




MELAWAN BIOFILM

MENGAPA ANTIBIOTIK ANO ANTI JAMUR ANDA GAGAL

Solusi Penyakit Lyme, Sinusitis Kronis,
Pneumonia, Infeksi Ragi, Luka, Telinga
Infeksi, Penyakit Gusi, Penyakit Usus,
Bau Mulut, Fibrosis Kistik dan Implan


BAGIAN UTAMA YANG HILANG DALAM PUZZLE PENYAKIT KRONIS



JAMES SCHALLER, M.D., M.A.R.
KIMBERLY MOUNTJOY, M.S.

Apa itu Biofilm?

Definisi biofilm yang sederhana dan ilmiah: setiap kelompok mikroorganisme yang sel-selnya menempel satu sama lain pada suatu permukaan. Mereka biasanya berada di dalam lapisan yang mereka buat yang disebut "slime."



Bandingkan biofilm dengan telur goreng. Kuning kuning di tengah telur goreng adalah infeksi bakteri atau jamur.

Bagian putih terbesar yang mengelilingi kuning telur dapat disebut "bio-film". Ini melindungi infeksi bagian dalam, atau kuning telur, dari antibiotik dan sistem kekebalan tubuh manusia.

Tepi luar telur menunjukkan beberapa tepi goreng yang sangat kecil. Mereka mudah dilewatkan karena ukuran telurnya. Kita akan berpura-pura bahwa obat tersebut adalah antibiotik, atau bahan kimia pembunuh infeksi. Mereka tidak berguna karena mereka tidak pernah melewati tepi putih luar telur. Putih telur seperti tembok bagi mereka.

Siapa yang Menderita Infeksi Biofilm?

Ketika Anda mempelajari tentang keragaman besar lokasi dan situasi di mana biofilm umum terjadi dan mempertimbangkan bahwa hal tersebut sering kali merupakan kondisi rutin bakteri dan organisme jamur, Anda mulai menyadari bahwa siapa pun mungkin mengalami infeksi atau infeksi biofilm.

Apa yang Kita Cari dalam Buku Ini?

Materi berikut akan menunjukkan banyak cara untuk menembus “putih telur”, atau biofilm. Jika hal ini terjadi, biasanya akan lebih mudah untuk memusnahkan infeksi yang terdapat pada kuning telur atau bagian tengah kuningnya.

Biofilm Adalah Penyebab Utama Penderitaan dan Kematian

Lokasi dan Situasi Tubuh Biofilm

- Infeksi yang berlangsung lebih dari 2 minggu
- Penyebab utama kematian pada anak di bawah usia 6 tahun
- Plak gigi—mulut manusia menampung sekitar 25.000 spesies bakteri, sekitar 1.000 di antaranya berada di biofilm plak gigi.
- Infeksi jamur
- Infeksi pasca bedah
- Kanker
- Bau mulut
- Penyakit gusi atau periodontitis*
- Kerusakan gigi • Infeksi paru-paru
- Infeksi sistem kemih
- Bakteri mulut—dapat merusak arteri jantung dan menyebabkan kematian serta meningkatkan kanker usus
- Infeksi telinga kronis
- Infeksi sinus**
- Tonsilitis kronis
- Luka
- Kepala sikat gigi — termasuk gaya kepala bergerak sonik

- Kateter untuk mengeluarkan urin
- Lutut palsu, pinggul, dan pengganti lainnya
- Infeksi katup jantung
- Lesi atau luka
- Penyakit Lyme
- Kateter IV jenis apa pun
- Kateter urin
- Lensa kontak
- Alat implan—alat apa pun yang ditanamkan atau dimasukkan dapat mengirimkan bakteri ke otak, hati, atau ginjal.
- Infeksi prostat kronis
- Penyakit Legionnaire dan banyak bakteri biotoksin lainnya yang berkembang biak di air dalam ruangan
- Penyakit jamur—yang dapat timbul dari penumpukan jamur di genangan air dalam ruangan, misalnya banjir, kebocoran atap, ruang bawah tanah atau jendela, pelembab udara, Waterpik™ yang tidak digunakan atau perangkat pembersih gigi lainnya, kondensasi pada saluran AC, dll.
- Kistik fibrosis—produksi lendir berlebih di saluran udara memungkinkan bakteri seperti *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* mengalahkan bakteri pembunuh di balik lapisan biofilm.
- Kehilangan bagian tubuh
- Infeksi kulit, rambut atau kuku
- Radang sendi
- Endokarditis
- Infeksi tulang
- Jerawat

Banyak hal lain yang dapat ditambahkan ke dalam daftar ini, termasuk isu-isu serius mengenai kontaminasi biofilm dalam air dan lusinan praktik terkait kesehatan dan manufaktur lainnya.

*Dokter David Kennedy, pensiunan dokter gigi, menyesalkan bahwa sebagian besar orang dewasa Amerika menderita penyakit gusi—kondisi biofilm bakteri lain yang melibatkan infeksi kronis. Jadi, seberapa luaskah epidemi layanan kesehatan yang tersembunyi ini?

**Di Ondine Biopharma, sebuah wawancara [dengan Richard Longland] mengungkapkan bahwa 38.000.000 orang di negara ini mempunyai (atau pernah) masalah sinus kronis.

***Ricardo Murga; Terri S.Forster. Peran biofilm dalam kelangsungan hidup *Legionella pneumophila* dalam model sistem air minum. *Mikrobiologi* (2001), 147, 3121–3126.

MELAWAN BIOFILM

Mengapa Antibiotik dan Antijamur Anda Gagal

**Solusi Penyakit Lyme, Sinusitis Kronis,
Pneumonia, Infeksi Ragi, Luka, Telinga
Infeksi, Penyakit Gusi, Penyakit Usus,
Bau Mulut, Fibrosis Kistik dan Implan**

Bagian Utama yang Hilang dalam Teka-teki Penyakit Kronis

**James Schaller, MD, MAR
Dan**

Kimberly Mountjoy, MS

**Pers Penyakit Menular Internasional
Menara Bank • Newgate Center (Suite 305)
5150 Tamiami Trail Utara [Jalan Raya 41]
Napoli, Florida 34103**

Membuat Jawaban Penyelamatan Nyawa Biofilm Saat Ini Jernih dan Kokoh

Saat ini Anda dapat membaca pilihan-pilihan yang mampu mengalahkan biofilm selama dua tahun di surat kabar, blog, dan buku. Ini akan memakan waktu 1.000-1.500 jam. Dan Anda akan memiliki sejumlah opsi untuk diusulkan. Berikut beberapa contoh opsi yang akan Anda temukan di makalah, blog, dan buku tersebut:

Hindari magnesium	EDTA	jeli kerajaan
Hindari gula dan biji-bijian	DMSO	Timi
NAC	Vankomisin	Serai
Norspermidin	Gentamisin	Serrapeptidase
Cis 2- Asam Dekenat	Banderol	2-Aminobenzimidazol
Lumbrokinase	Hindari lemak	Echinocandin

Bagaimana Anda Menemukan Pemasaran yang Wajar dan Keyakinan pada Agen Biofilm sebagai Solusi?

Tom dan Lisa menulis blog bahwa produk “x” dan resep “d” adalah pengobatan yang luar biasa untuk melemahkan infeksi biofilm pada Kelelahan Kronis (CFS) dan Fibromyalgia (FM). Orang-orang gembira karena dokter biasa mereka tidak mempunyai solusi besar dan tidak tertarik pada infeksi biofilm.

Masalahnya adalah “x” atau “d” mungkin berguna untuk merusak biofilm atau membantu mengatasi penyakit. Namun hati-hati untuk membuat tautan cepat. Pengobatan “a” mungkin hanya bekerja pada biofilm sepuluh infeksi, dan kami hanya memiliki bukti bahwa pengobatan tersebut berhasil pada

Tujuan kami adalah menunjukkan kepada Anda apa yang ditunjukkan oleh penelitian bagus sehingga Anda dan dokter Anda dapat memulai dengan fakta dan dapat memahami alasan di balik kemungkinan uji coba biofilm.

Misalnya, infeksi Anda mungkin seperti Lyme dalam penggunaan zat besi. Saito dan banyak lainnya melaporkan bahwa tidak seperti organisme lain yang diketahui, *Borrelia*, penyebab penyakit Lyme, dapat hidup tanpa besi, logam yang dibutuhkan semua kehidupan. Sebaliknya, *Borrelia* menggunakan mangan.

Bagaimana jika penyakit berbasis biofilm Anda di kemudian hari ternyata memiliki kemampuan yang sama untuk hidup baik tanpa zat besi? Ini mungkin berarti bahwa agen biofilm yang merusak biofilm penyakit Lyme mungkin cocok untuk Anda. Biofilm yang terinfeksi bakteri dan jamur cenderung memiliki kerentanan serupa terhadap pengganggu biofilm. Mengetahui cara kerja infeksi Anda dapat membantu menentukan agen biofilm apa yang al

<http://phys.org/news/2013-03-scientists-reveal-quirky-feature-lyme.html#jCp>.
Diakses 26 Maret 2014.

Isi

Revolusi Medis	1
Lima Tahapan Biofilm	2
Gambar Pengantar Biofilm	3
Bakteri Umum Ditemukan pada Semua Kulit Manusia	4
Infeksi “Staph” Menjadi Resistensi terhadap Kebanyakan Antibiotik	5
Biofilm Diabaikan oleh Komunitas Medis.....	20
Membuat “Biofilm” Jelas	21
Mencegah Penderitaan, Menurunnya Fungsi, Kecacatan dan Kematian akibat Biofilm	24
Menghilangkan Biofilm Terlebih Dahulu Membuat Antibiotik Efektif	26
Sampel Manusia dan Biofilm yang Sangat Singkat	27
Solusi	30
Biofilm Sangat Beragam	32
Contoh Keanekaragaman Biofilm yang Mendalam	32
The Double Punch: Bakteri dengan Biofilm dan Resistensi Obat	34
'Superbug' yang Tahan Antibiotik adalah Ancaman Besar 'Dalam Waktu Dekat'	36
Penjelasan Singkat tentang Biofilm di Lyme	37
Penyakit Lyme (Borrelia) dan Biofilm	38
Dua Bakteri Berbeda dalam Satu Biofilm Menyebabkan Bencana: Umum Kebersihan Gigi	39
Contoh Perawatan Biofilm	40
Biofilm Bartonella dan Babesia?	43
Kesimpulan Biofilm yang Ditularkan Kutu dan Kutu	43
Biofilm dan Infeksi Paru-Paru atau Sinus: Anatomi Bencana Pabrik Obat	45
Olahraga Beregu: Ketika Banyak Infeksi Semua Membuat Biofilm	46
Peringatan: Biofilm dan Sesi Medis yang Singkat dan Terburu-buru	47

Minyak esensial	48
Dasar Eugenol	52
Eugenol dan Biofilm	53
Linalool.....	57
Reserpin	59
Pembunuh Biofilm “Menumpuk”	60
Terpenoid	61
Allicin dan Bawang Putih	64
Serrapeptidase.....	67
Lumbrokinase.....	72
Nattokinase.....	73
Terminalia chebula Retz.....	74
Kanker	77
Laktoferin	82
Membeli Laktoferin	84
Pengobatan Kombinasi Laktoferin Xylitol	86
Membatasi Gula Tradisional: Menghilangkan Peradangan dan Biofilm?	87
Gula Xylitol	88
Eritritol	90
Organoselenium	93
Apakah Kekurangan Magnesium Menghambat Biofilm?	94
Membatasi Lemak untuk Mengobati Biofilm	97
Houttuynia cordata Thunb (HCT)	101
Contoh Bahan Kimia Pemicu Biofilm Ternyata Kembali Melawan Bakteri..	104
Perawatan Ganda	105
Sumber Tumbuhan Jarang Hanya Menghasilkan Satu Bahan Kimia Bermanfaat	106
Nitroxoline	107
Lisozim	109

Aspirin dan NSAID	110
Azitromisin (Zithromax)	113
Perak	115
Jaheol.....	119
Stevia.....	121
Dasar-Dasar Cumunda.....	123
Cumanda dan Biofilm	125
Eritromisin	126
Sayang	128
Bau mulut	134
Asam Amino Terbalik Merusak Biofilm	136
Katelisidin LL-37	139
Enzim Penghambat RNAlII (RIP)	141
Tinidazol (Tindamax) dan Metronidazol (Flagyl)	142
Tuberkulosis (TBC)	143
Alat Baru untuk Membantu Membunuh TBC?.....	144
Bubuk Makanan, Kekuatan Antibakteri	145
Kesimpulan	146
Lampiran	147
Publikasi Klinis Dr. Schaller	153
Buku Lain oleh Dr. Schaller	155
Penafian	169
Menghubungi Dr. Schaller	170

Revolusi Medis

Teori infeksi biofilm adalah sebuah revolusi besar dalam studi tentang infeksi yang dapat menimbulkan rasa sakit, melumpuhkan, dan bahkan merupakan pembunuh utama tergantung pada usia seseorang.


Infeksi mulai membawa kita kembali ke masa ketika banyak orang meninggal karena infeksi sederhana. Dunia infeksi biofilm baru dapat membunuh lebih banyak orang dibandingkan jumlah korban jiwa pada Perang Dunia I dan Perang Dunia II jika keadaan tidak segera berubah baik di negara maju maupun belum berkembang. Karena lambatnya pemahaman akan pentingnya biofilm dan oleh karena itu, lambatnya adopsi solusi biofilm baru oleh para dokter, bahkan para dokter yang cangguh pun mungkin hanya akan menganggap serius bio-film ketika telah terbukti bahwa semakin banyak orang yang menjadi cacat dan meninggal karenanya. . Saat ini, sebagian besar orang menganggap biofilm sebagai penyebab penderitaan dan kematian. Jadi, biofilm tanpa larutan sama seriusnya dengan polio di abad ke-19 tanpa vaksin, dan dalam hal jumlah korban, biofilm ini jauh lebih berbahaya dibandingkan HIV/AIDS.

Kebanyakan bakteri hidup dalam komunitas yang biasanya memiliki biofilm pelindung yang unik. 1% bakteri yang menginfeksi manusia atau berdampak pada kehidupan manusia mengambang sendirian dan ketika ditemukan di dalam darah, bakteri tersebut tidak akan ditemukan bersama dengan lendir biofilm apa pun.

National Institutes of Health memperkirakan bahwa lebih dari 80% infeksi mikroba dalam tubuh manusia disebabkan oleh biofilm, banyak di antaranya menimbulkan masalah kronis dan berulang. Atau, apakah Glowacki benar dan 99% bakteri hidup di biofilm? Baik Anda menggunakan perkiraan NIH 80% atau Glowacki 99%, biofilm merupakan pertimbangan serius dalam menangani infeksi.

Gyowacki R, Strek P, Zagórska-Swiezy K, Składziej J, Olej K, Hydzik-Sobocińska K, Miodojski A. [Biofilm dari pasien dengan rinosinusitis kronis. Studi SEM morfologi].[Artikel dalam bahasa Polandia]. THT Pol. 2008;62(3):305-10.

Gambar Pengantar Biofilm



Parasit bersel tunggal penghasil biofilm baru yang unik secara genetik bernama FL1953 atau *Protomyxzoa rheumatica*. (Apusan khusus ini adalah cara terbaik untuk mendeteksi parasit bersel tunggal ini dalam tubuh manusia, karena tes DNA atau PCR tidak selalu positif).

Seratus oval gelap di luar gambar di atas adalah sel darah merah (sel darah merah) berukuran 8 mikron. Massa tengahnya adalah bola biofilm dengan banyak sel darah merah di massa biofilm.

Biofilm yang ditunjukkan di atas umumnya ditemukan pada mereka yang menderita infeksi yang ditularkan melalui kutu seperti *Bartonella* yang sangat umum, bakteri *Bor-relia* penyakit Lyme, dan *Babesia* yang mematikan. Meskipun beberapa penyakit yang ditularkan melalui kutu mungkin lebih buruk dibandingkan penyakit lainnya atau lebih umum terjadi dibandingkan penyakit lainnya, semua penyakit tersebut berpotensi mematikan jika tidak diberantas. Parasit yang ditunjukkan di atas adalah infeksi sel tunggal yang berhubungan dengan *Babesia* dan malaria, dan jika biofilmnya dihilangkan, ia tampak seperti malaria yang belum matang. Menurut Pusat Pengendalian Penyakit, ini adalah protozoa yang unik. Ini bukan *Babesia* atau malaria. Infeksi ini disebut FL1953 atau *Protomyxzoa rheumatica*. Itu membuat biofilm dalam jumlah besar dan massa tengah yang sangat besar dalam gambar ini.



Saat kita melihat berbagai organ dan penyebab biofilm, kita tidak boleh mengabaikan vektor infeksi biofilm yang dibawa oleh lebih dari 200 makhluk hidup di setidaknya tiga benua—kutu Ixodes. Ia membawa setidaknya dua pembuat biofilm yang serius: FL1953 dan bakteri Lyme yang sangat kompleks secara genetis. Kami masih mempelajari semua kemungkinan infeksi yang dibawanya.

Harap dicatat bahwa rambutnya terlihat seperti rumput besar, jadi kutu ini hanya sebagian kecil dari ukurannya. Jika Anda mengombinasikan tembus pandang dengan gigitan yang mengandung obat pereda nyeri, antihistamin, antikoagulan, dan antiradang, Anda memiliki pembawa infeksi tersembunyi. Salah satu bahan kimia air liur kutu, Sialostatin L, merupakan enzim penekan kekebalan yang baik sehingga dapat menghambat asma (Horka 2012).



Anjing bisa menjadi sahabat terbaik manusia, tetapi tidak jika Anda menyentuh air liurnya dan tidak jika mereka membawa kutu ke rumah atau mobil Anda. Asumsikan bahwa setiap anjing dan kucing yang tinggal di luar kota mungkin pernah mengalami gigitan kutu atau kutu



Membuat “Biofilm” Jelas

Biofilm seperti uang receh di tengah genangan minyak zaitun, dan di tepi luar minyak terdapat lada yang melambangkan sel pembunuh infeksi. Mereka tidak bisa bergerak untuk menghancurkan uang receh tersebut. Komunitas bakteri biofilm adalah kondisi yang umum terjadi pada sebagian besar infeksi pada manusia. Kita telah diajarkan bahwa infeksi adalah bakteri terisolasi yang beredar dan ini adalah kesalahan serius.

Ini menunjukkan seberapa jauh kita perlu melangkah lebih jauh dalam ilmu pengetahuan jika bentuk utama bakteri—komunitas bakteri biofilm—adalah konsep baru namun penting. Ketika saya membuat daftar dua puluh lima pilihan untuk membunuh biofilm pada tahun 2004, tidak ada banyak minat.

Saat ini, ketidakmampuan untuk menghancurkan biofilm dengan beragam pilihan benar-benar merupakan bencana kesehatan.

Tujuan penulisan dan penerbitan buku ini adalah untuk membuat serangkaian pilihan berbasis penelitian yang terjangkau serta pilihan-pilihan lain yang memungkinkan, untuk menyajikan buku solusi murni yang menawarkan solusi terkini dan terkini untuk ratusan penyakit yang terkait dengan biofilm. Penghalang film biologis sangat mustahil untuk dihilangkan atau ditembus dengan pilihan rutin yang digunakan oleh dokter, spesialis infeksi, ahli naturopat, sekolah pengobatan alternatif, praktisi minyak atsiri, ahli akupunktur, praktisi perawat, atau ahli herbal.

Dengan buku ini kami berharap dapat melayani Anda dan dokter/penyembuh Anda dengan menjelajahi pilihan-pilihan yang tersedia saat ini. Kami menelusuri publikasi selama lima tahun terakhir di PubMed—database besar untuk ilmu kedokteran—untuk “perawatan biofilm.” Kisaran pilihannya mengesankan dan tidak selalu seperti yang Anda harapkan. Buku ini dimaksudkan untuk memberi Anda pilihan luas untuk mencegah penderitaan, kecacatan, dan bahkan kematian Anda.

Setelah bertahun-tahun melakukan penelitian dan studi, saya menyadari bahwa para “ahli” penyakit menular di bidang biofilm mungkin sudah lama kalah perang, dan faktanya, banyak yang mungkin tidak pernah menyadari semua pertempuran tersebut. Pa-

Sampel Manusia dan Biofilm yang Sangat Singkat

Pada tahun 2004, Richard Longland pulih dengan sangat buruk dari penyakit misterius setelah operasi tulang belakang. Pada bulan-bulan berikutnya, dia menderita banyak masalah—sakit kepala, nyeri sendi, dan kemudian masalah jantung dan otak, kelelahan yang parah, dan kesulitan berpikir.

Sistem medis menentanginya, namun akhirnya, pada tahun 2007, ia dirawat karena mikoplasma yang mungkin berasal dari proses pembedahan, di mana pun di rumah sakit atau di tempat umum atau kutu.

Sebagian besar pasien saya telah menemui 3 hingga 200 dokter sebelum datang kepada saya. Saya memahami pengalamannya. Tuan Longland harus menemui lebih dari dua puluh dokter untuk mendapatkan diagnosis. Selama masa sulit ini, ia menciptakan film unggulan berjudul “Mengapa Saya Begitu Sakit?” Dia adalah seorang pasien yang memperjuangkan penggunaan agen farmasi dan naturopati untuk membersihkan tubuhnya dari biofilm bakteri sistemik.

Edward berusia 78 tahun dan dia memiliki tiga putri dan delapan cucu. Dia dirawat di rumah sakit karena sesak napas. Dia menderita pneumonia parah atau infeksi di paru-parunya. Dia semakin buruk. Banyak orang telah pulih dengan menggunakan agen yang dapat mengalahkan banyak pneumonia yang dilindungi biofilm.

Linda telah lelah selama beberapa tahun dan mengalami kesulitan dengan sekolah. Baru-baru ini saya mengetahui bahwa dia menderita sejumlah infeksi kutu yang menyebabkan lebih dari lima belas hasil lab menjadi tidak normal. Kemarin dia menelepon, dan karena sakit di belakang lututnya, saya menyuruhnya pergi ke UGD. Dalam waktu kurang dari sehari, dia ditemukan memiliki 23 gumpalan darah di paru-paru dan kakinya. Dia menduga itu adalah Babesia, peradangan dan FL1953. Kami memiliki agen yang membunuh agen-agen ini, termasuk FL1953, pada tahun 2006.

It would be an error to say that nattokinase, lumbrokinase, serrapeptidase, EDTA, gentamicin, vancomycin, Samento, Banderol, olive products, poorly known herbs with fair lab testing in humans, clove bud oil, diet, chelation, three to four part amino acid mixes, NAC, Rife, diet changes or a vast range of other options not listed, will **work for all biofilms**. For example, an elderly patient dying of a lung infection or another person with painful and treatment-resistant sinus infection *will not* have the same biofilm.

As a trend, trying different options to destroy a biofilm is less dangerous than allowing it to spread.

A Brief Word on Biofilms in Lyme

At times, individuals who have tick- and flea-borne infections, like Bartonella, Babesia and Borrelia (Lyme disease), can feel their treatment is minimal or incomplete. Debates rage over the diagnosis and treatment of Lyme and tick-borne diseases; whether the pain is from residual dead infection incorporated into tissue or one of the many infections carried by the I. scapularis tick, we still have patients' misery.

After writing **twelve books** which include many pages on non-Borrelia infections, “Lyme testing” seems like alphabet testing in which ***one only looks for the vowel “a.”*** Due to the lack of acceptance of the number and complexity of tick-borne infections, there is a lack of up to date education, leaving quality medical doctors to evaluate tick and flea infections in the ***abstract***, by which I mean that they very falsely and sadly do not realize the full magnitude of ***“the alphabet.”***

Specifically, they “diagnose” by ignoring inflammation alterations, nutrient changes, hormone deficits, immunity changes caused by tick-borne infections, and chemicals made or suppressed by direct tick and flea infectious agents. I discuss these in my three most recent tick and flea infection books. All are available in English. All can be found free through inter-library loan, for less than \$20 USD, or at www.personal-consult.com under the “free books” button. No one can expect to become an expert in this massive area after reading any guide or merely going to ten conferences, because these cluster infections impact twenty areas of medical and scientific knowledge.

In the last four years, researchers like **Dr. Eva Sapi have shown Lyme is like some other spirochetes—it has biofilms. These are very tough biofilms to defeat unless caught in the “acute stage.”** A tough, “mature biofilm” allows organisms to **“laugh at” many antibiotics.**

Some medical professionals interested in Lyme often ignore the immune suppressing Bartonella bacterium, which is more common than Lyme. Ignoring coinfections may increase the risk of fatality with Babesia and possibly **FL1953**. These healers also may not realize that the highly

genetically complex Lyme spirochete appears to have a troublesome biofilm. Performing a simple direct test at laboratory companies whose testing kits have reduced sensitivity will probably result in more negatives for tick-borne diseases. The ultimate result is anti-science and anti-truth. Searching for tick infections with one test is like writing in “Lincoln” at the next presidential election.

Lyme Disease (*Borrelia*) and Biofilms

Several researchers believe *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the active agent of Lyme disease, has biofilms. Lyme organism biofilms have been found in culture and in the tick gut. Lyme cysts and biofilms have also been noted in patient skin biopsies using focus floating microscopy according to Dr. Eisendle publishing in the *American Journal of Pathology*.

Further, we see in Lyme that biofilm formation is dependent on cyclic di-GMP expression and we see that in Lyme (Stricker and Johnson).

Brihuega B, Samartino L, Auteri C, Venzano A, Caimi K. In vivo cell aggregations of a recent swine biofilm-forming isolate of *Leptospira interrogans* strain from Argentina. *Rev Argent Microbiol*. 2012 Jul-Sep;44(3):138-43. PMID:23102459

Cogoni V, Morgan-Smith A, Fenno JC, Jenkinson HF, Dymock D. *Treponema denticola* chymotrypsin-like proteinase (CTLP) integrates spirochaetes within oral microbial communities. *Microbiology*. 2012 Mar;158(Pt 3):759-70. Epub 2012 Feb 7. PMID:22313692

Sapi E, Kaur N, Anyanwu S, Luecke DF, Datar A, Patel S, Rossi M, Stricker RB. Evaluation of in-vitro antibiotic susceptibility of different morphological forms of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2011;4:97-113. Epub 2011 May 3. PMID:21753890

Stricker RB, Johnson L. Lyme disease: the next decade. *Infect Drug resist*. 2011; 4: 1-9. PMID: 21694904

Sapi E, Bastian SL, Mpoy CM, Scott S, Rattelle A, Pabbati N, Poruri A, Burugu D, Theophilus PA, Pham TV, Datar A, Dhaliwal NK, MacDonald A, Rossi MJ, Sinha SK, Luecke DF. Characterization of biofilm formation by *Borrelia burgdorferi* in vitro. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(10):e48277. Epub 2012 Oct 24. PMID:23110225

lease of bacteria in the human body will be like a dangerous tornado in a field. It is a wise concern.

For these two problems regarding biofilm-held infections suddenly being released, here are useful solutions:

1. You need many infection killing options for use since more is better to prevent “seeding” of dispersed infection.
2. You want the biofilm killing options to destroy biofilms by different mechanisms. This makes the dispersed seeded infections naked to the immune system.
3. Biofilm tools are given initially at low doses and then increased gradually to large doses since often in the beginning patients have massive inflammation and a drastic increase in killing of biofilm organisms in a short time could cause trouble with bone marrow, liver, heart, eye, or kidney issues, or merely create more dead infectious debris resulting in patient misery.
4. You may need to pulse (use every other day) or fully stop this treatment because once a wave of biofilm eroding agents strips off or severely damages a biofilm of an infection, the same antibiotics that were useless in the past can become very effective.
5. There is no single master biofilm destroyer, yet some are broader than others.

Bartonella and Babesia Biofilms?

Most people have heard of the profoundly common tick infection Lyme disease, but they may not know Bartonella is more common than Lyme and is carried by far more vectors (Breitschwerdt). Babesia decimated the cattle population in the southern United States many decades ago and is more dangerous in humans than Lyme.

Currently, we have no solid data showing Bartonella and Babesia have biofilms.


Tick and Flea-Borne Biofilms Conclusion

Below you will see that mouth spirochetes routinely have biofilms. Another spirochete is Leptospira which is able to make biofilms in many environments and may contribute to lost pregnancy in mammals (Brihuega).

In terms of tick and flea infection biofilms, I would focus on **FL1953** (Protomyxzoa) and Lyme, since both have been known and treated by us since 2006, though the former was killed without knowing its genetic uniqueness. We are learning what decreases their biofilm pathology and have agents that should work if one is open to look at diverse approaches. A synthetic “antibiotic only approach” to biofilms, including antibiotics targeted to hit biofilms, might be similar to typing with one finger.

There are herbalists, such as Stephen Buhner, who propose selected herbs to treat some tick infections. And, in terms of **primary treating herbs to kill organisms**, there are also credible options that are not always herbal in use for a tick or flea infection. We will continue to use **advanced lab testing**, typically only allowed under physician supervision, to determine by serious extensive **indirect blood exam** biochemistry tests to see which infection is actually destroyed in people experiencing benefit from herbal therapy. In any event, I enjoyed this line from Buhner: *“I can’t really say what will clear all biofilms.”*

Contoh Gambar Biofilm Lainnya



Dunia bawah yang gelap akan menjadi: darah merah "°Us (-blade upp« gagak), "lembar" itu dimulai dari kanan bawah" '°'11", bergerak ke arah kiri atas oomer, akan menjadi biofill, rnlffial TKI akan memotongnya menjadi bam:rium kecil.(Piy Labaratoria)

Dasar Eugenol

Eugenol ditemukan di banyak minyak esensial dan herbal. Misalnya, potensi tinggi ditemukan pada minyak atsiri tunas cengkeh, tetapi juga pada dosis rendah pada daun kayu manis dan minyak atsirinya. Hal ini juga ditemukan dalam minyak pimento, bay, sassafras, minyak kulit kayu massoy, minyak kapur barus dan tanaman chamchwi menurut PubChem. Potensi dan konsentrasinya sangat bervariasi tergantung pada sumber dan metode ekstraksi. Lebih jauh lagi, ini bukan sekedar agen biofilm yang kuat; ia memiliki khasiat luar biasa lainnya seperti tindakan anti-virus dan efek



Misalnya, Tragoolpua dan Jatisatiendr menunjukkan bahwa eugenol mempengaruhi Herpes mulut dan genital tergantung pada spesies, strain dan faktor lainnya. Mereka memperjelas bahwa minyak esensial bisa lebih kuat daripada ekstrak sederhana. Memang benar, Herpes mulut dan genital, HSV-1 dan HSV-2, tidak dapat bereproduksi dengan adanya euge-nol. Al-Sharif telah menunjukkan efek kanker yang signifikan. Konsentrasi yang sangat rendah ($2 \mu\text{M}$) memiliki toksisitas spesifik terhadap sel kanker payudara yang berbeda. Efek pembunuhan ini dimediasi melalui penginduksian jalur kematian sel kanker dan penurunan kadar E2F 1 dan survivin – dua molekul yang penting untuk kelangsungan hidup sel. Ini juga me

genes. Importantly, these anti-proliferative and pro-cancer cell death effects were also observed inside body grafts placed in non-human animals.

<http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/summary/summary.cgi?cid=3314>

Tragoalpua Y, Jatisatiennr A. Anti-herpes simplex virus activities of *Eugenia caryophyllus* (Spreng.) Bullock & S. G. Harrison and essential oil, eugenol. *Phytother Res.* 2007; 21(12):1153-8.

Al-Sharif I, Remmal A, Aboussekhra A. Eugenol triggers apoptosis in breast cancer cells through E2F1/survivin down-regulation. *BMC Cancer.* 2013 Dec 13;13(1):600. [Epub ahead of print]

Eugenol and Biofilms

Recently, Dr. Zhou has reminded us of a special process that is involved in the formation of dangerous biofilms. Basically, many bacteria have a “chatty” way of talking to other cells such as other bacteria. So, bacteria use chemicals or cause other bacteria to make chemicals to help them survive and often act to harm you or a loved one.

Eugenol is so effective that at very low amounts, it still disrupted bacteria chemical communication. This is very important in a biofilm destroying agent. If cells cannot communicate, it is doubtful they can form communities. Biofilms are community creations. **Further, eugenol at very low doses, called “sub-inhibitory concentrations” inhibited biofilm formation.**

One type of biofilm research being conducted compares biofilm killers head to head. The results are not always the same, perhaps in part because the infections are not always the same. Note that in an Epub abstract before publication, Malic explains that the best essential oil for urinary catheters, with or without biofilms, against fourteen different bacteria was eugenol. This is why I believe this substance is a “double killer.” It can defeat many biofilms, and then kill the organism making the biofilm. Finally, in this study, eugenol did better than tea tree oil.

Linalool

According to the Merriam-Webster dictionary, the word linalool is derived from a Medieval Latin phrase meaning “wood of the aloe.” Linalool has a nice smelling alcohol and essential oils. It is used in perfumes, soaps, and flavoring materials.

In terms of biofilms, it seems to be most effective when **the essential oil part** is used, which has **the most evidence of killing Candida albicans**. (*Candida albicans* is the cause of yeast infections.) Yet, again, it is the essential oil fraction that not only **inhibits the growth** of *Candida albicans* but also of the bacteria *Lactobacillus casei*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus sobrinus*, *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Streptococcus mutans* cell suspensions, all of them associated with oral cavity disease, according to Alviano and Mendonça-Filho. Yet, Budzyńska reported this essential oil did not fully remove biofilms formed by *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 8196) on the surface of routine medical materials such as urinary catheters, infusion tubes and surgical mesh.

Hsu found that linalool could be effective against *Candida albicans* due to its many genetic blocking effects. For example, using a scanning electron microscope and other technology, many signs of the effect of linalool to destroy *Candida* or inhibit its growth could be noted. Hsu found blocking actions against genes involving adhesion production and the formation of “branches” or the mold’s hyphae were both decreased by linalool.

<http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/linalool>

Budzyńska A, Wieckowska-Szakiel M, Sadowska B, Kalemba D, Różalska B. Antibiofilm activity of selected plant essential oils and their major components. *Pol J Microbiol*. 2011;60(1):35-41. PMID:21630572

Alviano WS, Mendonça-Filho RR, Alviano DS, Bizzo HR, Souto-Pradón T, Rodrigues ML, Bolognese AM, Alviano CS, Souza MM. Antimicrobial activity of *Croton cajucara* Benth linalool-rich essential oil on artificial biofilms and planktonic microorganisms. *Oral Microbiol Immunol*. 2005 Apr;20(2):101-5.

Reserpine

Reserpine is a substance found in the roots of some types of Rauwolfia that has been made into a traditional medicine. It is used to lower high blood pressure and help with psychotic symptoms, but side effects have limited its use.

While it may not be comfortable to use at modest or high dosing, very low dosing, according to Magesh, showed it to be profoundly powerful against *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. In one report, he used reserpine and was able to stop biofilms in this pneumoniae infection at a fraction of the dose thought to inhibit growth.

Specifically, a tiny fraction of this drug, a mere 0.0156 mg/ml, stopped biofilm production in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. So, it may be possible that we have another example of a medical truth I use every day:

“Change the dose and you change the drug or herb.”

In this case, perhaps it is possible that 1/10th of the lowest size tablet, 0.1 mg, could harm *Klebsiella* and other infections and be safe for the patient. However, the raw materials for making it may be hard to find some months according to ASHP who tracks pharmacy shortages.

Magesh H, Kumar A, Alam A, Priyam, Sekar U, Sumantran VN, Vaidyanathan R. Identification of natural compounds which inhibit biofilm formation in clinical isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Indian J Exp Biol*. 2013 Sep;51(9):764-72.

<http://www.ashp.org/DrugShortages/Current/Bulletin.aspx?id=975>

“Stacking” Biofilm Killers

While physicians may ponder the problems caused by biofilms in practice, I rarely encounter the doctor who understands that it is usually better to have more than one treatment. In the article below, **oral bio-film infections were controlled best by three agents, not merely one.** For example, Alves explains that when you are going to irrigate or clean a root canal area, that two mouth bacteria infections protected by their biofilms have these same film barriers decreased significantly by treatment with farnesol, xylitol and lactoferrin together.

The same results were found in wounds. One of the best treatments for wounds is the use of a silver-based wound dressing or bandage, together with a gel containing xylitol and lactoferrin (Ammons).

Alves FR, Silva MG, Rôças IN, Siqueira JF Jr. Biofilm biomass disruption by natural substances with potential for endodontic use. *Braz Oral Res.* 2013 Jan-Feb;27(1):20-5. PMID:23306623

Ammons MC, Ward LS, James GA. Anti-biofilm efficacy of a lactoferrin/xylitol wound hydrogel used in combination with silver wound dressings. *Int Wound J.* 2011 Jun;8(3):268-73. Epub 2011 Apr 1. PMID:21457463

Terpenoids

I would like to mention a class of options that come from a familiar substance, chemicals from tea tree oil. We have already mentioned linalool which is part of this class individually, since it comes up as a leading biofilm killer. According to Raut, as many as 14 terpenoids derived from tea tree oil inhibit biofilms, and α -terpineol, nerol, isopulegol, carvone, linalool, α -thujone and farnesol are worthy of special note. Eight terpenoids have effects on **mature** yeast biofilms (*Candida albicans*).

A study by Ramage shows tea tree oil (TTO), terpinen-4-ol (T-4-ol), and α -terpineol displaying potent activity against 69 biofilm-forming *Candida* strains, of which T-4-ol and α -terpineol displayed rapid kill action.

Of these three, T-4-ol displayed no significant toxicity to cells. These data provide further laboratory evidence that TTO and its derivative components, specifically T-4-ol, exhibit strong antimicrobial properties against fungal biofilms. Further, T-4-ol appears to possess safety advantages over the complete essential oil (TTO) and may be suitable for prevention and treatment of established oral and upper throat cavity candidosis. Certain terpenoids are components of spices or food ingredients generally regarded as safe (GRAS) (Pauli 2006).

In another study, several chemicals from plants were tried against two very common bacteria (Budzyńska), *Staphylococcus aureus* (ATCC 29213) and *Escherichia coli* (NCTC 8196), both with biofilms on the surface of **routine** medical products, i.e., urinary catheter, infusion tube and surgical mesh. All three are present in most advanced hospitals and other settings. Surgical mesh was the surface most prone to persistent colonization since the biofilms that formed on it, both by *S. aureus* and *E. coli*, were difficult to destroy.

Melaleuca alternifolia is the source of Tea Tree Oil (TTO). *Lavandula angustifolia* yields Lavender, English Lavender and True Lavender (LEO). *Melissa officinalis* is Lemon balm (MEO). Tea Tree oil, Lemon balm, α -terpineol and terpinen-4-ol showed stronger anti-biofilm

Allicin and Garlic

Garlic has been used as a medicine throughout human history. Allicin is considered one of the medically useful components of garlic. Other useful components are discussed in Chinese language pharmacology texts.

As early as 2003, the use of allicin against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* had reported effects on biofilm formation at low dosing. Pérez-Giraldo reported that lab testing showed that allicin diminished biofilm formations.

Lihua reported ten years later that allicin impacts *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. This is hardly casual information, since *P. aeruginosa* is likely resistant to multiple antibiotics, and this resistance may be due to biofilms. Organosulfur allicin has been shown to inhibit surface-adherence of bacteria and Lihua demonstrated that allicin could inhibit early bacterial adhesion which is a first step to bacterial community formation, usually just before biofilm production.

Other researchers isolated various components of garlic and tested the most active components. The following three components were examined:

1. garlic extract
2. allicin
3. diallyl sulfide (DAS)

They were tested against the serious mouth and dental infection *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*, the primary cause of severe aggressive periodontitis and other non-oral infections.

Lumbrokinase

We appreciate that some people interested in progressive medicine feel this enzyme, Lumbrokinase, is a useful substance. Some have suggested it is useful in the removal of biofilms. If that is true, we had trouble finding the evidence for that position. However, it does seem that some researchers see a potential for this enzyme to “digest” pathological clots. This possibility seems to have some support, and at this time we will only wait for further research. Since we are only proposing biofilm options that are supported by research and since human use is just starting in research settings, we do not promote this agent at this time.

Ryu GH, Park S, Han DK, Kim YH, Min B. Antithrombotic activity of a lumbrokinase immobilized polyurethane surface. *ASAIO J.* 1993 Jul-Sep;39(3):M314-8. PMID:8268550

Kim JS, Kang JK, Chang HC, Lee M, Kim GS, Lee DK, Kim ST, Kim M, Park S. The thrombolytic effect of lumbrokinase is not as potent as urokinase in a rabbit cerebral embolism model. *J Korean Med Sci.* 1993 Apr;8(2):117-20. PMID: 8397927

Mihara H, Sumi H, Yoneta T, Mizumoto H, Ikeda R, Seiki M, Maruyama M. A novel fibrinolytic enzyme extracted from the earthworm, *Lumbricus rubellus*. *Jpn J Physiol.* 1991;41(3):461-72. PMID:1960890

Wang KY, Tull L, Cooper E, Wang N, Liu D. Recombinant Protein Production of Earthworm Lumbrokinase for Potential Antithrombotic Application. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2013;2013:783971. Epub 2013 Dec 12. Review. PMID:24416067

Cao YJ, Zhang X, Wang WH, Zhai WQ, Qian JF, Wang JS, Chen J, You NX, Zhao Z, Wu QY, Xu Y, Yuan L, Li RX, Liu CF. Oral fibrinogen-depleting agent lumbrokinase for secondary ischemic stroke prevention: results from a multicenter, randomized, parallel-group and controlled clinical trial. *Chin Med J (Engl).* 2013 Nov;126(21):4060-5. PMID:24229674

Huang CY, Kuo WW, Liao HE, Lin YM, Kuo CH, Tsai FJ, Tsai CH, Chen JL, Lin JY. Correction to Lumbrokinase Attenuates Side-Stream-Smoke-Induced Apoptosis and Autophagy in Young Hamster Hippocampus: Correlated with eNOS Induction and NFκB/iNOS/COX-2 Signaling Suppression. *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2013 Jul 15;26(7):1126. Epub 2013 Jun 7. PMID:23746067

tract also made the pneumonia far more susceptible to the antibiotic tobramycin. Further, genes involved with resistance to antibiotics were down-regulated.

- Bag published that highly resistant urine organ infections were more vulnerable to treatment with *T. chebula* but proposed this is due to its ability to collect iron, since adding iron reduced its effect. However, Bag only tested one of many chemicals from this fruit, and I would suggest other components may have antibacterial action and work by other means.
- Four carefully chosen antibacterial plants (*P. guajava*, *T. chebula*, *A. aspera*, and *M. elengi*) are combined with four solvent extracts (hexane, ethyl acetate, ethanol, and methanol) by Kamal Rai Aneja, who initially evaluated their anti-cavity activity against *S. mutans*. All four of the plants showed activity against *S. mutans*. Ethyl acetate extracts of the four plants showed high antibacterial activity against *S. mutans*, superior to the other solvent extracts. Further, *T. chebula* ethyl acetate extract acts as an effective anti-cavity agent by inhibiting *S. mutans* and *C. albicans*. However, we were unable to find evidence if the benefit of these chemicals involved biofilm removal.

In conclusion, we appreciate that this medicine is proposed to both dissolve Lyme biofilms and also destroy the underlying Lyme bacteria. We offer no opinion on this belief. We do not want to oppose or support its use in terms of biofilm ability. It appears this fruit does act on the bacteria biofilm of *P. aeruginosa*, but Lyme bacteria are not the same as *P. aeruginosa* bacteria. Lyme is also profoundly more genetically complex than a “relative” spirochete bacterium, syphilis.

Therefore, while we do note that this medicine has antibacterial and cell protection actions, and **we accept some patients feel better**, we presently cannot say it is due to biofilm removal in those with tick-borne infections.

Cancer

Cancer has many causes. Some things increase your risk and other things can decrease your risk. It is rarely pure genetics, even in those with genetic vulnerability. We know some types of plastics increase rates of breast cancer. We know the 200 poisons in cigarettes cause lung cancer. We know various chemicals made by various companies can increase cancer, despite the reality that most US and international chemicals have limited or no top research on their safety.

I like my dental hygienist. And, I like making sure my gums and teeth are “safe.” Why? At first it was because I want to have teeth in twenty years. But, she correctly reminds me that heart attacks are increased by gum disease which is routine in many countries.

Yet, even this passionate healer was not aware of the role of biofilms in cancer. Yes, I said cancer. We are only beginning to understand the role of infections in triggering cancer diseases.

Many years ago, I was working with a physician who asked me to help research possible cures for his cancer. Eventually, that cure was found and written up, taking over 200 hours and many months to complete, with the help of a top medical editor in North America—the former editor of the *Journal of the American Medical Society* and forty other journals, specifically, George Lundberg, who worked feverishly to get this death disorder cure in print ASAP (Schaller).

Years later, he asked me to write a follow up, and we had found that over eight top infection specialists in the United States had missed Babesia, a common parasite that is harder to kill than malaria and which can occasionally increase eosinophils (Schaller). The patient’s trouble included the fact that he had so many eosinophils, his blood could clot quickly. The point? Eosinophils are a type of white blood cell designed to kill parasites. The man’s disorder (HES) Idiopathic Hypereosinophilic Syndrome, which is often fatal and means that eosinophils reproduce out of control, was primed by a Babesia infection. Not all patients with HES also have a Babesia infection, but after writing six books which

Lactoferrin Xylitol Combination Treatment

In a fascinating look at this proposed double treatment, Mary Ammons shares that treatment of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm with both lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of bacteria to respond to damage resulting from lactoferrin iron chelation.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa has been identified as the most common biofilm-forming infection in chronic wounds. The immune stimulating molecule lactoferrin and the rare sugar alcohol xylitol, together, were effective in the lab against *P. aeruginosa* biofilms.

How? Lactoferrin iron chelation was identified as the primary means by which lactoferrin undermines the bacterial membrane. Amazingly, this combination showed huge alterations in the expression of the bacteria's genes, but these changes are too complex for a summary. The findings mean that critical chemicals made by *P. aeruginosa* had changed.

Siderophore detection verified that xylitol is the component of this unique double treatment that inhibits the ability of the bacteria to produce siderophores under conditions of iron restriction. Siderophores sound complicated—here is the simple meaning: they are some of the strongest iron binders in the world and they are made by bacteria, viruses and fungi.

The study concludes with two points:

1. Lactoferrin treatment of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms results in destabilization of the bacterial cell membrane through iron chelation.
2. Combining lactoferrin and xylitol inhibits the ability of *P. aeruginosa* biofilms to respond to environmental iron restriction.

Access to iron is profoundly hard for bacteria when this combination is used.

Erythritol

Erythritol is an amazing sugar. For example, when it was given to children head-to-head with xylitol or sorbitol it was clearly superior. Here is a summary of the research:

Runnel writes: “Three-year consumption of erythritol-containing candies by initially 7- to 8-year old children was associated with reduced plaque growth, lower levels of plaque acetic acid and propionic acid, and reduced oral counts of mutans streptococci compared with the consumption of xylitol or sorbitol candies.”

In a similar way, Japanese researchers show highly advanced reasons for erythritol superiority over xylitol and sorbitol (Hashino). While this study is very dense, let me at least try to list the stunning findings:

1. By advanced confocal microscopic observations, the most effective sugar used to reduce *P. gingivalis* accumulation onto an *S. gordonii* substratum was erythritol, as compared with xylitol and sorbitol.
2. In addition, erythritol moderately suppressed *S. gordonii* monotypic biofilm formation.
3. To examine the inhibitory effects of erythritol, they analyzed the metabolomic profiles of erythritol-treated *P. gingivalis* and *S. gordonii* cells. Metabolome analyses showed that a number of critical bacteria chemicals were decreased by erythritol.
4. Next, metabolites of erythritol- and sorbitol-treated cells were examined. Erythritol significantly decreased the levels of *P. gingivalis* dipeptides. They tended to be increased by sorbitol.

Amazingly, it appears erythritol has inhibitory effects on two diverse species with biofilms, and it acts by at least five very distinct mechanisms.

Dowd reported that biofilm formation was completely inhibited in a standard wound approach by 10% erythritol in either of the two San-

Does Magnesium Deprivation Hinder Biofilms?

Before we decide to remove an element that is used in vast numbers of important enzymes, we have to have a foundation. First, in some basic physiology texts, calcium displaces magnesium inside human cells. My impression of this research is that suboptimal magnesium increases systemic inflammation, vascular death such as heart attacks, and cancer. Dibaba shows that the higher the magnesium in diet the lower C-reactive protein. This protein is associated with inflammation. If you lower inflammation you decrease deaths.

Qu pooled studies of approximately a half a million people to examine the results. The greatest risk reduction occurred when magnesium intake increased from 150 to 400 mg/day. A significant inverse association was found between dietary magnesium intake and total cardiovascular events. Serum magnesium concentrations are linearly and inversely associated with the risk of cardiovascular troubles such as heart attacks and brain strokes. Since magnesium is poorly absorbed even when chelated to an amino acid, it is perhaps useful to note the useful dose was 400 mg, when compared to minimal benefit from 150 mg orally.

Del Gobbo also examined vast studies and wrote: “Clinical hypomagnesemia and experimental restriction of dietary magnesium increase cardiac arrhythmias.” Deadly ischemic heart disease, in which a person dies due to poorly oxygenated blood reaching the entire heart, was more common in those with no magnesium supplementation or very low oral magnesium dosing. Simply, “circulating and dietary magnesium are inversely associated with [cardiovascular disease].” Further, Qu shows, in another study, a significant drop in intestinal cancers with a reasonable magnesium intake. While we may not know the mechanism for these useful findings, they are not felt to be due to chance.

Song and Leff clearly show why a small number of scientists and physicians have pondered lowering human magnesium Mg^{2+} levels. They remind us that Mg^{2+} can influence bacterial adhesion, which is part of biofilm process. In their study, the bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* was used to investigate the influence of Mg^{2+} on biofilm growth.

Nitroxoline

We are not going to spend significant time on this fifty year-old antibiotic because it is not used in many countries, and it is a quinolone, and quinolones all seem to have serious risk of tendon damage. For example, it is possible nitroxoline has the same risks as other quinolones (www.drugbank.ca/drugs/DB01422).

Quinolones easily enter cells and are often used to treat intracellular pathogens such as *Mycoplasma pneumoniae*.

The FDA has increased warnings regarding side effects since the drugs were first approved. I just want to focus on three side effects that might not be routine but are possible risks with many quinolones:

- **Damage to nerves outside the brain:** This could present as sensory nerve or muscle nerve injury causing paresthesias, hypoaesthesias, dysesthesias, and weakness. New pain, burning, tingling, numbness and/or weakness, or new decreased abilities to detect light touch, pain, temperature, position sense, vibratory sensation, and/or motor strength are basic nerve functions and show damage; these are reasons to stop taking the drug.
- **Tendon damage:** While some focus on the Achilles tendon, actual tears of tendons have occurred in the hand, the shoulder, the thigh, or other locations. Some are helped with surgery. Other patients feel the surgical or other treatment still leaves them with damage. It is believed by some that the use of prednisone and other cortical steroids meant to drop inflammation increases the risk of tendon damage. Perhaps this is especially true in older seniors. Surprisingly, tendons can rupture after the medication is stopped. Some have suggested that IV, transdermal or sublingual magnesium might decrease the risk, but I am not aware this hypothesis has been proven (Schaller).

Aspirin and NSAIDS

We have previously said it is best to see biofilms like a key, and using AIDS as an example, it was only after AZT in 1996 with **the arrival of protease inhibitors that those quickly dying, experienced a “Lazarus effect,” in which AIDS patients who looked to be ready to die recovered markedly in 30 days.** Medications used in AIDS are tough medications, even if they are miracles. Some may question offering a section on the tough medications aspirin and NSAIDS.

While we appreciate that aspirin and various other over the counter NSAIDS may not be optimal, perhaps due to concerns of liver, kidney or ulcer issues, we are discussing infections that invade and cannot be stopped by your body. You might need all the help you can get. So we offer some synthetic options here that may offer help against a top killing and disabling problem—**biofilm-protected** infections.

For example, fluconazole-resistant *Candida* is increasing worldwide. Fluconazole is also called Diflucan. Biofilms are one reason for a decreased effect in treatment. Aspirin, diclofenac, ketoprofen, tenoxicam, and ketorolac all undermined biofilms or their processes. They all reduced fungal adhesion, and increased biofilm detachment with low concentrations of anti-inflammatory agents. Microscopic examination confirmed the tested drugs had a significant effect on reduction of *Candida* adhesion and biofilm development. The drugs also made fluconazole work more effectively against fluconazole-resistant *C. albicans* (Abdelmegeed).

Another useful way to involve aspirin is by teaming it up with the chelation chemical EDTA. Both aspirin and EDTA possess broad antimicrobial activity for biofilm cultures. Aspirin used for 24 hours was successful in eradicating *P. aeruginosa*, *E. coli* and *C. albicans* biofilms. Moreover, exposure to the Aspirin-EDTA combination completely destroyed bacterial biofilms after only four hours in simulation lab testing (Al-Bakri).

Azithromycin (Zithromax)

This medication is almost a household name and is known as the “Z-Pak” which contains brand name Zithromax pills that are still in use today. Despite being in use many years and used very routinely, this medication still has a strong use in addressing biofilms.

For example, Maezono showed that azithromycin was markedly superior compared to other routine antibiotics in killing gum infection bacteria. Specifically, azithromycin at **very low dosing** undermined four strains of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. This determination involved the use of two fascinating techniques.

Azithromycin dropped the bacteria “gasoline” or ATP in the bacteria, which means the bacteria had decreased function or were dead. Cyanide kills humans in part due to dropping ATP levels—it is not a trivial substance. Further, the power of azithromycin was seen clearly with a confocal laser scanning microscope, which has the ability that the long name suggests—seeing the decreased amount of bacteria.

One of the most common hospital infection risks is MRSA; it causes a number of potentially deadly diseases. This “MRSA” simply means routine staph aureus is no longer able to be killed or it is resistant to methicillin, so it reproduces unchecked. Azithromycin is proposed as one solution to MRSA based partly on its biofilm defeating abilities at very low dosing.


Gui shows that azithromycin was active against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) strains. It reduced the production of α -hemolysin and biofilm formation at very low “sub-inhibitory” concentrations. So, azithromycin may be useful in the treatment of α -hemolysin-producing and biofilm-forming MRSA infections.

Maezono H, Noiri Y, Asahi Y, Yamaguchi M, Yamamoto R, Izutani N, Azakami H, Ebisu S. Antibiofilm effects of azithromycin and erythromycin on *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011 Dec;55(12):5887-92. Epub 2011 Sep 12. PMID:21911560

Perak

Perawatan perak yang digunakan untuk melawan biofilm pada luka jelas efektif. Memang benar, krim perak 1% telah berhasil digunakan untuk mengobati dan mencegah infeksi pada pasien gelandangan di seluruh dunia.

Tinjauan yang dilakukan oleh International Wound Infection Institute menunjukkan data masih menunjuk pada perak sebagai pengobatan utama. Misalnya, Monteiro menguji koloid perak terhadap biofilm jamur. Kesimpulan dari penelitian ini sangat tegas: terlepas dari konsentrasi yang digunakan dalam penelitian, perak mempengaruhi komposisi matriks dan struktur bio



Tampilan 3 dimensi dari dekat *Candida albicans*.

Cumanda and Biofilms

Dr. Eva Sapi and her colleagues found in their superior laboratory that cumanda had some mild killing effects on the Lyme bacteria, but more importantly for this book, Lyme **biofilm** communities grown in her lab were reduced 43% by this herb at low dosing. The dosing for a dynamic human or animal body was not explored or proposed by this researcher or any other researcher as of February 2014. Searching by its Latin and popular name did not yield any articles relevant for use on infections.

Finally, while Lyme disease is a common and disabling infection, it is hardly the only infectious agent in the many infections carried by Ixodes ticks. While this preliminary research is very useful, it is possible cumanda may have impact inside a body for Lyme and Bartonella treatment. More study is needed. I regret that we only examined cumanda for Bartonella and not Lyme.

Our conclusion was that cumunda hindered Bartonella more than Levofloxacin (levofloxacin), Zithromax (azithromycin), Rifabutin (mycobutin) and other proposed options. To determine treatment effect one needs to know **the indirect actions of Bartonella, Babesia, FL1953, Lyme, inflammation systems, etc. by lab analysis using different companies.**

Theophilus PA, Burugu D, Poururi A, Luecke DF, Sapi E. Effect of Medicinal Agents on the Different Forms of Borrelia burgdorferi Lyme disease or Lyme borreliosis is a tick-borne multisystemic disease caused by different species of Borrelia. <http://healthyats-nl.blogspot.com/2013/07/effect-of-medicinal-agents-stevia-and.html>

Erythromycin

Gomes found that erythromycin at low doses actually enhanced the growth of biofilms in *C. diphtheriae*. Penicillin acted the same way. Of further concern is that not only did these antibiotics increase biofilm formation but in this case they enhanced infections by strains of *C. diphtheriae*. Diphtheriae is a very dangerous infection without access to effective antibiotics. It is dangerous enough with good ones.

Returning to biofilm-promoted gum disease such as gingivitis, in the United States, over 50% of adults had gingivitis on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Adult periodontitis, measured by the presence of periodontal pockets ≥ 4 mm, was found in about 30% of the population on an average of 3 to 4 teeth. Lost gum attachment to teeth of at least 3 mm was found in 40% of the population (Oliver).

The density of adherent *P. gingivalis* cells were significantly decreased by using erythromycin at very low dosing called “sub-MIC levels.” One strain was not affected by erythromycin. Finally, erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.

Erythromycin Key Findings

- Low doses actually grew some biofilms
- Penicillin also grew some biofilms
- It enhanced strains of dangerous *C. diphtheriae*
- Gum disease from *P. gingivalis* cells was much less sticky at very low dosing.
- Erythromycin was not effective for inhibition of *P. gingivalis* biofilm cells at very low dosing.

Contacting Dr. Schaller

Should you wish to talk to Dr. Schaller he offers individualized education consults, which can be arranged by calling 239-263-0133. Please leave all your phone numbers, a working email and a fax number. These consults are typically in 15 minute units and can last as long as you wish. All that is required is the completion of a short informed consent form.

If you would like a full diagnostic consult or to see Dr. Schaller as a patient, know he treats patients from all over the USA and from outside the country. He meets with you first and then does follow-up care with you by phone.

If you would like to fly in to see Dr. Schaller, his staff are very familiar with all the closest airports, and we have special hotel discounts.