



# COMBATEREA BIOFILMELOR DE CE ANTIBIOTICELE DVS

Soluții pentru boala Lyme, sinuzita cronică

Pneumonie, infecții cu drojdie, răni, ureche

Infecții, boli ale gingiilor, boli intestinale,

Respirație urât mirositoare, fibroza chistică și implanturi

O PIESĂ MAJORĂ LIPARĂ DIN PUZZLE-UL BOALA CRONICĂ




JAMES SCHALLER, M.D., M.A.R.

KIMBERLY MOUNTJOY, M.S.

## Ce este un biofilm?

Definiția simplă și științifică a biofilmului: orice grup de microorganisme în care celulele se lipesc unele de altele pe o suprafață. Ele sunt de obicei în interiorul unui strat pe care îl creează numit „slime”.



Comparați un biofilm cu un ou prăjit. Găbenușul galben din centrul oului prăjit este infecția bacteriană sau fungică.

Partea albă mai mare care înconjoară găbenușul poate fi numită „bio-film”. Protejează infecția interioară sau găbenușul, atât de antibiotice, cât și de sistemul imunitar uman.

Marginea exterioară a oului prezintă niște margini prăjite foarte mici. Sunt ușor de ratat datorită dimensiunii oului. Ne vom preface că sunt antibiotice sau substanțe chimice careucid infecțiile. Sunt inutile din cauza faptului că nu trec niciodată de marginea exterioară albă a oului. Albusul de ou este ca un zid pentru ei.

## Cine are infecții cu biofilm?

Când înveți despre diversitatea masivă de locații și situații în care biofilmele sunt comune și consideri că acestea sunt adesea starea de rutină a bacteriilor și a organismelor fungice, începi să realizezi că oricine poate avea o infecție sau infecții cu biofilm.

## Ce căutăm în această carte?

Următorul material va arăta multe modalități de a sparge „albușul de ou” sau biofilm. Odată ce se întâmplă acest lucru, este de obicei mult mai ușor să distrugi infecția reprezentată de găbenușul de ou sau centrul galben.

Biofilmele sunt o cauză principală a suferinței și a morții

## Locații și situații ale corpului biofilmului

- O infecție care durează peste 2 săptămâni
- Principala cauză de deces la copiii sub 6 ani
- Placa dentară - gura umană adăpostește aproximativ 25.000 de specii de bacterii, dintre care aproximativ 1.000 se află în biofilmul plăcii dentare.

- Infecții cu drojdie
- Infecții postoperatorii
- Cancer

• Respirație urât mirositoare

- Boala gingiilor sau parodontita\*

- Cariile dentare •

Infecții pulmonare

- Infecții ale sistemului urinar
- Bacteriile orale - pot dăuna arterelor inimii și pot provoca moartea și creșterea cancerelor intestinale
- Infecții cronice ale urechii
- Infecții ale sinusurilor\*\*
- Amigdalita cronică
- Răni

- Capete de periuță de dinți — inclusiv stiluri de capete mobile sonice

- Catetere pentru a permite îndepărtarea urinei
- Genunchi artificiali, șolduri și alte înlocuiri
- Infecții ale valvelor cardiace
- Leziuni sau răni
- Boala Lyme
- catetere IV de orice tip
- Catetere urinare
- Lentile de contact
- Dispozitive implantate — orice dispozitiv implantat sau introdus poate trimite bacterii către creier, ficat sau rinichi.
- Infecții cronice de prostată
- Boala legionarului și multe alte bacterii biotoxine care explodează în orice apă din interior
- Boli de mușcăi — care pot apărea din acumularea de mușcăi în orice apă din interior, de exemplu, inundații, scurgeri de acoperiș, subsol sau ferestre, umidificatoare, Waterpik™ sau alte dispozitive de curățare a dinților neutilizate, condens în conductele de AC etc.
- Chistic fibroza – producția în exces de mucus în căile respiratorii permite bacteriilor precum *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* să învingă bacteriile ucigașe din spatele unui strat de biofilm.
- Părți pierdute ale corpului
- Infecții ale pielii, părului sau unghiilor
- Artrită
- Endocardita
- Infecții osoase
- Acneea

Multe alte lucruri ar putea fi adăugate pe listă inclusiv probleme profund grave de contaminare a biofilmului în apă și zeci de alte practici legate de sănătate și de fabricație.

\*Doctorul David Kennedy, un medic stomatolog pensionat, a deplâns că majoritatea americanilor adulți au o boală gingivilor - o altă infecție a biofilmului bacterian care implică o infecție cronică. Deci cât de răspândită este această epidemie ascunsă de asistență medicală

\*\*La Ondine Biopharma, un interviu [cu Richard Longland] a arătat că 38.000.000 de oameni din această țară (sau au avut) o problemă cronică a sinusurilor.

\*\*\*Ricardo Murga; Terri S. Forster. Rolul biofilmelor în supraviețuirea *Legionella pneumophila* într-un sistem model de apă potabilă *Microbiologie* (2001), 147, 3121–3126.



# COMBATEREA BIOFILMELOR

De ce antibioticele și antifungicele tale eş uează

Soluții pentru boala Lyme, sinuzita cronică  
Pneumonie, infecții cu drojdie, răni, ureche  
Infecții, boli ale gingiilor, boli intestinale,  
Respirație urât mirositoare, fibroza chistică și implanturi

O piesă majoră lipsă din puzzle-ul bolilor cronice

James Schaller, MD, MAR  
și

Kimberly Mountjoy, MS

International Infectious Disease Press  
Bank Towers • Newgate Center (Suita 305)  
5150 Tamiami Trail North [Autostrada 41]  
Napoli, Florida 34103

## Crearea de răspunsuri actuale pentru salvarea vieții biofilmului Limpede și solidă

În acest moment, ați putea citi în ziare, bloguri și cărți opțiuni de distrugere a biofilmului în valoare de doi ani. Acest lucru ține-ar lua 1.000-1.500 de ore. Și ai avea o serie de opțiuni de propus. Iată câteva exemple de opțiuni pe care le-ați găsi în acele lucrări, bloguri și cărți:

Evitați magneziul	EDTA	Lăptiș or de matcă
Evita zaharurile și cerealele	DMSO	Cimbru
NAC	Vancomicina	Iarbăde lămâie
Norspermidină	Gentamicină	Serrapeptidaza
Cis 2- Acid Decenoic	Banderolă	2-Aminobenzimidazol
Lumbrokinaza	Evitați grășimile	Echinocandinele

## Cum găsiți un marketing rezonabil și încredere într-un agent de biofilm ca soluție?

Tom și Lisa pe blogul că produsul „x” și prescripția „d” sunt tratamente excepționale pentru a submina infecțiile cu biofilm în oboșală cronică (SFC) și fibromialgie (FM).

Oamenii sunt entuziasmați, deoarece medicul lor obișnuit nu are o soluție majoră și nici un interes pentru infecțiile cu biofilm.

Problema este că „x” sau „d” ar putea avea un rol în subminarea unui biofilm sau pentru a ajuta la depășirea unei boli. Dar aveți grijă să faceți linkuri rapide. Tratamentul „a” poate funcționa numai în biofilmul a zece infecții și avem doar dovezi că funcționează în trei infecții.

Scopul nostru este să vă arătăm ce arată cercetările bune, astfel încât dumneavoastră și medicul dumneavoastră să puteți începe cu fapte și să puteți înțelege motivul din spatele oricărui studiu posibil cu biofilm.

De exemplu, infecția dumneavoastră ar putea fi ca Lyme în utilizarea fierului. Săiți și mulți alții raportează că spre deosebire de toate celelalte organisme cunoscute, Borrelia, cauza bolii Lyme, poate exista fără fier, un metal de care toate celelalte vieți au nevoie. În schimb, Borrelia folosește te mangan.

Ce se întâmplă dacă boala dumneavoastră bazată pe biofilm în viitor se găsește căreia aceași capacitate de a trăi bine fără fier? Ar putea însemna că un agent biofilm care subminează biofilmul bolii Lyme ar putea funcționa pentru al tău. Biofilmele cu infecții bacteriene și fungice tind să împărtășească vulnerabilitate similară cu un disruptor de biofilm. Cunoașterea modului în care funcționează infecția poate ajuta la determinarea agentului biofilm care va funcționa.

<http://phys.org/news/2013-03-scientists-reveal-quirky-feature-lyme.html#jCp>. Accesat 26 martie 2014.

## Cuprins

O revoluție medicală.....	1
Cele cinci etape ale biofilmelor .....	2
Imagini introductive de biofilm .....	3
O bacterie comună găsită pe toată pielea umană.....	4
Infecțiile cu „stafilococ” au devenit rezistente la majoritatea antibioticelor .....	5
Biofilmele sunt ignorate de comunitatea medicală.....	20
Clarificarea „biofilmelor” .....	21
Prevenirea suferinței, a funcționării inferioare, a dizabilității și a morții din biofilme .....	24
Eliminarea biofilmului face ca antibioticele să fie eficiente .....	26
Mostre foarte scurte de oameni și biofilme .....	27
Soluții .....	30
Biofilmele sunt foarte diversificate .....	32
Exemple de diversitate profundă a biofilmelor .....	32
Punctul dublu: bacterii cu biofilm și rezistența la medicamente .....	34
„Superbugurile” rezistente la antibiotice sunt o amenințare gravă, în viitorul foarte apropiat” .....	36
Un scurt cuvânt despre biofilmele din Lyme .....	37
Boala Lyme (Borrelia) și biofilmele .....	38
Două bacterii diferite într-un biofilm văd dezastru: comun Igiena dentară .....	39
Eșantion de îngrijire a biofilmului .....	40
Biofilmele Bartonella și Babesia? .....	43
Concluzie privind biofilmele transmise de căpușe și purici .....	43
Biofilme și infecții pulmonare sau sinusurilor: Anatomia unui dezastru de medicină de moară.....	45
Sporturi de echipă când infecțiile multiple fac toate biofilme .....	46
Avertisment: Biofilme și ședințe medicale scurte, grăbite .....	47

Uleiuri esențiale .....	48
Bazele Eugenolului .....	52
Eugenolul și biofilmele .....	53
Linalol .....	57
Rezerpină.....	59
„Stivuirea” ucigașilor de biofilm .....	60
Terpenozii .....	61
Alicina și usturoiul .....	64
Serrapeptidaza .....	67
Lumbrokinaza .....	72
Nattokinaza .....	73
Terminalia chebula Retz .....	74
Cancer .....	77
Lactoferina .....	82
Achiziționarea lactoferinei .....	84
Tratament combinat cu lactoferină și xilitol .....	86
Limitarea zaharurilor tradiționale: eliminarea inflamației și a biofilmelor? .....	87
Xilitolul de zahăr .....	88
Eritritol .....	90
Organoseleniu .....	93
Deprivarea de magneziu împiedică biofilmele? .....	94
Restricționarea grăsimilor pentru a trata biofilmele .....	97
Houttuynia cordata Thunb (HCT) .....	101
Probă de substanțe chimice declanșare a biofilmului și-au întors împotriva bacteriilor ..	104
Tratamente duble .....	105
Sursele vegetale rareori produc o singură substanță chimică utilă.....	106
Nitroxolina .....	107
Lizozima .....	109

Aspirina și AINS .....	110
Azitromicină(Zithromax) .....	113
Argent .....	115
Gingerol .....	119
Stevia .....	121
Bazele Cumunda .....	123
Cumanda și biofilmele .....	125
Eritromicina .....	126
Miere .....	128
Respirație urată mirositoare .....	134
Aminoacizii inversați și subminează biofilmele .....	136
Cathelicidin LL-37 .....	139
Enzima de inhibare a ARNIII (RIP) .....	141
Tinidazol (Tindamax) și Metronidazol (Flagyl) .....	142
Tuberculoza (TB) .....	143
Un nou instrument pentru a ajuta la uciderea tuberculozei? .....	144
Pulbere alimentară putere antibacteriană.....	145
Concluzie .....	146
Anexă.....	147
Publicațiile clinice ale Dr. Schaller .....	153
Alte cărți de Dr. Schaller .....	155
Disclaimer .....	169
Contactarea Dr. Schaller .....	170

## O revoluție medicală

Teoria infecției cu biofilm este o revoluție profundă în studiul infecțiilor care pot fi dureroase, invalidante și, de fapt, sunt ucigașe de top în funcție de vârstă


Infecțiile încep să ne readucă zilele în care oamenii mor din cauza unor simple infecții. Noua lume a infecțiilor cu biofilm ar putea ucide mai mulți oameni decât Primul Război Mondial și Al Doilea Război Mondial la un loc, dacă lucrurile nu se schimbă rapid atât în țările dezvoltate, cât și în cele nedezvoltate. Datorită întârzierii lente a importanței ei biofilmelor și, prin urmare, adoptării lente de către medici a noilor soluții de biofilm, chiar și medicii de ultimă oră ar putea lua în serios biofilmele doar atunci când s-a dovedit că mai multe persoane devin cu dizabilități și mor din cauza lor. În prezent, majoritatea ratează biofilmele drept cauză a suferinței și a morții. Așadar, biofilmele fără soluții sunt la fel de grave ca poliomielita în secolul al XIX-lea fără vaccin și, din punct de vedere al numărului de victime, sunt mult mai devastatoare decât HIV/SIDA.

Majoritatea bacteriilor trăesc în comunități care au de obicei biofilme protectoare unice. 1% dintre bacteriile care infectează oamenii sau care afectează viața umană plutesc singure și atunci când sunt găsite în sânge, nu ar fi găsite împreună cu nici un slime biofilm.

National Institutes of Health estimează că peste 80% dintre infecțiile microbiene din corpul uman sunt cauzate de biofilm, multe dintre ele creând probleme cronice și recurente. Sau are dreptate Glowacki și 99% dintre bacterii trăesc într-un biofilm? Indiferent dacă utilizați 80% de la NIH sau 99% de la Glowacki ca estimare, biofilmele sunt o considerație serioasă în infecții.

Głowacki R, Strek P, Zagórska-Swiezy K, Składzień J, Oleś K, Hydzik-Sobocińska K, Miodoński A. [Biofilm de la pacient și cu rinosinuzită cronică Studii morfologice SEM]. [Articol în poloneză. Otolaringol Pol. 2008;62(3):305-10.

## Imagini introductive de biofilm



Un nou parazit unicelular care produce biofilm unic din punct de vedere genetic, numit FL1953 sau Protomyxzoa rheumatica. (Acest frotiu special este cel mai bun mod de a detecta acești paraziți unicelulari în corpurile umane, deoarece testarea ADN sau PCR nu este întotdeauna pozitivă).

Cele sute de ovale întunecate din exteriorul acestei imagini prezentate mai sus sunt globule roșii (RBC) de dimensiunea de 8 micrometri. Masa centrală este o minge de biofilm cu multe globule roșii în masa biofilmului.


Acest biofilm prezentat mai sus se găsește în mod obișnuit la cei cu infecții transmise de căpușe, cum ar fi foarte frecventă Bartonella, bacteria Borrelia a bolii Lyme și Babesia mortală. În timp ce unele boli transmise de căpușe pot fi mai rele decât altele sau mai frecvente decât altele, toate sunt potențial mortale dacă nu sunt eradicat. Acest parazit prezentat mai sus este o infecție unicelulară legată de Babesia și malarie și, atunci când este îndepărtat de biofilmul său, arată ca malarie imatură. Potrivit Centrelor pentru Controlul Bolilor, acesta este un protozoar unic. Nu este nici Babesia, nici malarie. Această infecție se numește FL1953 sau Protomyxzoa rheumatica. Produce cantități uriașe de biofilm, iar masa centrală a biofilmului din această imagine conține sute de globule roșii.





Pe măsură ce analizăm diferite organe și cauze ale biofilmelor, nu ar trebui să ometem un vector de infecții cu biofilm purtat de peste 200 de vieți viitoare de pe cel puțin în trei continente - căpușă Ixodes. Poartăcel puțin în doi producători serioși de biofilm: FL1953 și bacteriile Lyme, foarte complexe, avansate din punct de vedere genetic. Încă învățăm despre toate posibilele infecții pe care le poartă

Vă rugăm să rețineți că părul arată ca iarba mare, așa că această căpușă este o fracțiune din această dimensiune. Când combinați invizibilitatea cu o mușcătură care are un calmant al durerii, un antihistaminic, un anticoagulant și un agent antiinflamator, aveți un purtător de infecție ascuns. O salivă chimică a căpușelor, Sialostatin L, este o enzimă de bunăde suprimare a sistemului imunitar încă poate inhiba astmul (Horka 2012).



Câinii pot fi cei mai buni prieteni ai omului, dar nu dacă le atingi saliva și nu dacă aduc căpușe sau purici în casa sau în mașina ta. Să presupunem că fiecare câine și pisică care locuiește în afara orașului a avut probabil mușcăături de căpușe sau de purici.



## Faceți clar „biofilmele”.

Un biofilm este ca un ban în centrul unui bazin de ulei de măsline, iar pe marginea exterioară a uleiului este piperul reprezentând celulele ucigătoare ale infecțiilor. Ei nu se pot muta pentru a distruge banii. Comunitățile bacteriene din biofilm reprezintă starea obișnuită a majorității infecțiilor umane. Am fost învinși atunci când infecțiile sunt bacterii izolate care plutesc și aceasta este o eroare gravă. Arată că de departe trebuie să mergem în știință dacă principala formă de bacterie... comunitățile de bacterii din biofilm - este un concept nou, dar crucial. Când am făcut o listă în 2004 cu douăzeci și cinci de opțiuni pentru a ucide biofilmele, nu era prea mult interes.

Astăzi, incapacitatea de a distruge biofilmele cu diverse opțiuni este literalmente un dezastru de sănătate.

Scopul scrierii și publicării acestei cărți este de a realiza un set de opțiuni accesibil, bazat pe cercetare, împreună cu alte opțiuni posibile, de a prezenta o carte pură de soluții care să ofere cele mai noi soluții posibile actuale și de actualitate pentru sutele de boli asociate cu biofilme. Bariera unui film biologic poate fi cu totul imposibil de îndepărtat sau de penetrat cu opțiunile de rutină utilizate de medici, specialiști în infecții, medici naturiști, și colii de medicină alternativă practicieni în uleiuri esențiale, acupunctori, asistente medicale sau herboriști.

Cu această carte sperăm să vă ajutăm pe dumneavoastră și pe medicul dumneavoastră vindecător prin explorarea opțiunilor disponibile acum. Am căutat în ultimii cinci ani de publicații pe PubMed - baza de date masivă pentru știința medicală - pentru „tratamentul cu biofilm”. Gama de opțiuni este impresionantă și nu întotdeauna lucruri la care te-ai putea aștepta. Această carte este menită să vă ofere opțiuni largi pentru a vă preveni suferința, dizabilitățile și chiar moartea.

După ani de cercetare și studiu, am ajuns să realizez că „experții” în boli infecțioase pe biofilm ar fi putut fi pierdut de mult războiul și, de fapt, mulți nu au fost niciodată conștienți de toate bădiile. Pa-

## Mostre foarte scurte de oameni și biofilme

În 2004, Richard Longland s-a recuperat foarte prost după o boală misterioasă după o intervenție chirurgicală la coloană. În lunile care au urmat, a suferit de multe probleme - dureri de cap, dureri articulare și, mai târziu, probleme cardiace și cerebrale, oboseală brutală și probleme de gândire.

Sistemul medical i s-a opus, dar, în cele din urmă în 2007, a fost tratat de micoplasme provenite dintr-un eventual proces chirurgical, orice loc din spital sau într-un loc public sau o căpușă.

Majoritatea pacienților mei au consultat între 3 și 200 de medici înainte de a veni la mine. Îmi înțeleg experiența. Domnul Longland a trebuit să vadă peste douăzeci de medici pentru un diagnostic. În această perioadă dificilă a creat un film superior numit „De ce sunt atât de bolnav?” El este un pacient-campion al utilizării agenților farmaceutici și naturisti pentru a-și elimina corpul de biofilme bacteriene sistemice.

\*\*\*

Edward are 78 de ani și are trei fiice și opt nepoți. A fost internat din cauza lipsei de aer. Are o pneumonie gravă sau o infecție la plămâni. El este din ce în ce mai rău. Individii și-au revenit folosind agenți care înving multe pneumonii protejate cu biofilm.

\*\*\*

Linda este obosită de câțiva ani și are probleme cu școala. Recent, am descoperit că are o serie de infecții cu căpușe care au făcut ca peste cincisprezece rezultate de laborator să fie anormale. Ieri a sunat și, din cauza unei dureri în spatele genunchiului, i-am spus să meargă la urgență. În mai puțin de o zi, s-a descoperit că avea 23 de cheaguri în plămâni și picioare. Ea bănuiește că este Babesia, inflamație și FL1953. Am avut agenți care i-au ucis pe acești agenți, inclusiv FL1953, în 2006.

\*\*\*

It would be an error to say that nattokinase, lumbrokinase, serrapeptidase, EDTA, gentamicin, vancomycin, Samento, Banderol, olive products, poorly known herbs with fair lab testing in humans, clove bud oil, diet, chelation, three to four part amino acid mixes, NAC, Rife, diet changes or a vast range of other options not listed, will **work for all biofilms**. For example, an elderly patient dying of a lung infection or another person with painful and treatment-resistant sinus infection *will not* have the same biofilm.

As a trend, trying different options to destroy a biofilm is less dangerous than allowing it to spread.

## A Brief Word on Biofilms in Lyme

At times, individuals who have tick- and flea-borne infections, like Bartonella, Babesia and Borrelia (Lyme disease), can feel their treatment is minimal or incomplete. Debates rage over the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme and tick-borne diseases; whether the pain is from residual dead infection incorporated into tissue or one of the many infections carried by the I. scapularis tick, we still have patients' misery.

After writing **twelve books** which include many pages on non-Borrelia infections, “Lyme testing” seems like alphabet testing in which ***one only looks for the vowel “a.”*** Due to the lack of acceptance of the number and complexity of tick-borne infections, there is a lack of up to date education, leaving quality medical doctors to evaluate tick and flea infections in the ***abstract***, by which I mean that they very falsely and sadly do not realize the full magnitude of ***“the alphabet.”***

Specifically, they “diagnose” by ignoring inflammation alterations, nutrient changes, hormone deficits, immunity changes caused by tick-borne infections, and chemicals made or suppressed by direct tick and flea infectious agents. I discuss these in my three most recent tick and flea infection books. All are available in English. All can be found free through inter-library loan, for less than \$20 USD, or at [www.personal-consult.com](http://www.personal-consult.com) under the “free books” button. No one can expect to become an expert in this massive area after reading any guide or merely going to ten conferences, because these cluster infections impact twenty areas of medical and scientific knowledge.

In the last four years, researchers like **Dr. Eva Sapi have shown Lyme is like some other spirochetes—it has biofilms. These are very tough biofilms to defeat unless caught in the “acute stage.”** A tough, “mature biofilm” allows organisms to **“laugh at” many antibiotics.**

Some medical professionals interested in Lyme often ignore the immune suppressing Bartonella bacterium, which is more common than Lyme. Ignoring coinfections may increase the risk of fatality with Babesia and possibly **FL1953**. These healers also may not realize that the highly

genetically complex Lyme spirochete appears to have a troublesome biofilm. Performing a simple direct test at laboratory companies whose testing kits have reduced sensitivity will probably result in more negatives for tick-borne diseases. The ultimate result is anti-science and anti-truth. Searching for tick infections with one test is like writing in “Lincoln” at the next presidential election.

## Lyme Disease (*Borrelia*) and Biofilms

Several researchers believe *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the active agent of Lyme disease, has biofilms. Lyme organism biofilms have been found in culture and in the tick gut. Lyme cysts and biofilms have also been noted in patient skin biopsies using focus floating microscopy according to Dr. Eisendle publishing in the *American Journal of Pathology*.

Further, we see in Lyme that biofilm formation is dependent on cyclic di-GMP expression and we see that in Lyme (Stricker and Johnson).

Brihuega B, Samartino L, Auteri C, Venzano A, Caimi K. In vivo cell aggregations of a recent swine biofilm-forming isolate of *Leptospira interrogans* strain from Argentina. *Rev Argent Microbiol*. 2012 Jul-Sep;44(3):138-43. PMID:23102459

Cogoni V, Morgan-Smith A, Fenno JC, Jenkinson HF, Dymock D. *Treponema denticola* chymotrypsin-like proteinase (CTLP) integrates spirochaetes within oral microbial communities. *Microbiology*. 2012 Mar;158(Pt 3):759-70. Epub 2012 Feb 7. PMID:22313692

Sapi E, Kaur N, Anyanwu S, Luecke DF, Datar A, Patel S, Rossi M, Stricker RB. Evaluation of in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility of different morphological forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2011;4:97-113. Epub 2011 May 3. PMID:21753890

Stricker RB, Johnson L. Lyme disease: the next decade. *Infect Drug resist*. 2011; 4: 1-9. PMID: 21694904

Sapi E, Bastian SL, Mpoy CM, Scott S, Rattelle A, Pabbati N, Poruri A, Burugu D, Theophilus PA, Pham TV, Datar A, Dhaliwal NK, MacDonald A, Rossi MJ, Sinha SK, Luecke DF. Characterization of biofilm formation by *Borrelia burgdorferi* in vitro. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):e48277. Epub 2012 Oct 24. PMID:23110225



lease of bacteria in the human body will be like a dangerous tornado in a field. It is a wise concern.

For these two problems regarding biofilm-held infections suddenly being released, here are useful solutions:

1. You need many infection killing options for use since more is better to prevent “seeding” of dispersed infection.
2. You want the biofilm killing options to destroy biofilms by different mechanisms. This makes the dispersed seeded infections naked to the immune system.
3. Biofilm tools are given initially at low doses and then increased gradually to large doses since often in the beginning patients have massive inflammation and a drastic increase in killing of biofilm organisms in a short time could cause trouble with bone marrow, liver, heart, eye, or kidney issues, or merely create more dead infectious debris resulting in patient misery.
4. You may need to pulse (use every other day) or fully stop this treatment because once a wave of biofilm eroding agents strips off or severely damages a biofilm of an infection, the same antibiotics that were useless in the past can become very effective.
5. There is no single master biofilm destroyer, yet some are broader than others.

## Bartonella and Babesia Biofilms?

Most people have heard of the profoundly common tick infection Lyme disease, but they may not know Bartonella is more common than Lyme and is carried by far more vectors (Breitschwerdt). Babesia decimated the cattle population in the southern United States many decades ago and is more dangerous in humans than Lyme.

Currently, we have no solid data showing Bartonella and Babesia have biofilms.


## Tick and Flea-Borne Biofilms Conclusion

Below you will see that mouth spirochetes routinely have biofilms. Another spirochete is Leptospira which is able to make biofilms in many environments and may contribute to lost pregnancy in mammals (Brihuega).

In terms of tick and flea infection biofilms, I would focus on **FL1953** (Protomyxzoa) and Lyme, since both have been known and treated by us since 2006, though the former was killed without knowing its genetic uniqueness. We are learning what decreases their biofilm pathology and have agents that should work if one is open to look at diverse approaches. A synthetic “antibiotic only approach” to biofilms, including antibiotics targeted to hit biofilms, might be similar to typing with one finger.

There are herbalists, such as Stephen Buhner, who propose selected herbs to treat some tick infections. And, in terms of **primary treating herbs to kill organisms**, there are also credible options that are not always herbal in use for a tick or flea infection. We will continue to use **advanced lab testing**, typically only allowed under physician supervision, to determine by serious extensive **indirect blood exam** biochemistry tests to see which infection is actually destroyed in people experiencing benefit from herbal therapy. In any event, I enjoyed this line from Buhner: *“I can’t really say what will clear all biofilms.”*

## Un alt eșantion de imagine biofilm



OVIs întunecat este sângele roșu „°Us (-blade up” crow), „foaia”  
 întreprinde de la llo dreapta jos « '°'11 », cositoare spre stanga sus  
 omer, bolnav o bioumplere, \ rntffffial IOWll' mow ia poi11ti11g  
 la un mic bam:rium.(Piy Labaratoriea)

## Bazele Eugenolului

Eugenolul se găsește în multe uleiuri esențiale și ierburi. De exemplu, se găsește la o concentrație mare în uleiul esențial de muguri de cuișoare dar și în doza mai mică în frunza de scortisoara și uleiul ei esențial. De asemenea, se găsește în piment, dafin, sassafras, uleiuri de scoarță de masoai, ulei de camfor și plante chamchwi conform PubChem. Concentrația și concentrația variază foarte mult în funcție de sursă și metoda de extracție. Mai mult, acesta nu este doar un puternic agent de bioflux; are alte proprietăți uimitoare, cum ar fi acțiuni antivirale și efecte anticancerigene.



De exemplu, Tragoolpua și Jatisatienr au arătat că eugenolul afectează herpesul oral și genital în funcție de specie, tulpină și alți factori. Ei au arătat clar că uleiul esențial poate fi mai puternic decât un simplu extract. Într-adevăr, herpesul oral și genital, HSV-1 și respectiv HSV-2, nu s-au putut reproduce în prezența eugenolului. Al-Sharif a demonstrat efecte semnificative asupra cancerului. O concentrație foarte scăzută ( $2 \mu\text{M}$ ) are toxicitate specifică împotriva diferitelor celule canceroase de sân. Acest efect de distrugere a fost mediat prin inducerea unei căi de moarte a celulelor canceroase și scăderea nivelurilor de E2F 1 și supraviețuire - două molecule care sunt esențiale pentru supraviețuirea celulelor. De asemenea, a împiedicat cancerul de sân

**genes.** Importantly, these anti-proliferative and pro-cancer cell death effects were also observed inside body grafts placed in non-human animals.

<http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/summary/summary.cgi?cid=3314>

Tragoalpua Y, Jatisatiennr A. Anti-herpes simplex virus activities of *Eugenia caryophyllus* (Spreng.) Bullock & S. G. Harrison and essential oil, eugenol. *Phytother Res.* 2007; 21(12):1153-8.

Al-Sharif I, Remmal A, Aboussekhra A. Eugenol triggers apoptosis in breast cancer cells through E2F1/survivin down-regulation. *BMC Cancer.* 2013 Dec 13;13(1):600. [Epub ahead of print]

## Eugenol and Biofilms

Recently, Dr. Zhou has reminded us of a special process that is involved in the formation of dangerous biofilms. Basically, many bacteria have a “chatty” way of talking to other cells such as other bacteria. So, bacteria use chemicals or cause other bacteria to make chemicals to help them survive and often act to harm you or a loved one.

Eugenol is so effective that at very low amounts, it still disrupted bacteria chemical communication. This is very important in a biofilm destroying agent. If cells cannot communicate, it is doubtful they can form communities. Biofilms are community creations. **Further, eugenol at very low doses, called “sub-inhibitory concentrations” inhibited biofilm formation.**

One type of biofilm research being conducted compares biofilm killers head to head. The results are not always the same, perhaps in part because the infections are not always the same. Note that in an Epub abstract before publication, Malic explains that the best essential oil for urinary catheters, with or without biofilms, against fourteen different bacteria was eugenol. This is why I believe this substance is a “double killer.” It can defeat many biofilms, and then kill the organism making the biofilm. Finally, in this study, eugenol did better than tea tree oil.

## Linalool

According to the Merriam-Webster dictionary, the word linalool is derived from a Medieval Latin phrase meaning “wood of the aloe.” Linalool has a nice smelling alcohol and essential oils. It is used in perfumes, soaps, and flavoring materials.

In terms of biofilms, it seems to be most effective when **the essential oil part** is used, which has **the most evidence of killing Candida albicans**. (*Candida albicans* is the cause of yeast infections.) Yet, again, it is the essential oil fraction that not only **inhibits the growth** of *Candida albicans* but also of the bacteria *Lactobacillus casei*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Streptococcus mutans* cell suspensions, all of them associated with oral cavity disease, according to Alviano and Mendonça-Filho. Yet, Budzyńska reported this essential oil did not fully remove biofilms formed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 8196) on the surface of routine medical materials such as urinary catheters, infusion tubes and surgical mesh.

Hsu found that linalool could be effective against *Candida albicans* due to its many genetic blocking effects. For example, using a scanning electron microscope and other technology, many signs of the effect of linalool to destroy *Candida* or inhibit its growth could be noted. Hsu found blocking actions against genes involving adhesion production and the formation of “branches” or the mold’s hyphae were both decreased by linalool.

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/linalool>

Budzyńska A, Wieckowska-Szakiel M, Sadowska B, Kalemba D, Różalska B. Antibiofilm activity of selected plant essential oils and their major components. *Pol J Microbiol*. 2011;60(1):35-41. PMID:21630572

Alviano WS, Mendonça-Filho RR, Alviano DS, Bizzo HR, Souto-Pradón T, Rodrigues ML, Bolognese AM, Alviano CS, Souza MM. Antimicrobial activity of *Croton cajucara* Benth linalool-rich essential oil on artificial biofilms and planktonic microorganisms. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. 2005 Apr;20(2):101-5.

## Reserpine

Reserpine is a substance found in the roots of some types of Rauwolfia that has been made into a traditional medicine. It is used to lower high blood pressure and help with psychotic symptoms, but side effects have limited its use.

While it may not be comfortable to use at modest or high dosing, very low dosing, according to Magesh, showed it to be profoundly powerful against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. In one report, he used reserpine and was able to stop biofilms in this pneumoniae infection at a fraction of the dose thought to inhibit growth.

Specifically, a tiny fraction of this drug, a mere 0.0156 mg/ml, stopped biofilm production in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. So, it may be possible that we have another example of a medical truth I use every day:

**“Change the dose and you change the drug or herb.”**

In this case, perhaps it is possible that 1/10th of the lowest size tablet, 0.1 mg, could harm *Klebsiella* and other infections and be safe for the patient. However, the raw materials for making it may be hard to find some months according to ASHP who tracks pharmacy shortages.

Magesh H, Kumar A, Alam A, Priyam, Sekar U, Sumantran VN, Vaidyanathan R. Identification of natural compounds which inhibit biofilm formation in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Indian J Exp Biol*. 2013 Sep;51(9):764-72.

<http://www.ashp.org/DrugShortages/Current/Bulletin.aspx?id=975>



## “Stacking” Biofilm Killers

While physicians may ponder the problems caused by biofilms in practice, I rarely encounter the doctor who understands that it is usually better to have more than one treatment. In the article below, **oral bio-film infections were controlled best by three agents, not merely one.** For example, Alves explains that when you are going to irrigate or clean a root canal area, that two mouth bacteria infections protected by their biofilms have these same film barriers decreased significantly by treatment with farnesol, xylitol and lactoferrin together.

The same results were found in wounds. One of the best treatments for wounds is the use of a silver-based wound dressing or bandage, together with a gel containing xylitol and lactoferrin (Ammons).

Alves FR, Silva MG, Rôças IN, Siqueira JF Jr. Biofilm biomass disruption by natural substances with potential for endodontic use. *Braz Oral Res.* 2013 Jan-Feb;27(1):20-5. PMID:23306623

Ammons MC, Ward LS, James GA. Anti-biofilm efficacy of a lactoferrin/xylitol wound hydrogel used in combination with silver wound dressings. *Int Wound J.* 2011 Jun;8(3):268-73. Epub 2011 Apr 1. PMID:21457463

## Terpenoids

I would like to mention a class of options that come from a familiar substance, chemicals from tea tree oil. We have already mentioned linalool which is part of this class individually, since it comes up as a leading biofilm killer. According to Raut, as many as 14 terpenoids derived from tea tree oil inhibit biofilms, and  $\alpha$ -terpineol, nerol, isopulegol, carvone, linalool,  $\alpha$ -thujone and farnesol are worthy of special note. Eight terpenoids have effects on **mature** yeast biofilms (*Candida albicans*).

A study by Ramage shows tea tree oil (TTO), terpinen-4-ol (T-4-ol), and  $\alpha$ -terpineol displaying potent activity against 69 biofilm-forming *Candida* strains, of which T-4-ol and  $\alpha$ -terpineol displayed rapid kill action.

Of these three, T-4-ol displayed no significant toxicity to cells. These data provide further laboratory evidence that TTO and its derivative components, specifically T-4-ol, exhibit strong antimicrobial properties against fungal biofilms. Further, T-4-ol appears to possess safety advantages over the complete essential oil (TTO) and may be suitable for prevention and treatment of established oral and upper throat cavity candidosis. Certain terpenoids are components of spices or food ingredients generally regarded as safe (GRAS) (Pauli 2006).

In another study, several chemicals from plants were tried against two very common bacteria (Budzyńska), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 8196), both with biofilms on the surface of **routine** medical products, i.e., urinary catheter, infusion tube and surgical mesh. All three are present in most advanced hospitals and other settings. Surgical mesh was the surface most prone to persistent colonization since the biofilms that formed on it, both by *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, were difficult to destroy.

*Melaleuca alternifolia* is the source of Tea Tree Oil (TTO). *Lavandula angustifolia* yields Lavender, English Lavender and True Lavender (LEO). *Melissa officinalis* is Lemon balm (MEO). Tea Tree oil, Lemon balm,  $\alpha$ -terpineol and terpinen-4-ol showed stronger anti-biofilm

## Allicin and Garlic

Garlic has been used as a medicine throughout human history. Allicin is considered one of the medically useful components of garlic. Other useful components are discussed in Chinese language pharmacology texts.

As early as 2003, the use of allicin against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* had reported effects on biofilm formation at low dosing. Pérez-Giraldo reported that lab testing showed that allicin diminished biofilm formations.

Lihua reported ten years later that allicin impacts *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. This is hardly casual information, since *P. aeruginosa* is likely resistant to multiple antibiotics, and this resistance may be due to biofilms. Organosulfur allicin has been shown to inhibit surface-adherence of bacteria and Lihua demonstrated that allicin could inhibit early bacterial adhesion which is a first step to bacterial community formation, usually just before biofilm production.

Other researchers isolated various components of garlic and tested the most active components. The following three components were examined:

1. garlic extract
2. allicin
3. diallyl sulfide (DAS)

They were tested against the serious mouth and dental infection *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, the primary cause of severe aggressive periodontitis and other non-oral infections.

## Lumbrokinase

We appreciate that some people interested in progressive medicine feel this enzyme, Lumbrokinase, is a useful substance. Some have suggested it is useful in the removal of biofilms. If that is true, we had trouble finding the evidence for that position. However, it does seem that some researchers see a potential for this enzyme to “digest” pathological clots. This possibility seems to have some support, and at this time we will only wait for further research. Since we are only proposing biofilm options that are supported by research and since human use is just starting in research settings, we do not promote this agent at this time.

Ryu GH, Park S, Han DK, Kim YH, Min B. Antithrombotic activity of a lumbrokinase immobilized polyurethane surface. *ASAIO J.* 1993 Jul-Sep;39(3):M314-8. PMID:8268550

Kim JS, Kang JK, Chang HC, Lee M, Kim GS, Lee DK, Kim ST, Kim M, Park S. The thrombolytic effect of lumbrokinase is not as potent as urokinase in a rabbit cerebral embolism model. *J Korean Med Sci.* 1993 Apr;8(2):117-20. PMID: 8397927

Mihara H, Sumi H, Yoneta T, Mizumoto H, Ikeda R, Seiki M, Maruyama M. A novel fibrinolytic enzyme extracted from the earthworm, *Lumbricus rubellus*. *Jpn J Physiol.* 1991;41(3):461-72. PMID:1960890

Wang KY, Tull L, Cooper E, Wang N, Liu D. Recombinant Protein Production of Earthworm Lumbrokinase for Potential Antithrombotic Application. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:783971. Epub 2013 Dec 12. Review. PMID:24416067

Cao YJ, Zhang X, Wang WH, Zhai WQ, Qian JF, Wang JS, Chen J, You NX, Zhao Z, Wu QY, Xu Y, Yuan L, Li RX, Liu CF. Oral fibrinogen-depleting agent lumbrokinase for secondary ischemic stroke prevention: results from a multicenter, randomized, parallel-group and controlled clinical trial. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2013 Nov;126(21):4060-5. PMID:24229674

Huang CY, Kuo WW, Liao HE, Lin YM, Kuo CH, Tsai FJ, Tsai CH, Chen JL, Lin JY. Correction to Lumbrokinase Attenuates Side-Stream-Smoke-Induced Apoptosis and Autophagy in Young Hamster Hippocampus: Correlated with eNOS Induction and NF $\kappa$ B/iNOS/COX-2 Signaling Suppression. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2013 Jul 15;26(7):1126. Epub 2013 Jun 7. PMID:23746067

tract also made the pneumonia far more susceptible to the antibiotic tobramycin. Further, genes involved with resistance to antibiotics were down-regulated.

- Bag published that highly resistant urine organ infections were more vulnerable to treatment with *T. chebula* but proposed this is due to its ability to collect iron, since adding iron reduced its effect. However, Bag only tested one of many chemicals from this fruit, and I would suggest other components may have antibacterial action and work by other means.
- Four carefully chosen antibacterial plants (*P. guajava*, *T. chebula*, *A. aspera*, and *M. elengi*) are combined with four solvent extracts (hexane, ethyl acetate, ethanol, and methanol) by Kamal Rai Aneja, who initially evaluated their anti-cavity activity against *S. mutans*. All four of the plants showed activity against *S. mutans*. Ethyl acetate extracts of the four plants showed high antibacterial activity against *S. mutans*, superior to the other solvent extracts. Further, *T. chebula* ethyl acetate extract acts as an effective anti-cavity agent by inhibiting *S. mutans* and *C. albicans*. However, we were unable to find evidence if the benefit of these chemicals involved biofilm removal.

In conclusion, we appreciate that this medicine is proposed to both dissolve Lyme biofilms and also destroy the underlying Lyme bacteria. We offer no opinion on this belief. We do not want to oppose or support its use in terms of biofilm ability. It appears this fruit does act on the bacteria biofilm of *P. aeruginosa*, but Lyme bacteria are not the same as *P. aeruginosa* bacteria. Lyme is also profoundly more genetically complex than a “relative” spirochete bacterium, syphilis.

Therefore, while we do note that this medicine has antibacterial and cell protection actions, and **we accept some patients feel better**, we presently cannot say it is due to biofilm removal in those with tick-borne infections.

## Cancer

Cancer has many causes. Some things increase your risk and other things can decrease your risk. It is rarely pure genetics, even in those with genetic vulnerability. We know some types of plastics increase rates of breast cancer. We know the 200 poisons in cigarettes cause lung cancer. We know various chemicals made by various companies can increase cancer, despite the reality that most US and international chemicals have limited or no top research on their safety.

I like my dental hygienist. And, I like making sure my gums and teeth are “safe.” Why? At first it was because I want to have teeth in twenty years. But, she correctly reminds me that heart attacks are increased by gum disease which is routine in many countries.

Yet, even this passionate healer was not aware of the role of biofilms in cancer. Yes, I said cancer. We are only beginning to understand the role of infections in triggering cancer diseases.

Many years ago, I was working with a physician who asked me to help research possible cures for his cancer. Eventually, that cure was found and written up, taking over 200 hours and many months to complete, with the help of a top medical editor in North America—the former editor of the *Journal of the American Medical Society* and forty other journals, specifically, George Lundberg, who worked feverishly to get this death disorder cure in print ASAP (Schaller).

Years later, he asked me to write a follow up, and we had found that over eight top infection specialists in the United States had missed Babesia, a common parasite that is harder to kill than malaria and which can occasionally increase eosinophils (Schaller). The patient’s trouble included the fact that he had so many eosinophils, his blood could clot quickly. The point? Eosinophils are a type of white blood cell designed to kill parasites. The man’s disorder (HES) Idiopathic Hypereosinophilic Syndrome, which is often fatal and means that eosinophils reproduce out of control, was primed by a Babesia infection. Not all patients with HES also have a Babesia infection, but after writing six books which

## Lactoferrin Xylitol Combination Treatment

In a fascinating look at this proposed double treatment, Mary Ammons shares that treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm with both lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of bacteria to respond to damage resulting from lactoferrin iron chelation.

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* has been identified as the most common biofilm-forming infection in chronic wounds. The immune stimulating molecule lactoferrin and the rare sugar alcohol xylitol, together, were effective in the lab against *P. aeruginosa* biofilms.

How? Lactoferrin iron chelation was identified as the primary means by which lactoferrin undermines the bacterial membrane. Amazingly, this combination showed huge alterations in the expression of the bacteria's genes, but these changes are too complex for a summary. The findings mean that critical chemicals made by *P. aeruginosa* had changed.

Siderophore detection verified that xylitol is the component of this unique double treatment that inhibits the ability of the bacteria to produce siderophores under conditions of iron restriction. Siderophores sound complicated—here is the simple meaning: they are some of the strongest iron binders in the world and they are made by bacteria, viruses and fungi.

The study concludes with two points:

1. Lactoferrin treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms results in destabilization of the bacterial cell membrane through iron chelation.
2. Combining lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms to respond to environmental iron restriction.

Access to iron is profoundly hard for bacteria when this combination is used.



## Erythritol

Erythritol is an amazing sugar. For example, when it was given to children head-to-head with xylitol or sorbitol it was clearly superior. Here is a summary of the research:

Runnel writes: “Three-year consumption of erythritol-containing candies by initially 7- to 8-year old children was associated with reduced plaque growth, lower levels of plaque acetic acid and propionic acid, and reduced oral counts of mutans streptococci compared with the consumption of xylitol or sorbitol candies.”

In a similar way, Japanese researchers show highly advanced reasons for erythritol superiority over xylitol and sorbitol (Hashino). While this study is very dense, let me at least try to list the stunning findings:

1. By advanced confocal microscopic observations, the most effective sugar used to reduce *P. gingivalis* accumulation onto an *S. gordonii* substratum was erythritol, as compared with xylitol and sorbitol.
2. In addition, erythritol moderately suppressed *S. gordonii* monotypic biofilm formation.
3. To examine the inhibitory effects of erythritol, they analyzed the metabolomic profiles of erythritol-treated *P. gingivalis* and *S. gordonii* cells. Metabolome analyses showed that a number of critical bacteria chemicals were decreased by erythritol.
4. Next, metabolites of erythritol- and sorbitol-treated cells were examined. Erythritol significantly decreased the levels of *P. gingivalis* dipeptides. They tended to be increased by sorbitol.

Amazingly, it appears erythritol has inhibitory effects on two diverse species with biofilms, and it acts by at least five very distinct mechanisms.

Dowd reported that biofilm formation was completely inhibited in a standard wound approach by 10% erythritol in either of the two San-

## Does Magnesium Deprivation Hinder Biofilms?

Before we decide to remove an element that is used in vast numbers of important enzymes, we have to have a foundation. First, in some basic physiology texts, calcium displaces magnesium inside human cells. My impression of this research is that suboptimal magnesium increases systemic inflammation, vascular death such as heart attacks, and cancer. Dibaba shows that the higher the magnesium in diet the lower C-reactive protein. This protein is associated with inflammation. If you lower inflammation you decrease deaths.

Qu pooled studies of approximately a half a million people to examine the results. The greatest risk reduction occurred when magnesium intake increased from 150 to 400 mg/day. A significant inverse association was found between dietary magnesium intake and total cardiovascular events. Serum magnesium concentrations are linearly and inversely associated with the risk of cardiovascular troubles such as heart attacks and brain strokes. Since magnesium is poorly absorbed even when chelated to an amino acid, it is perhaps useful to note the useful dose was 400 mg, when compared to minimal benefit from 150 mg orally.

Del Gobbo also examined vast studies and wrote: “Clinical hypomagnesemia and experimental restriction of dietary magnesium increase cardiac arrhythmias.” Deadly ischemic heart disease, in which a person dies due to poorly oxygenated blood reaching the entire heart, was more common in those with no magnesium supplementation or very low oral magnesium dosing. Simply, “circulating and dietary magnesium are inversely associated with [cardiovascular disease].” Further, Qu shows, in another study, a significant drop in intestinal cancers with a reasonable magnesium intake. While we may not know the mechanism for these useful findings, they are not felt to be due to chance.

Song and Leff clearly show why a small number of scientists and physicians have pondered lowering human magnesium  $Mg^{2+}$  levels. They remind us that  $Mg^{2+}$  can influence bacterial adhesion, which is part of biofilm process. In their study, the bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* was used to investigate the influence of  $Mg^{2+}$  on biofilm growth.

## Nitroxoline

We are not going to spend significant time on this fifty year-old antibiotic because it is not used in many countries, and it is a quinolone, and quinolones all seem to have serious risk of tendon damage. For example, it is possible nitroxoline has the same risks as other quinolones ([www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01422](http://www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01422)).

Quinolones easily enter cells and are often used to treat intracellular pathogens such as *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*.

The FDA has increased warnings regarding side effects since the drugs were first approved. I just want to focus on three side effects that might not be routine but are possible risks with many quinolones:

- **Damage to nerves outside the brain:** This could present as sensory nerve or muscle nerve injury causing paresthesias, hypoaesthesias, dysesthesias, and weakness. New pain, burning, tingling, numbness and/or weakness, or new decreased abilities to detect light touch, pain, temperature, position sense, vibratory sensation, and/or motor strength are basic nerve functions and show damage; these are reasons to stop taking the drug.
- **Tendon damage:** While some focus on the Achilles tendon, actual tears of tendons have occurred in the hand, the shoulder, the thigh, or other locations. Some are helped with surgery. Other patients feel the surgical or other treatment still leaves them with damage. It is believed by some that the use of prednisone and other cortical steroids meant to drop inflammation increases the risk of tendon damage. Perhaps this is especially true in older seniors. Surprisingly, tendons can rupture after the medication is stopped. Some have suggested that IV, transdermal or sublingual magnesium might decrease the risk, but I am not aware this hypothesis has been proven (Schaller).

## Aspirin and NSAIDS

We have previously said it is best to see biofilms like a key, and using AIDS as an example, it was only after AZT in 1996 with **the arrival of protease inhibitors that those quickly dying, experienced a “Lazarus effect,” in which AIDS patients who looked to be ready to die recovered markedly in 30 days.** Medications used in AIDS are tough medications, even if they are miracles. Some may question offering a section on the tough medications aspirin and NSAIDS.

While we appreciate that aspirin and various other over the counter NSAIDS may not be optimal, perhaps due to concerns of liver, kidney or ulcer issues, we are discussing infections that invade and cannot be stopped by your body. You might need all the help you can get. So we offer some synthetic options here that may offer help against a top killing and disabling problem—**biofilm-protected** infections.

For example, fluconazole-resistant *Candida* is increasing worldwide. Fluconazole is also called Diflucan. Biofilms are one reason for a decreased effect in treatment. Aspirin, diclofenac, ketoprofen, tenoxicam, and ketorolac all undermined biofilms or their processes. They all reduced fungal adhesion, and increased biofilm detachment with low concentrations of anti-inflammatory agents. Microscopic examination confirmed the tested drugs had a significant effect on reduction of *Candida* adhesion and biofilm development. The drugs also made fluconazole work more effectively against fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* (Abdelmegeed).

Another useful way to involve aspirin is by teaming it up with the chelation chemical EDTA. Both aspirin and EDTA possess broad antimicrobial activity for biofilm cultures. Aspirin used for 24 hours was successful in eradicating *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *C. albicans* biofilms. Moreover, exposure to the Aspirin-EDTA combination completely destroyed bacterial biofilms after only four hours in simulation lab testing (Al-Bakri).

## Azithromycin (Zithromax)

This medication is almost a household name and is known as the “Z-Pak” which contains brand name Zithromax pills that are still in use today. Despite being in use many years and used very routinely, this medication still has a strong use in addressing biofilms.

For example, Maezono showed that azithromycin was markedly superior compared to other routine antibiotics in killing gum infection bacteria. Specifically, azithromycin at **very low dosing** undermined four strains of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. This determination involved the use of two fascinating techniques.

Azithromycin dropped the bacteria “gasoline” or ATP in the bacteria, which means the bacteria had decreased function or were dead. Cyanide kills humans in part due to dropping ATP levels—it is not a trivial substance. Further, the power of azithromycin was seen clearly with a confocal laser scanning microscope, which has the ability that the long name suggests—seeing the decreased amount of bacteria.

One of the most common hospital infection risks is MRSA; it causes a number of potentially deadly diseases. This “MRSA” simply means routine staph aureus is no longer able to be killed or it is resistant to methicillin, so it reproduces unchecked. Azithromycin is proposed as one solution to MRSA based partly on its biofilm defeating abilities at very low dosing.


Gui shows that azithromycin was active against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains. It reduced the production of  $\alpha$ -hemolysin and biofilm formation at very low “sub-inhibitory” concentrations. So, azithromycin may be useful in the treatment of  $\alpha$ -hemolysin-producing and biofilm-forming MRSA infections.

Maezono H, Noiri Y, Asahi Y, Yamaguchi M, Yamamoto R, Izutani N, Azakami H, Ebisu S. Antibiofilm effects of azithromycin and erythromycin on *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011 Dec;55(12):5887-92. Epub 2011 Sep 12. PMID:21911560

## Argint

Tratamentul cu argint folosit împotriva biofilmelor din răni a fost în mod clar eficient. Într-adevăr, o cremă de argint de 1% a fost folosită cu succes pentru a trata și a preveni infecțiile la pacienții cu vase din întreaga lume.

O analiză a Institutului Internațional de Infecție a rănilor arată că datele arată încă că argintul este un tratament de top. De exemplu, Monteiro a testat argintul coloidal împotriva biofilmelor fungice. Concluzia acestei lucrări este foarte fermă indiferent de concentrațiile utilizate în studiu, argintul a afectat compoziția matricei și structura biofilmelor *Candida*.



Reprezentare tridimensională de aproape de *Candida albicans*.

## Cumanda and Biofilms

Dr. Eva Sapi and her colleagues found in their superior laboratory that cumanda had some mild killing effects on the Lyme bacteria, but more importantly for this book, Lyme **biofilm** communities grown in her lab were reduced 43% by this herb at low dosing. The dosing for a dynamic human or animal body was not explored or proposed by this researcher or any other researcher as of February 2014. Searching by its Latin and popular name did not yield any articles relevant for use on infections.

Finally, while Lyme disease is a common and disabling infection, it is hardly the only infectious agent in the many infections carried by Ixodes ticks. While this preliminary research is very useful, it is possible cumanda may have impact inside a body for Lyme and Bartonella treatment. More study is needed. I regret that we only examined cumanda for Bartonella and not Lyme.

Our conclusion was that cumunda hindered Bartonella more than Levofloxacin (levofloxacin), Zithromax (azithromycin), Rifabutin (mycobutin) and other proposed options. To determine treatment effect one needs to know **the indirect actions of Bartonella, Babesia, FL1953, Lyme, inflammation systems, etc. by lab analysis using different companies.**

Theophilus PA, Burugu D, Poururi A, Luecke DF, Sapi E. Effect of Medicinal Agents on the Different Forms of Borrelia burgdorferi Lyme disease or Lyme borreliosis is a tick-borne multisystemic disease caused by different species of Borrelia. <http://healthyats-nl.blogspot.com/2013/07/effect-of-medicinal-agents-stevia-and.html>

## Erythromycin

Gomes found that erythromycin at low doses actually enhanced the growth of biofilms in *C. diphtheriae*. Penicillin acted the same way. Of further concern is that not only did these antibiotics increase biofilm formation but in this case they enhanced infections by strains of *C. diphtheriae*. Diphtheriae is a very dangerous infection without access to effective antibiotics. It is dangerous enough with good ones.

Returning to biofilm-promoted gum disease such as gingivitis, in the United States, over 50% of adults had gingivitis on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Adult periodontitis, measured by the presence of periodontal pockets  $\geq 4$  mm, was found in about 30% of the population on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Lost gum attachment to teeth of at least 3 mm was found in 40% of the population (Oliver).

The density of adherent *P. gingivalis* cells were significantly decreased by using erythromycin at very low dosing called “sub-MIC levels.” One strain was not affected by erythromycin. Finally, erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.

### Erythromycin Key Findings

- Low doses actually grew some biofilms
- Penicillin also grew some biofilms
- It enhanced strains of dangerous *C. diphtheriae*
- Gum disease from *P. gingivalis* cells was much less sticky at very low dosing.
- Erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.



### **Contacting Dr. Schaller**

Should you wish to talk to Dr. Schaller he offers individualized education consults, which can be arranged by calling 239-263-0133. Please leave all your phone numbers, a working email and a fax number. These consults are typically in 15 minute units and can last as long as you wish. All that is required is the completion of a short informed consent form.

If you would like a full diagnostic consult or to see Dr. Schaller as a patient, know he treats patients from all over the USA and from outside the country. He meets with you first and then does follow-up care with you by phone.

If you would like to fly in to see Dr. Schaller, his staff are very familiar with all the closest airports, and we have special hotel discounts.