria infections protected by their biofilms have these same film barriers decreased significantly by treatment with farnesol, xylitol and lactoferrin together.

The same results were found in wounds. One of the best treatments for wounds is the use of a silver-based wound dressing or bandage, together with a gel containing xylitol and lactoferrin (Ammons).

Alves FR, Silva MG, Rôças IN, Siqueira JF Jr. Biofilm biomass disruption by natural substances with potential for endodontic use. *Braz Oral Res.* 2013 Jan-Feb;27(1):20-5. PMID:23306623

Ammons MC, Ward LS, James GA. Anti-biofilm efficacy of a lactoferrin/xylitol wound hydrogel used in combination with silver wound dressings. *Int Wound J.* 2011 Jun;8(3):268-73. Epub 2011 Apr 1. PMID:21457463

Terpenoids

I would like to mention a class of options that come from a familiar substance, chemicals from tea tree oil. We have already mentioned linalool which is part of this class individually, since it comes up as a leading biofilm killer. According to Raut, as many as 14 terpenoids derived from tea tree oil inhibit biofilms, and α -terpineol, nerol, isopulegol, carvone, linalool, α -thujone and farnesol are worthy of special note. Eight terpenoids have effects on **mature** yeast biofilms (*Candida albicans*).

A study by Ramage shows tea tree oil (TTO), terpinen-4-ol (T-4-ol), and α -terpineol displaying potent activity against 69 biofilm-forming *Candida* strains, of which T-4-ol and α -terpineol displayed rapid kill action.

Of these three, T-4-ol displayed no significant toxicity to cells. These data provide further laboratory evidence that TTO and its derivative components, specifically T-4-ol, exhibit strong antimicrobial properties against fungal biofilms. Further, T-4-ol appears to possess safety advantages over the complete essential oil (TTO) and may be suitable for prevention and treatment of established oral and upper throat cavity candidosis. Certain terpenoids are components of spices or food ingredients generally regarded as safe (GRAS) (Pauli 2006).

In another study, several chemicals from plants were tried against two very common bacteria (Budzyńska), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 8196), both with biofilms on the surface of **routine** medical products, i.e., urinary catheter, infusion tube and surgical mesh. All three are present in most advanced hospitals and other settings. Surgical mesh was the surface most prone to persistent colonization since the biofilms that formed on it, both by *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, were difficult to destroy.

Melaleuca alternifolia is the source of Tea Tree Oil (TTO). *Lavandula angustifolia* yields Lavender, English Lavender and True Lavender (LEO). *Melissa officinalis* is Lemon balm (MEO). Tea Tree oil, Lemon balm, α -terpineol and terpinen-4-ol showed stronger anti-biofilm

Allicin and Garlic

Garlic has been used as a medicine throughout human history. Allicin is considered one of the medically useful components of garlic. Other useful components are discussed in Chinese language pharmacology texts.

As early as 2003, the use of allicin against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* had reported effects on biofilm formation at low dosing. Pérez-Giraldo reported that lab testing showed that allicin diminished biofilm formations.

Lihua reported ten years later that allicin impacts *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. This is hardly casual information, since *P. aeruginosa* is likely resistant to multiple antibiotics, and this resistance may be due to biofilms. Organosulfur allicin has been shown to inhibit surface-adherence of bacteria and Lihua demonstrated that allicin could inhibit early bacterial adhesion which is a first step to bacterial community formation, usually just before biofilm production.

Other researchers isolated various components of garlic and tested the most active components. The following three components were examined:

1. garlic extract
2. allicin
3. diallyl sulfide (DAS)

They were tested against the serious mouth and dental infection *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, the primary cause of severe aggressive periodontitis and other non-oral infections.

Lumbrokinase

We appreciate that some people interested in progressive medicine feel this enzyme, Lumbrokinase, is a useful substance. Some have suggested it is useful in the removal of biofilms. If that is true, we had trouble finding the evidence for that position. However, it does seem that some researchers see a potential for this enzyme to “digest” pathological clots. This possibility seems to have some support, and at this time we will only wait for further research. Since we are only proposing biofilm options that are supported by research and since human use is just starting in research settings, we do not promote this agent at this time.

Ryu GH, Park S, Han DK, Kim YH, Min B. Antithrombotic activity of a lumbrokinase immobilized polyurethane surface. *ASAIO J.* 1993 Jul-Sep;39(3):M314-8. PMID:8268550

Kim JS, Kang JK, Chang HC, Lee M, Kim GS, Lee DK, Kim ST, Kim M, Park S. The thrombolytic effect of lumbrokinase is not as potent as urokinase in a rabbit cerebral embolism model. *J Korean Med Sci.* 1993 Apr;8(2):117-20. PMID: 8397927

Mihara H, Sumi H, Yoneta T, Mizumoto H, Ikeda R, Seiki M, Maruyama M. A novel fibrinolytic enzyme extracted from the earthworm, *Lumbricus rubellus*. *Jpn J Physiol.* 1991;41(3):461-72. PMID:1960890

Wang KY, Tull L, Cooper E, Wang N, Liu D. Recombinant Protein Production of Earthworm Lumbrokinase for Potential Antithrombotic Application. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:783971. Epub 2013 Dec 12. Review. PMID:24416067

Cao YJ, Zhang X, Wang WH, Zhai WQ, Qian JF, Wang JS, Chen J, You NX, Zhao Z, Wu QY, Xu Y, Yuan L, Li RX, Liu CF. Oral fibrinogen-depleting agent lumbrokinase for secondary ischemic stroke prevention: results from a multicenter, randomized, parallel-group and controlled clinical trial. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2013 Nov;126(21):4060-5. PMID:24229674

Huang CY, Kuo WW, Liao HE, Lin YM, Kuo CH, Tsai FJ, Tsai CH, Chen JL, Lin JY. Correction to Lumbrokinase Attenuates Side-Stream-Smoke-Induced Apoptosis and Autophagy in Young Hamster Hippocampus: Correlated with eNOS Induction and NF κ B/iNOS/COX-2 Signaling Suppression. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2013 Jul 15;26(7):1126. Epub 2013 Jun 7. PMID:23746067

tract also made the pneumonia far more susceptible to the antibiotic tobramycin. Further, genes involved with resistance to antibiotics were down-regulated.

- Bag published that highly resistant urine organ infections were more vulnerable to treatment with *T. chebula* but proposed this is due to its ability to collect iron, since adding iron reduced its effect. However, Bag only tested one of many chemicals from this fruit, and I would suggest other components may have antibacterial action and work by other means.
- Four carefully chosen antibacterial plants (*P. guajava*, *T. chebula*, *A. aspera*, and *M. elengi*) are combined with four solvent extracts (hexane, ethyl acetate, ethanol, and methanol) by Kamal Rai Aneja, who initially evaluated their anti-cavity activity against *S. mutans*. All four of the plants showed activity against *S. mutans*. Ethyl acetate extracts of the four plants showed high antibacterial activity against *S. mutans*, superior to the other solvent extracts. Further, *T. chebula* ethyl acetate extract acts as an effective anti-cavity agent by inhibiting *S. mutans* and *C. albicans*. However, we were unable to find evidence if the benefit of these chemicals involved biofilm removal.

In conclusion, we appreciate that this medicine is proposed to both dissolve Lyme biofilms and also destroy the underlying Lyme bacteria. We offer no opinion on this belief. We do not want to oppose or support its use in terms of biofilm ability. It appears this fruit does act on the bacteria biofilm of *P. aeruginosa*, but Lyme bacteria are not the same as *P. aeruginosa* bacteria. Lyme is also profoundly more genetically complex than a “relative” spirochete bacterium, syphilis.

Therefore, while we do note that this medicine has antibacterial and cell protection actions, and **we accept some patients feel better**, we presently cannot say it is due to biofilm removal in those with tick-borne infections.

Cancer

Cancer has many causes. Some things increase your risk and other things can decrease your risk. It is rarely pure genetics, even in those with genetic vulnerability. We know some types of plastics increase rates of breast cancer. We know the 200 poisons in cigarettes cause lung cancer. We know various chemicals made by various companies can increase cancer, despite the reality that most US and international chemicals have limited or no top research on their safety.

I like my dental hygienist. And, I like making sure my gums and teeth are “safe.” Why? At first it was because I want to have teeth in twenty years. But, she correctly reminds me that heart attacks are increased by gum disease which is routine in many countries.

Yet, even this passionate healer was not aware of the role of biofilms in cancer. Yes, I said cancer. We are only beginning to understand the role of infections in triggering cancer diseases.

Many years ago, I was working with a physician who asked me to help research possible cures for his cancer. Eventually, that cure was found and written up, taking over 200 hours and many months to complete, with the help of a top medical editor in North America—the former editor of the *Journal of the American Medical Society* and forty other journals, specifically, George Lundberg, who worked feverishly to get this death disorder cure in print ASAP (Schaller).

Years later, he asked me to write a follow up, and we had found that over eight top infection specialists in the United States had missed Babesia, a common parasite that is harder to kill than malaria and which can occasionally increase eosinophils (Schaller). The patient’s trouble included the fact that he had so many eosinophils, his blood could clot quickly. The point? Eosinophils are a type of white blood cell designed to kill parasites. The man’s disorder (HES) Idiopathic Hypereosinophilic Syndrome, which is often fatal and means that eosinophils reproduce out of control, was primed by a Babesia infection. Not all patients with HES also have a Babesia infection, but after writing six books which

Lactoferrin Xylitol Combination Treatment

In a fascinating look at this proposed double treatment, Mary Ammons shares that treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm with both lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of bacteria to respond to damage resulting from lactoferrin iron chelation.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa has been identified as the most common biofilm-forming infection in chronic wounds. The immune stimulating molecule lactoferrin and the rare sugar alcohol xylitol, together, were effective in the lab against *P. aeruginosa* biofilms.

How? Lactoferrin iron chelation was identified as the primary means by which lactoferrin undermines the bacterial membrane. Amazingly, this combination showed huge alterations in the expression of the bacteria's genes, but these changes are too complex for a summary. The findings mean that critical chemicals made by *P. aeruginosa* had changed.

Siderophore detection verified that xylitol is the component of this unique double treatment that inhibits the ability of the bacteria to produce siderophores under conditions of iron restriction. Siderophores sound complicated—here is the simple meaning: they are some of the strongest iron binders in the world and they are made by bacteria, viruses and fungi.

The study concludes with two points:

1. Lactoferrin treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms results in destabilization of the bacterial cell membrane through iron chelation.
2. Combining lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms to respond to environmental iron restriction.

Access to iron is profoundly hard for bacteria when this combination is used.

Erythritol

Erythritol is an amazing sugar. For example, when it was given to children head-to-head with xylitol or sorbitol it was clearly superior. Here is a summary of the research:

Runnel writes: “Three-year consumption of erythritol-containing candies by initially 7- to 8-year old children was associated with reduced plaque growth, lower levels of plaque acetic acid and propionic acid, and reduced oral counts of mutans streptococci compared with the consumption of xylitol or sorbitol candies.”

In a similar way, Japanese researchers show highly advanced reasons for erythritol superiority over xylitol and sorbitol (Hashino). While this study is very dense, let me at least try to list the stunning findings:

1. By advanced confocal microscopic observations, the most effective sugar used to reduce *P. gingivalis* accumulation onto an *S. gordonii* substratum was erythritol, as compared with xylitol and sorbitol.
2. In addition, erythritol moderately suppressed *S. gordonii* monotypic biofilm formation.
3. To examine the inhibitory effects of erythritol, they analyzed the metabolomic profiles of erythritol-treated *P. gingivalis* and *S. gordonii* cells. Metabolome analyses showed that a number of critical bacteria chemicals were decreased by erythritol.
4. Next, metabolites of erythritol- and sorbitol-treated cells were examined. Erythritol significantly decreased the levels of *P. gingivalis* dipeptides. They tended to be increased by sorbitol.

Amazingly, it appears erythritol has inhibitory effects on two diverse species with biofilms, and it acts by at least five very distinct mechanisms.

Dowd reported that biofilm formation was completely inhibited in a standard wound approach by 10% erythritol in either of the two San-

Does Magnesium Deprivation Hinder Biofilms?

Before we decide to remove an element that is used in vast numbers of important enzymes, we have to have a foundation. First, in some basic physiology texts, calcium displaces magnesium inside human cells. My impression of this research is that suboptimal magnesium increases systemic inflammation, vascular death such as heart attacks, and cancer. Dibaba shows that the higher the magnesium in diet the lower C-reactive protein. This protein is associated with inflammation. If you lower inflammation you decrease deaths.

Qu pooled studies of approximately a half a million people to examine the results. The greatest risk reduction occurred when magnesium intake increased from 150 to 400 mg/day. A significant inverse association was found between dietary magnesium intake and total cardiovascular events. Serum magnesium concentrations are linearly and inversely associated with the risk of cardiovascular troubles such as heart attacks and brain strokes. Since magnesium is poorly absorbed even when chelated to an amino acid, it is perhaps useful to note the useful dose was 400 mg, when compared to minimal benefit from 150 mg orally.

Del Gobbo also examined vast studies and wrote: “Clinical hypomagnesemia and experimental restriction of dietary magnesium increase cardiac arrhythmias.” Deadly ischemic heart disease, in which a person dies due to poorly oxygenated blood reaching the entire heart, was more common in those with no magnesium supplementation or very low oral magnesium dosing. Simply, “circulating and dietary magnesium are inversely associated with [cardiovascular disease].” Further, Qu shows, in another study, a significant drop in intestinal cancers with a reasonable magnesium intake. While we may not know the mechanism for these useful findings, they are not felt to be due to chance.

Song and Leff clearly show why a small number of scientists and physicians have pondered lowering human magnesium Mg^{2+} levels. They remind us that Mg^{2+} can influence bacterial adhesion, which is part of biofilm process. In their study, the bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* was used to investigate the influence of Mg^{2+} on biofilm growth.

Nitroxoline

We are not going to spend significant time on this fifty year-old antibiotic because it is not used in many countries, and it is a quinolone, and quinolones all seem to have serious risk of tendon damage. For example, it is possible nitroxoline has the same risks as other quinolones (www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01422).

Quinolones easily enter cells and are often used to treat intracellular pathogens such as *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*.

The FDA has increased warnings regarding side effects since the drugs were first approved. I just want to focus on three side effects that might not be routine but are possible risks with many quinolones:

- **Damage to nerves outside the brain:** This could present as sensory nerve or muscle nerve injury causing paresthesias, hypoaesthesias, dysesthesias, and weakness. New pain, burning, tingling, numbness and/or weakness, or new decreased abilities to detect light touch, pain, temperature, position sense, vibratory sensation, and/or motor strength are basic nerve functions and show damage; these are reasons to stop taking the drug.
- **Tendon damage:** While some focus on the Achilles tendon, actual tears of tendons have occurred in the hand, the shoulder, the thigh, or other locations. Some are helped with surgery. Other patients feel the surgical or other treatment still leaves them with damage. It is believed by some that the use of prednisone and other cortical steroids meant to drop inflammation increases the risk of tendon damage. Perhaps this is especially true in older seniors. Surprisingly, tendons can rupture after the medication is stopped. Some have suggested that IV, transdermal or sublingual magnesium might decrease the risk, but I am not aware this hypothesis has been proven (Schaller).

Aspirin and NSAIDS

We have previously said it is best to see biofilms like a key, and using AIDS as an example, it was only after AZT in 1996 with **the arrival of protease inhibitors that those quickly dying, experienced a “Lazarus effect,” in which AIDS patients who looked to be ready to die recovered markedly in 30 days.** Medications used in AIDS are tough medications, even if they are miracles. Some may question offering a section on the tough medications aspirin and NSAIDS.

While we appreciate that aspirin and various other over the counter NSAIDS may not be optimal, perhaps due to concerns of liver, kidney or ulcer issues, we are discussing infections that invade and cannot be stopped by your body. You might need all the help you can get. So we offer some synthetic options here that may offer help against a top killing and disabling problem—**biofilm-protected** infections.

For example, fluconazole-resistant *Candida* is increasing worldwide. Fluconazole is also called Diflucan. Biofilms are one reason for a decreased effect in treatment. Aspirin, diclofenac, ketoprofen, tenoxicam, and ketorolac all undermined biofilms or their processes. They all reduced fungal adhesion, and increased biofilm detachment with low concentrations of anti-inflammatory agents. Microscopic examination confirmed the tested drugs had a significant effect on reduction of *Candida* adhesion and biofilm development. The drugs also made fluconazole work more effectively against fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* (Abdelmegeed).

Another useful way to involve aspirin is by teaming it up with the chelation chemical EDTA. Both aspirin and EDTA possess broad antimicrobial activity for biofilm cultures. Aspirin used for 24 hours was successful in eradicating *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *C. albicans* biofilms. Moreover, exposure to the Aspirin-EDTA combination completely destroyed bacterial biofilms after only four hours in simulation lab testing (Al-Bakri).

Azithromycin (Zithromax)

This medication is almost a household name and is known as the “Z-Pak” which contains brand name Zithromax pills that are still in use today. Despite being in use many years and used very routinely, this medication still has a strong use in addressing biofilms.

For example, Maezono showed that azithromycin was markedly superior compared to other routine antibiotics in killing gum infection bacteria. Specifically, azithromycin at **very low dosing** undermined four strains of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. This determination involved the use of two fascinating techniques.

Azithromycin dropped the bacteria “gasoline” or ATP in the bacteria, which means the bacteria had decreased function or were dead. Cyanide kills humans in part due to dropping ATP levels—it is not a trivial substance. Further, the power of azithromycin was seen clearly with a confocal laser scanning microscope, which has the ability that the long name suggests—seeing the decreased amount of bacteria.

One of the most common hospital infection risks is MRSA; it causes a number of potentially deadly diseases. This “MRSA” simply means routine staph aureus is no longer able to be killed or it is resistant to methicillin, so it reproduces unchecked. Azithromycin is proposed as one solution to MRSA based partly on its biofilm defeating abilities at very low dosing.


Gui shows that azithromycin was active against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains. It reduced the production of α -hemolysin and biofilm formation at very low “sub-inhibitory” concentrations. So, azithromycin may be useful in the treatment of α -hemolysin-producing and biofilm-forming MRSA infections.

Maezono H, Noiri Y, Asahi Y, Yamaguchi M, Yamamoto R, Izutani N, Azakami H, Ebisu S. Antibiofilm effects of azithromycin and erythromycin on *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011 Dec;55(12):5887-92. Epub 2011 Sep 12. PMID:21911560

Plata

El tratamiento con plata utilizado contra las biopelículas de las heridas ha sido claramente eficaz. De hecho, una crema con un 1 % de plata se ha utilizado con éxito para tratar y prevenir infecciones en pacientes quemados en todo el mundo.

Una revisión del Instituto Internacional de Infección de Heridas muestra que los datos todavía apuntan a la plata como el mejor tratamiento. Por ejemplo, Monteiro probó la plata coloidal contra biopelículas de hongos. La conclusión de ese trabajo es muy firme: independientemente de las concentraciones utilizadas en el estudio, la plata afectó la composición de la matriz y la estructura de las biopelículas de *Candida*.



Primer plano tridimensional de *Candida albicans*.

Cumanda and Biofilms

Dr. Eva Sapi and her colleagues found in their superior laboratory that cumanda had some mild killing effects on the Lyme bacteria, but more importantly for this book, Lyme **biofilm** communities grown in her lab were reduced 43% by this herb at low dosing. The dosing for a dynamic human or animal body was not explored or proposed by this researcher or any other researcher as of February 2014. Searching by its Latin and popular name did not yield any articles relevant for use on infections.

Finally, while Lyme disease is a common and disabling infection, it is hardly the only infectious agent in the many infections carried by Ixodes ticks. While this preliminary research is very useful, it is possible cumanda may have impact inside a body for Lyme and Bartonella treatment. More study is needed. I regret that we only examined cumanda for Bartonella and not Lyme.

Our conclusion was that cumunda hindered Bartonella more than Levofloxacin (levofloxacin), Zithromax (azithromycin), Rifabutin (mycobutin) and other proposed options. To determine treatment effect one needs to know **the indirect actions of Bartonella, Babesia, FL1953, Lyme, inflammation systems, etc. by lab analysis using different companies.**

Theophilus PA, Burugu D, Poururi A, Luecke DF, Sapi E. Effect of Medicinal Agents on the Different Forms of Borrelia burgdorferi Lyme disease or Lyme borreliosis is a tick-borne multisystemic disease caused by different species of Borrelia. <http://healthyats-nl.blogspot.com/2013/07/effect-of-medicinal-agents-stevia-and.html>

Erythromycin

Gomes found that erythromycin at low doses actually enhanced the growth of biofilms in *C. diphtheriae*. Penicillin acted the same way. Of further concern is that not only did these antibiotics increase biofilm formation but in this case they enhanced infections by strains of *C. diphtheriae*. Diphtheriae is a very dangerous infection without access to effective antibiotics. It is dangerous enough with good ones.

Returning to biofilm-promoted gum disease such as gingivitis, in the United States, over 50% of adults had gingivitis on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Adult periodontitis, measured by the presence of periodontal pockets ≥ 4 mm, was found in about 30% of the population on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Lost gum attachment to teeth of at least 3 mm was found in 40% of the population (Oliver).

The density of adherent *P. gingivalis* cells were significantly decreased by using erythromycin at very low dosing called “sub-MIC levels.” One strain was not affected by erythromycin. Finally, erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.

Erythromycin Key Findings

- Low doses actually grew some biofilms
- Penicillin also grew some biofilms
- It enhanced strains of dangerous *C. diphtheriae*
- Gum disease from *P. gingivalis* cells was much less sticky at very low dosing.
- Erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.

Contacting Dr. Schaller

Should you wish to talk to Dr. Schaller he offers individualized education consults, which can be arranged by calling 239-263-0133. Please leave all your phone numbers, a working email and a fax number. These consults are typically in 15 minute units and can last as long as you wish. All that is required is the completion of a short informed consent form.

If you would like a full diagnostic consult or to see Dr. Schaller as a patient, know he treats patients from all over the USA and from outside the country. He meets with you first and then does follow-up care with you by phone.

If you would like to fly in to see Dr. Schaller, his staff are very familiar with all the closest airports, and we have special hotel discounts.